Stringrefs

Reference-typed strings in WebAssembly

Andy Wingo | wingo@igalia.com

https://github.com/wingo/ stringrefs/

Agenda

Motivation

Overview: Goals, Requirements, Design, Proposal

Open questions / feedback

https://github.com/wingo/ stringrefs

Motivation

Three examples of suboptimality

- C++ on the web: double copies, memory capability
- Java on web: DOM access expensive, code duplication
- Component model: from single copy to zero copy

C++ on the web

https://github.com/emscripten-core/emscripten/blob/main/src/preamble.js

```
function ccall(ident, returnType, argTypes, args, opts) {
 97
       // For fast lookup of conversion functions
 98
       var toC = {
 99
          'string': function(str) {
100
            var ret = 0;
101
            if (str !== null && str !== undefined && str !== 0) { // null string
102
              // at most 4 bytes per UTF-8 code point, +1 for the trailing '\0'
103
              var len = (str.length << 2) + 1;
104
              ret = stackAlloc(len);
105
              stringToUTF8(str, ret, len);
106
107
            return ret;
108
109
         },
110
          'arrav': function(arr) {
```

https://github.com/emscriptencore/emscripten/blob/main/src/ preamble.js#L100

C++ on the web

Double-copy (first to stack then to where you need it)

NUL termination (have to scan again for length)

Can't represent NUL codepoints

Requires read/write capability on whole memory

Requires that users wrangle malloc

Requires JS

Similar problems in other direction

C++ on the web

Also it's buggy:)

```
https://github.com/emscripten-core/emscripten/blob/main/src/runtime_strings.js

var u = str.charCodeAt(i); // possibly a lead surrogate

if (u >= 0xD800 && u <= 0xDFFF) {

var u1 = str.charCodeAt(++i);

u = 0x100000 + ((u & 0x3FF) << 10) | (u1 & 0x3FF);

}
```

https://github.com/emscriptencore/emscripten/issues/15324

Java on the web

JS strings are exactly what Java needs: immutable sequences of 16-bit code units

But all Java can do is GC array of u16 – GC allocation on Java/JS boundary

Penalizes access to DOM

Penalizes JS/Java interaction

Needlessly ships second string facility

Component model

Components are isolated

- Communication via abstractly-typed interfaces
- JIT compilation of adapters for concrete representations

Linear memory strings always copied at least once between components

Strings in GC memory: same (because mutability)

Could do better if WebAssembly had immutable stringrefs

Why
not
u16
arrays?

You can implement GC in linear memory, but it is terrible

On web, GC is right there, let's use it

Same argument for JS strings

Implies growing WebAssembly platform for non-JS hosts

But, immutable stringrefs also good for component model

Why not a library?

Duplication: Host already has strings

Duplication: Avoid library per module or component

Inefficiency: Module boundary is a barrier

Platform effects: Strings are interop MVP

Goals

- Enable programs compiled to WebAssembly to efficiently create and consume JavaScript strings
- Provide a good string implementation that many languages implemented on top of the GC proposal would find useful

Req'ts

- Zero-copy string passing between JS and Wasm
- No new string implementations on the web
- Allow WTF-8 or WTF-16 internal representations
- Allow WTF-16 code unit access
- Allow string literals in element sections

Design

The tension:

- Source languages: UTF-8 for Rust, WTF-16 for Java, codepoint access for Python...
- Implementations: WTF-16 for V8, UTF-8 for wasmtime...

Solve via common-denominator stringref plus encoding-specific stringviews

Proposal

stringref is new opaque referencetyped value, like externref

A stringref is a sequence of Unicode scalar values and isolated surrogates

Can obtain WTF-8, WTF-16, codepoint iterator "views" on a stringref

stringref

```
(string.new wtf8 $memory ptr:address bytes:i32)
  -> str:stringref
(string.new wtf16 $memory ptr:address codeunits:i32)
  -> str:stringref
(string.const contents:i32)
  -> str:stringref
(string.measure utf8 str:stringref)
  -> bytes:i32
(string.measure wtf8 str:stringref)
  -> bytes:i32
(string.measure wtf16 str:stringref)
  -> bytes:i32
wtf8 policy ::= 'utf8' | 'wtf8' | 'replace'
(string.encode wtf8 $memory $wtf8 policy
                    str:stringref ptr:address)
(string.encode wtf16 $memory str:stringref ptr:address)
(string.concat a:stringref b:stringref) -> stringref
(string.eq a:stringref b:stringref) -> i32
(string.is usv sequence str:stringref)
  -> bool:i32
```

stringview wtf8

stringview_wtf16

stringview iter

```
(string.as iter str:stringref)
 -> view:stringview iter
(stringview iter.cur view:stringview iter)
 -> codepoint:i32
(stringview iter.advance view:stringview iter
                         codepoints:i32)
 -> codepoints:i32
(stringview iter.rewind view:stringview iter
                        codepoints:i32)
 -> codepoints:i32
(stringview iter.slice view:stringview iter
                       codepoints:i32)
 -> str:stringref
```

Relation to GC

Not dependent on GC MVP

Same family though

Best "like externref" formulation is in terms of heaptype, from typed function references

Will want array u16, array u8 read/write

Open questions

Type relationship of stringview variants

eq supertype or not?

Utility of WTF-8 view

Performance proof

https://github.com/wingo/wasm-jit/blob/main/interp.py

```
26
27
    def write_string(string):
         utf8 = string.encode('utf-8')
28
         ptr = interplib.allocateBytes(len(utf8) + 1)
29
         ptr = interplib.allocateBytes(len(utf8) + 1)
30
         dst = interplib.memory.data_ptr(wasmtime.loader.store)
31
         for i in range(0,len(utf8)):
32
             dst[ptr + i] = utf8[i]
33
         dst[ptr + len(utf8)] = 0
34
         return ptr
35
26
```

next steps

CG meeting 26 April: phase 1?

Move repo to WebAssembly org

Q2-Q3: Prototyping in V8

Q3-Q4: Toolchain (LLVM, Binaryen)

https://github.com/wingo/
stringrefs/

wingo@igalia.com