

# DEPARTMENT OF THE BARTLETT FACULTY OF THE BUILT-ENVIRONMENT COURSEWORK COVERSHEET

Student Name:	Student UCL ID Number:					
Lok Wing Chau	19113428					
Department:	Word Count:					
Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis	2496					
Module:						
CASA0005 - Geographic Information Systems and Science						
Coursework Title:						
Geographic Information Systems and Science assessm	ent					
Research Topic:						
An investigation of the significance of public spaces in re	elation to the population density in Hong Kong					
GitHub Repository URL & RPubs:	Date of Submission:					
https://github.com/wingochau/GIS_CW.git	11/01/2021					
https://rpubs.com/wingochau/708474						
Student Declaration:						
By submitting this coursework, I affirm that the work is my own and that any material derived or quoted from the published or unpublished work of other persons has been duly acknowledged. I confirm that I have read the UCL and Departmental guidance on plagiarism.						
By submitting this coursework, I affirm that the word cour to the electronic version submitted to Moodle/Turnitin.	nt stated above is accurate and that this copy is identical					
Signature: Wingo Chau	Date:11/01/2021					
For Departmental Office Use						
Date and Time Received						
Initials or Stamp						

#### Introduction

With the impact of COVID-19 since 2020, a human living pattern has changed for coping with the pandemic. In Hong Kong, people are prohibited to have their meals inside a restaurant. After this decision, the media revealed that there were many outdoor workers eating their foods in limited public areas such as urban parks or even in public toilets (Lee, 2020). It indicates the shortage of public open spaces in this city of around 7.5 million people.

In fact, Hong Kong has been discussing its space scarcity and the corresponding influences on residents. It raises some awareness that such spaces issue could be one of the critical factors of damaging Hongkongers' lifestyle. The poor urban planning leads to the limitation of accessing or finding public areas, it could have a negative impact on both physical and mental health for the citizen (Ng and Moon, 2017). Comparing to other similar cities where did appropriate urban planning for public spaces such as London, there are relatively fewer social issues around the inadequate use of open spaces.

As several pieces of evidence showed that the availability of open spaces might be a determination of residents' living quality, it is interesting to think whether more public open spaces attract Hongkongers to live or visit nearby. Thus, it drives to the motivation of investigating the relationship between public spaces and population density.

#### **Background**

Hong Kong is a small city where is located in the south of China. There are three main regions including Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and New Territories. And these three regions are divided into 18 districts (*District Council*, 2020).

## **Research Question**

In this paper, it is going to investigate the significance of public spaces in relation to the population density in Hong Kong. In which, public spaces are those areas that publicly open for citizen and population density refers to the average number of people in certain districts.

In order to reach the answer to this question, three main objectives listed below are going to be achieved.

- Investigate the population distribution and population density of 18 different districts in Hong Kong
- 2. Analysing the pattern of the public open spaces in Hong Kong
- 3. Analysing the result and comparing to similar cases

#### **Literature Review**

In this section, it is going to clarify the results in terms of the significance of public spaces and their relationship with population density from the academic. Furthermore, it will discover the research gap among these scholars.

Many authors stated that comprehensive urban planning would contain a reasonable proportion of public spaces, leading to the attractiveness to stay nearby. First of all, they concluded that public spaces would reach to the improvement in living quality. Some open areas such as urban parks would provide positive ecosystem services, such green spaces are likely to impact on people welling-being and leading to a better quality of life (Gobster and Westphal, 2004; Chen and Wang, 2013). On Richards, Passy and Oh (2017) investigation also found that, for example in Singapore, allocating more public spaces in urban planning could have a significant enhancement on the image and branding of its city, as well as the opportunity for recreation. Other papers provided another view on this argument. Bonilla (2013) mentioned that open areas could give a productive character. It claimed that citizen is able to take advantages to sell goods or services in/ nearby the park, generating businesses through the availability of public areas. The author stated that such opportunity derived from the open spaces is likely to the economy within the domestic environment. It is also the factor that public spaces bring to high population density.

Moreover, several scholars mentioned the importance of the coverage of public spaces. They discovered that the level of coverage varies among cities, such as 11 percentage in Birmingham and 45 percentage in Sheffield (Fuller and Gaston, 2009). The consistent view they all came together was the significance of public spaces' coverage towards the benefits of surrounding residents. With the more accessibility of public areas, it found that the presence of open spaces has a strong effect on health (Maas et al., 2006). Also, in context to Hong Kong where is a small city with a crowded environment, urban stressors such as noises from traffic and crowding could increase residents' stresses. Several pieces of evidence demonstrated that the availability of public spaces helps to reduce stress. For example, an experiment observed how stress could reduce after completing demanding tasks (van den Berg, Hartig and Staats, 2007). The result showed that, with the treatments of 10 minutes seated-indoors phase and a 50 minutes walking-outdoors phase, participants recorded a rapid decline in their blood pressures. Health benefits and lower anxiousness come with the degree of the accessibility of publicly open spaces. Such finding linked consistently with other authors who illustrated that the open spaces would benefit from a greater degree of social interaction and lower mental fatigue (Fuller et al., 2007).

Despite the advantages that are followed by the accessibility of public spaces, there is a number of articles holding another point of views. Scholars believed that drawbacks and limitations could also occur. There main perspectives on this argument, including the scarcity of land, the increased house prices, and different geographical structure in other locations. Firstly, Gobster and Westphal (2004) mentioned that open spaces are a luxury resource that can improve the quality of life. This statement was further explained by other papers. Brander and Koetse (2011) elaborated it with an estimation of economic values. They evaluated that some public spaces such as urban parks are more highly valued in general, leading to a large influence on the estimated values from both contingent valuation and hedonic pricing. Secondly, with the improvement in public areas, surrounding house prices could be increased inevitably. It found that locations with greater public spaces accessibility and maintenance level have a high implicit price, whereas negative implicit prices were discovered from insufficient neighbouring land-use (Panduro and Veie, 2013). Thirdly, Richards, Passy and Oh (2017) indicated that southern Asia cities have a different attribute in the geographic layout. They investigated that these cities tend to be high population density, lower open space coverage, and less green space per capita. It would cause different interpretation when comparing to western cities such as New York and London.

Narrowing it into the context of Hong Kong, public open spaces attract more population density in certain locations. Tillu and Ferreira Chair (2011) revealed that the open spaces attract both residents and non-residents to come, leading to higher population density. Due to the lack of open spaces, over 270,000 Filipina domestic workers in Hong Kong congregate every Sunday in urban parks or other public facilities. As a result, during the weekend, there is likely to have a higher population density around those locations with public spaces. The author also highlighted that such spatial problem is crucial in Hong Kong for both residents and foreign workers.

Rossini (2019) also agreed to this perspective but with a different angle. The author admitted the fact that Hongkongers have been raising their awareness in the needs of public open space due to scarcity. However, the urban planning of the government is not appropriate. The authority set several strict rules and guidelines on its public spaces such as prohibiting sitting and walking on the grass (Carlow, 2013). The limitation of the use is seen as reducing the population density for a location where is nearby a public facility.

Throughout the academic papers around this topic, there is a research gap in analysing Hong Kong public spaces and its significance of the population density specifically. Most of the scholars were discussing the impact of this relationship on a qualitative basis, such as a potential benefit on attracting more people nearby public spaces or possibly increase house prices. Rarely, this spatial problem is less likely to demonstrate with data visualisation. Thus, in the following sections, it is going to conduct a geographic analysis on the issue of "the significance of public spaces in relation to the population density in Hong Kong".

## Methodology

The data processing and visualisation are produced under the R programming language from RStudio. All the results are reproducible and relevant documents are publicly shared on the GitHub and RPubs hyperlink provided on the cover page.

The analysis below is based on four main data sets, including the Hong Kong population and population density by districts, the location of public open spaces in Hong Kong and the shapefile of the Hong Kong map. The first two data sets are obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department website, the public open spaces data set is provided from Hong Kong Geodata Store, and the shapefile is from an OpenStreetMap database in Geofabrik.

The following data analysis is produced in three aspects (Figure 1). Firstly, it is to produce a histogram from Hong Kong population, investigating the data distribution according by districts and visualising the result on a map. Secondly, creating a histogram for population density data set and to conduct a clustering analysis based on its 2011 and 2016 data. The selection of these two years is due to the population by-census is undertaken every five years. These two steps aim to achieve the first research objective. Thirdly, it will visualise the location of public open spaces on a map. By using the results, to comparing with similar examples mentioned in the *Literature Review* section such as Singapore and other southeast Asia cities. This step targets to investigate the second and third objectives.




Figure 1: Methodology Flowchart




Figure 2: The Population Distribution by Districts in Hong Kong




Figure 3: Population Visualisation

Figure 2 demonstrates how the number of individuals in the Hong Kong population in 2020 is distributed. As the blue line indicates that the population is a normal distribution, we can see that the number of populations by districts is concentrated in the middle of the data range. Most frequent patterns are recorded as approximately 300,000 people in a district with 4 frequencies, following by around 400,000 people with 3 frequencies. It is interesting that the highest and lowest populated districts share the same frequency, two for 200,000 and 700,000 people respectively.

Figure 3 further visualises the population data set on a Hong Kong map. By observing the population count, the population is heavily concentrated on three districts, including Kwun Tong, Yuen Long, and Sha Tin. The second most populated concentrations are from Eastern and Kwai Tsing. From the result of the population distribution, it is clear to see that the majority is from New Territories, where is the less urbanised. As a result, this outcome is consistent with the population pattern from Richards, Passy and Oh's paper indicating that, in Southeast cities, the large population tends to come from low crowded areas and greater spacing.




Figure 4: 2011 Hong Kong Population Density Distribution

## Histogram




Figure 5: 2016 Hong Kong Population Density Distribution




Figure 6: The Correlation of Hong Kong Population Density in 2011 and 2016

Extracting the population density data from previous years for further analysis, Figure 4 and 5 are the histograms that illustrate the distribution of population density by districts in 2011 and 2016. From observing the blue lines, it shows that both selected data sets represent a relatively normal distribution. Figure 6 demonstrates the correlation between two variables, 2011 and 2016 population density in Hong Kong. It indicates that two variables hold a linear relationship, and the p-value is extremely low with 2.2e-16. Given these three figures, it can conclude that these two variables meet the requirement for conducting a clustering analysis. It is because both data are not highly correlated (Low p-value), normally distributed, and on the same scale.




Figure 7: Clustering Analysis on the Hong Kong Population Density

Figure 7 shows the clustering outcome on Hong Kong population density for 2011 and 2016 data, under three main clusters. After computing and standardising the data, the classification and breakdown for each cluster are stated below:

	eleven	sixteen	size	withinss	cluster
	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<int></int>	<db1></db1>	<fct></fct>
1	0.151	0.102	4	0.720	1
2	1.38	1.41	5	0.960	2
3	-0.834	-0.828	9	0.332	3

We can see that cluster 1 is concentrated around the centre of Hong Kong, cluster 2 is also surrounding there. Comparing to Figure 2 to 3, the clustering analysis discovered a slight difference in population distribution. Although the population in the middle of Hong Kong does not hold the largest population, these areas recorded a high level of density. Referring to Tillu and Ferreira Chair's standpoint, this phenomenon could be explained by the entertainment in public spaces such as central urban parks. For further examining this hypothesis, it is going to verify it by observing the locations of public spaces in Hong Kong.




Figure 8: Public Open Spaces in Hong Kong




Figure 9: Public Spaces Kernel Density Estimation

Figure 8 provides a clear visualized picture of the public open spaces in Hong Kong, and Figure 9 is the same data presented by a Kernel Density Estimation method. We can observe that the government has established a number of public spaces and focused on the densest areas. From the overlapped results from clustering analysis and the public spaces finding, it can conclude that the significance of public spaces could have a relationship with population density. It can be also supported by the government purpose on providing more public spaces, which is to offer a place where "people chat with their neighbours and where community events are held" (Hong Kong Public Space Initiative, 2020).

#### **Comparison**

Given the results found and analysis conducted above, it can see that there is a relationship between the significance of public spaces and population density in Hong Kong. In fact, the improvement in public spaces also enhances the degree of population density in certain areas. For example, in New York, there was a finding supporting that the amount of public spaces is associated with high social satisfaction (Grove *et al.*, 2014). In other words, public spaces are likely to attract more people to have social activities, leading to high population density in near areas. The example of New York shows high similarity with the urban planning purposes in Hong Kong, which is to provide a public space for people gathering. Thus, it is also significant and related towards population density, affective in both western and eastern international cities.

## **Conclusion**

To sum up, from the investigation of population and public spaces in Hong Kong, the spatial analysis above demonstrates the significance of public spaces in relation to population density. By visualising the public spaces, the distributions are mostly concentrated in the centre of Hong Kong. Although the population is larger in less crowded areas, however in terms of population density, public spaces show a significance and similar pattern with population density. In the future study, since the population density was based on the 2011 and 2016 data, it would be recommended to use the up-to-date by-census data in investigating later pattern. Furthermore, it is interesting to investigate the potential consequences underneath this topic, which is the impacts that high population density brings to the neighbourhood.

## **References**

van den Berg, A. E., Hartig, T. and Staats, H. (2007) 'Preference for Nature in Urbanized Societies: Stress, Restoration, and the Pursuit of Sustainability', *Journal of Social Issues*, 63(1), pp. 79–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.2007.00497.x.

Carlow, J. (2013) [Re]Forming Public Space: A Critique of Hong Kong's Park Governance through Architectural Intervention, FOOTPRINT. doi: 10.7480/FOOTPRINT.7.1.763.

Chen, W. Y. and Wang, D. T. (2013) 'Economic development and natural amenity: An econometric analysis of urban green spaces in China', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 12(4), pp. 435–442. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2013.08.004.

District Council (2020) The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

Available at: https://www.districtcouncils.gov.hk/index.html (Accessed: 26 December 2020).

Fuller, R. A. *et al.* (2007) 'Psychological benefits of greenspace increase with biodiversity', *Biology Letters*, 3(4), pp. 390–394. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2007.0149.

Fuller, R. A. and Gaston, K. J. (2009) 'The scaling of green space coverage in European cities', *Biology Letters*, 5(3), pp. 352–355. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2009.0010.

Gobster, P. H. and Westphal, L. M. (2004) 'The human dimensions of urban greenways: planning forrecreation and related experiences', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 68(2–3), pp. 147–165. Available at:

https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0169204603001622?token=1153A5DF1CBF8EF99A

7EDC8ACB96E632C63D3EB9A93271313347B8C3894E3651932AB6A800A4DA9CED7B9A80 66946D1D (Accessed: 26 December 2020).

Grove, J. M. *et al.* (2014) 'An Ecology of Prestige in New York City: Examining the Relationships Among Population Density, Socio-economic Status, Group Identity, and Residential Canopy Cover', *Environmental Management*, 54, pp. 402–419. doi: 10.1007/s00267-014-0310-2.

Hong Kong Public Space Initiative (2020) Hong Kong Public Space Initiative 拓展公共空間 - Significance of Public Space, Hong Kong Public Space Initiative. Available at: http://www.hkpsi.org/eng/publicspace/significance/ (Accessed: 28 December 2020).

Lee, C. (2020) *Hong Kong's public space problem - BBC Worklife*, *BBC*. Available at: https://www.bbc.com/worklife/article/20200831-hong-kong-public-space-problem-social-distance (Accessed: 25 December 2020).

Maas, J. et al. (2006) 'Green space, urbanity, and health: How strong is the relation?', *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 60(7), pp. 587–592. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.043125.

Ng, Y. and Moon, L. (2017) *Will a lack of open space damage generations of Hongkongers?* | *South China Morning Post*, *South China Morning Post*. Available at: https://www.scmp.com/news/hong-kong/community/article/2118314/will-lack-open-space-damage-generations-hongkongers (Accessed: 25 December 2020).

Panduro, T. E. and Veie, K. L. (2013) 'Classification and valuation of urban green spaces-A hedonic house price valuation', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 120, pp. 119–128. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.08.009.