Task 4

Compare your finetuned model from task 3 to be compared against the results from task 2a for the cv-valid-dev mp3 dataset. Describe your observations and propose a series of steps (including datasets and experiments) to improve the accuracy. Your answer can be saved as training-report.pdf under the main repository

Please find the answer at the end of this document.

```
In [1]:
import os
import pandas as pd
import torch
from transformers import Wav2Vec2ForCTC, Wav2Vec2Processor
import librosa
import numpy as np
import evaluate
from tqdm import tqdm
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
In [2]:
device = torch.device("cuda" if torch.cuda.is available() else "cpu")
In [3]:
#Load the model and tokenizer
model name = "facebook/way2vec2-base-960h"
model = Wav2Vec2ForCTC.from pretrained(model name).to("cuda" if torch.cuda.is available() else "cpu")
processor = Wav2Vec2Processor.from pretrained(model name)
Some weights of Wav2Vec2ForCTC were not initialized from the model checkpoint at facebook/wav2vec2-base-960h and are newly initialized: ['wav2vec2.masked_sp
ec embed']
You should probably TRAIN this model on a down-stream task to be able to use it for predictions and inference.
In [4]:
#Path to the folder that contains the mp3 files and the CSV file for cv-valid-dev
cv folder = 'C:/Users/lingy/OneDrive/Desktop/HTX/HTX techtest/common voice/' # Update this to your local path
cv valid dev audio folder = os.path.join(cv folder, 'cv-valid-dev/')
cv valid dev csv file = os.path.join(cv folder, 'cv-valid-dev.csv')
#Load the CSV file into a dataframe
cv valid dev df = pd.read csv(cv valid dev csv file)
# Update the 'filename' column to include the full path to the audio files
cv valid dev df['filename'] = cv valid dev df['filename'].apply(lambda x: os.path.join(cv valid dev audio folder, x))
In [5]:
def preprocess_audio(file_path, target_sr=16000):
  #Load audio file using librosa
  audio, sr = librosa.load(file path, sr=None)
  #Resample audio if needed
  if sr!= target sr:
     audio = librosa.resample(audio, orig sr=sr, target sr=target sr)
  #Normalize the audio to [-1, 1]
  audio = audio / np.max(np.abs(audio))
  # Convert to tensor for Wav2Vec2 processor
  input values = processor(audio, sampling rate=target sr, return tensors="pt").input values
  input values = input values.squeeze(0)
  input values = input values.unsqueeze(0)
  return input values #Remove batch dimension
In [6]:
```

```
def transcribe audio(file path):
  #Preprocess the audio file
  input values = preprocess audio(file path)
  # Move input values to the same device as the model
  input values = input values.to(device)
  #Perform inference (model outputs logits)
  with torch.no grad():
    logits = model(input_values).logits
  # Use argmax to get the most probable token ids
  predicted ids = torch.argmax(logits, dim=-1)
  #Decode the token ids to text
  transcription = processor.batch decode(predicted ids)
  return transcription[0]
In [ ]:
# Create a new column with transcriptions
cv_valid_dev_df['generated_text_fine-tuned'] = cv_valid_dev_df['filename'].apply(lambda x: transcribe_audio(x))
# Save the updated dataframe to a new CSV file
cv valid dev df['generated text fine-tuned'] = cv valid dev df['generated text fine-tuned'].str.lower()
cv valid dev df.to csv(cv valid dev csv file, index=False)
# Initialize the WER metric from the evaluate library
wer metric = evaluate.load("wer")
In [12]:
# Define a function to compute WER for the text generated from "fine-tuned" model with the groundtruth for cv valid dev dataset
def evaluate model generated text fine tuned(df):
  predictions = []
  references = []
  for idx, row in tqdm(df.iterrows(), total=len(df)):
     # Get the file path and ground truth transcription
    predicted text = row['generated text fine-tuned']
    ground truth = row['text']
    # Append predictions and references for evaluation
    predictions.append(predicted text)
    references.append(ground truth)
  # Compute the Word Error Rate (WER)
  wer score = wer metric.compute(predictions=predictions, references=references)
  return wer score
In [13]:
#Evaluate the text generated from "fine-tuned" model with the groundtruth for cv_valid_dev_dataset
wer score = evaluate model generated text fine tuned(cv valid dev df)
#Log the overall performance
print(f'Word Error Rate (WER) on the cv valid dev set (groundtruth VS fine-tuned): {wer score * 100:.2f}%'')
                                                                                                                 4076/4076 [00:00<00:00, 20875.0
3it/s]
Word Error Rate (WER) on the cv_valid_dev set (groundtruth VS fine-tuned): 14.39%
In [19]:
```

```
# Define a function to compute WER for the generated text output from Task 2 with the groundtruth for cv valid dev dataset
def evaluate model generated text task2(df):
  predictions = []
  references = []
  for idx, row in tqdm(df.iterrows(), total=len(df)):
     # Get the file path and ground truth transcription
     predicted text = row['generated text']
     ground truth = row['text']
     # Append predictions and references for evaluation
     predictions.append(predicted text)
     references.append(ground truth)
  # Compute the Word Error Rate (WER)
  wer score = wer metric.compute(predictions=predictions, references=references)
  return wer score
cv valid dev df['generated text'] = cv valid dev df['generated text'].str.lower()
#Evaluate the generated text output from Task 2 with the groundtruth for cv valid dev dataset
wer score = evaluate model generated text task2(cv valid dev df)
#Log the overall performance
print(f"Word Error Rate (WER) on the cv valid dev set (groundtruth VS generated text from task 2): {wer score * 100:.2f}%")
100%
                                                                                                                4076/4076 [00:00<00:00, 17161.3
Word Error Rate (WER) on the cv_valid_dev set (groundtruth VS generated_text from task 2): 11.03%
```

WER evaluates the model's transcription accuracy by comparing predicted transcriptions against ground truth transcriptions. The lower the WER, the better the model performance. If the fine-tuned model performs better on the cv-valid-dev dataset (fine-tuned VS groundtruth), it might indicate that additional fine-tuning on a more comprehensive dataset (cv-valid-test) has improved the model's generalization. If the WER for the fine-tuned model is higher than that of Task 2 (generated text VS groundtruth), it may indicate that the model is overfitting to the cv-valid-test dataset or that the training dataset doesn't match the characteristics of the data in cv-valid-dev.

In this case, the WER on the cv_valid_dev set (groundtruth VS fine-tuned) is 14.39% whereas that on cv_valid_dev set (groundtruth VS generated_text from task 2) is 11.03%. This suggests that the fine-tuned model is overfitting to the cv-valid-test dataset or that the training dataset doesn't match the characteristics of the data in cv-valid-dev. To improve the accuracy, we can implement the following steps:

- 1. Data augmentation such as speech and volumn pertubation and introducing noise. We can make use of libraries such as torchaudio or librosa and apply these augmentations during training phase.
- 2. Hyperparameter tuning by adjusting the learning rate (1e-3 to 1e-5) and batch size (8, 16, 32), for instance and pick the one which gave a better performance
- 3. Training with more epochs if the model has not converged.
- 4. Regularize the model by including weight decay parameter, for instance.
- 5. Include more datasets to improve the robustness of the model and to avoid overfitting on the cv-valid-train dataset. One can choose to include open-source datasets from OpenSLR (platform that hosts several open-source datasets related to speech recognition, including datasets for multiple languages), for instance.