

Problem 2.2. Prove that there is no “exact identity” for convolutions, in the following sense: If $\phi \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that the identity $\phi * f \equiv f$ does not hold.

Hint: Argue by contradiction. Assume ϕ is an “exact identity” (i.e., $\phi * f \equiv f$ for all $f \in BC(\mathbb{R})$). Choose $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ to be a sequence of nonnegative, continuous functions, supported inside some fixed bounded interval, such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_n(x) dx \leq M < +\infty$, and such that $f_n(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (You may assume the existence of such a sequence of functions.) Look at the sequence of numbers $(\phi * f_n(0))_{n=1}^\infty$ and derive a contradiction.

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that such a ϕ does exist. Then let f_n be an approximate identity like the one we just just studied (except smoothed out a bit to be continuous). This satisfies $f_n(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_{L^1} < M$. Then we have $\phi * f_n(0) \rightarrow \phi(0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However, then $\phi * f(0) \neq f(0)$ since $f_n(0) \rightarrow f(0) = \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives contradiction as desired. \square

Problem 2.3. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous away from $x = a$, but that it has a jump discontinuity at a : $f(a^+) \neq f(a^-)$. Assume also that f is bounded.

- (a) Suppose $(\phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an approximate identity such that each ϕ_n is an even function, i.e., $\phi_n(x) = \phi_n(-x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n * f(a) = \frac{f(a^+) + f(a^-)}{2}.$$

- (b) Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ be given. Construct an approximate identity $(\phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n * f(a) = \lambda f(a^+) + (1 - \lambda) f(a^-).$$

In your answer, you should show that your sequence $(\phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies the definition of an approximate identity from Exercise 2.1 and Example 2.2.

Proof. We proceed with each part separately.

- (a) Consider the value $|\phi_n * f(a) - \frac{1}{2}(f(a^+) + f(a^-))|$. We want to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, this value is less than ε . The intuition is that each side of the convolution is approximating each side of the function, and thus in total forms the average. Indeed, noting that $\int_{-\infty}^0 \phi_n(y) dy = \int_0^\infty \phi_n(y) dy = 1/2$ (since ϕ_n is even), split the convolution at $x = a$ and consider both sides:

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \phi_n(y) f(a - y) dy - \frac{f(a^-)}{2} = \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi_n(y) (f(a - y) - f(a^-)) dy$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \phi_n(y) f(a-y) dy - \frac{f(a^+)}{2} = \int_0^\infty \phi_n(y) (f(a-y) - f(a^+)) dy.$$

Now for the left, $f(y)$ is continuous and converges to $f(a^-)$, so choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(a-y) - f(a^-)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ whenever $-\delta < y < 0$. Next, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} |\phi_n(x)| dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\|f\|_u}.$$

Then for $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi_n(y) (f(a-y) - f(a^-)) dy \right| &= \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^0 |\phi_n(y)| |f(a-y) - f(a^-)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \int_{-\delta}^0 |\phi_n(y)| dy + 2\|f\|_u \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} |\phi_n(y)| dy \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, the same logic holds for the right as well, so we have

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \phi_n(y) (f(a-y) - f(a^+)) dy \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus summing both sides, we conclude that $|\phi_n * f(a) - \frac{1}{2}(f(a^+) + f(a^-))| < 2\varepsilon$ for $n \geq N$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n * f(a) = \frac{1}{2}(f(a^+) + f(a^-))$, as desired.

- (b) Generalizing the idea from part (a), we want to create an approximate identity that is weighted λ on the left and $1 - \lambda$ on the right. The most natural way to do this is simply define:

$$\phi_n(x) = n 1_{[-\lambda/n, (1-\lambda)/n]}.$$

This is clearly an approximate identity. Conditions (1) and (2) are trivial ($\|\phi_n\|_{L^1} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). For condition (3), choose $\delta = \max(\lambda/n, (1-\lambda)/n)$.

□