

Problem 1. True or False: If true prove it, if false counterexample it.

- (a) Let $\{F_n\}$ be a countable collection of closed subsets of \mathbb{R} such that for any finite sub-collection

$$F_{n_1} \cap F_{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n_k} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset.$$

- (b) Add the condition that each F_n is bounded and repeat (2a).
 (c) Repeat (1a) where closed and bounded $F_n \subseteq X$, and arbitrary metric space.

Proof.

- (a) This claim is **false**. Consider the subsets $F_n = [n, \infty)$. Then for any finite sub-collection $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_k}$, let $n = \max_k(n_k)$. We can compute the intersection to be:

$$F_{n_1} \cap F_{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n_k} = F_n \neq \emptyset.$$

However, since for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we may find some $n \geq x$, there is always some F_n such that $x \notin F_n$. Hence

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset.$$

This disproves the claim.

- (b) This claim is **true**. If F_n are both closed and bounded subsets of \mathbb{R} , then the Heine-Borel Theorem guarantees that F_n is compact. Now apply Theorem 2.36 from the textbook to conclude that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset.$$

- (c) This claim is **false**. Let $X = \mathbb{Q}$ with the relative topology inherited from \mathbb{R} . Then consider the subsets $F_n = \overline{B_{1/n}(\sqrt{2})}$ as the closed balls centered at $\sqrt{2}$ with radius $1/n$, where p_n is the n th prime. In particular, since $\sqrt{2} \pm 1/n$ are irrational, the boundary points of F_n don't exist in \mathbb{Q} , and hence we can drop them without changing anything: $F_n = B_{1/n}(\sqrt{2})$.

Now we check that finite intersections are nonempty. Indeed, if $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_k}$ are a finite sub-collection, then their intersection is just the ball of minimum radius $r =$

$\min_k(1/n_k)$. This radius is clearly greater than 0, so we know that $F_{n_1} \cap F_{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n_k} \neq \emptyset$.

However, if we consider $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, then for any $x \neq \sqrt{2}$, we can find a k such that $k > 1/|x - \sqrt{2}|$. This implies $1/n < |x - \sqrt{2}|$. Hence by definition $x \notin F_k$, so $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. So all $x \neq \sqrt{2}$ are not in our intersection. But also $\sqrt{2}$ is not in \mathbb{Q} ! Hence in \mathbb{Q} , the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is empty. This disproves the claim. □

Problem 2. Show that every compact metric space is complete.

Proof. Let X be a compact metric space. We must show that every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges. Since X is compact, there is a convergent subsequence $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in X$. We claim that in fact $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Indeed, since $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$, there is N_1 such that $n_k \geq N_1$ implies $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \varepsilon/2$. Furthermore, given that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, choose N_2 such that $n, m \geq N_2$ implies $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$.

Set $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ and $n_k \geq N$. Then

$$d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$, and X is complete. □

Problem 3. The following “Theorem” is not true. Find an error in the “proof” and construct a counterexample.

Theorem: (Bogus) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping from a metric space X to a metric space Y . Let $E \subseteq X$ be a closed subset and assume the diameter, $\text{diam}(E) < 1$. Then $f(E)$ is bounded.

Proof: (Junk) Since $\text{diam}(E) < 1$, E can be contained in a ball

$$B_2(x_0) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) < 2\}.$$

Therefore E is bounded. Since E is assumed to be closed, E is therefore compact. Since f is continuous, $f(E)$ is therefore compact and therefore bounded.

Proof. The error is in this step: “Since E is assumed to be closed, E is therefore compact.” Because X is any arbitrary metric space the equivalence between closed and bounded iff compact does not hold. Indeed, let $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $x \mapsto 1/x$. The subspace topology gives that $(0, 1/2]$ is closed and bounded. But $f((0, 1/2]) = (2, \infty)$ is clearly not bounded. □

Problem 4. Let $I = [0, 1]$ and let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be continuous. Prove that f has at least one fixed point.

Proof. Extend the codomain of f to \mathbb{R} and consider the map $g(x) = f(x) - x$. We have the bounds $0 \leq f(0) - 0 = f(0) \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq f(1) - 1 \leq 0$. Thus the interval $[g(0), g(1)]$ contains the point 0. The continuity of $f(x)$ implies the continuity of $g(x)$; the application of the intermediate value theorem guarantees the existence of $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $g(x_0) = 0$. Thus $f(x_0) = x_0$ and x_0 is a fixed point. \square

Problem 5. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and suppose

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|^{1+\alpha}$$

for all real x and some fixed real $\alpha > 0$. Prove that f is a constant function.

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $x \geq y$ and $x = y + \delta$. Then we may rewrite the given equation as

$$\frac{|f(y + \delta) - f(y)|}{\delta} \leq \delta^\alpha.$$

Note that $\alpha > 0$ gives the important limit $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^\alpha = 0$. Then for any y , we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |(f(y + \delta) - f(y))/\delta| \leq 0$. Thus $f'(y)$ is defined and equal to zero. Theorem 5.11 gives that if $f'(x) = 0$, then f must be constant. \square

Problem 6. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $g'(x)$ exists for all x . Also assume that there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $|g'(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $f(x) = x + \delta g(x)$ where δ is a fixed real number.

- (a) Show f is 1-to-1 if $|\delta|$ is sufficiently small. Find an estimate δ must satisfy.
- (b) Assuming δ satisfies the condition in (6a), find an expression for $\frac{d}{dx} f^{-1}(x)$.

Proof.

- (a) Let $\delta < 1/M$. Then $f'(x) = 1 + \delta g'(x)$. Now $|\delta g'(x)| < (1/M)M = 1$, so we have $f'(x) > 0$. Thus f is strictly increasing. The reals form a total order so this implies that f is injective. Thus δ is about as small as $1/M$.
- (b) By definition $f(f^{-1}(x)) = x$. Applying the chain rule, we see that

$$f'(f^{-1}(x)) \cdot \frac{d}{dx} f^{-1}(x) = 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dx}f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(x))}.$$

□

Problem 7. Define

$$\int_a^\infty f(x)d\alpha(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^N f(x)d\alpha(x)$$

provided the limit exists. Let $f(x) = 1/x^2$ and $\alpha(x) = \lfloor x/2 \rfloor$.

Show the above limit exists and compute $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f(x)d\alpha(x)$.

Proof. Fix some N . Now note that

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{a/2 \leq n < N/2} I(x - 2n)$$

on the interval $[a, N)$. Hence we may rewrite

$$\int_a^N f(x)d\alpha(x) = \sum_{a/2 \leq n < N/2} f(2n) = \sum_{a/2 \leq n < N/2} \frac{1}{4n^2}.$$

This series is less than $\sum 1/x^2$, so it converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$. If $a = 1/2$, then we have

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f(x)d\alpha(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4n^2} = \frac{6}{4\pi^2} = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}.$$

□

Problem 8. Let $f \in C^1([0, 2\pi])$ and define

$$a_n = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos nx dx.$$

Prove that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since f is differentiable we may use integration by parts to find that

$$a_n = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \cos nx dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(f(2\pi) \frac{\sin 2\pi n}{n} - f(0) \frac{\sin 0n}{n} \right) - \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) \frac{\sin nx}{n} dx \\
&= - \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) \frac{\sin nx}{n} dx \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) \sin nx dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The domain of f' is compact, so f' must be bounded. Since $\sin nx$ is also bounded by some $M > 0$, we conclude that $f'(x) \sin nx$ is bounded. Therefore

$$|a_n| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) \sin nx dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} M dx \right| \leq \frac{2\pi M}{n}.$$

Now it is clear that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Problem 9. Define $\text{BUC} = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is bounded and uniformly continuous on } \mathbb{R}\}$ and $d(f, g) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t) - g(t)|$. For $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \text{BUC}$ define

$$f_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f(s) ds = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_0^{2\delta} f(t - \delta + \tau) d\tau.$$

Show

- (a) $f_\delta \in \text{BUC}$,
- (b) $f_\delta \in C^1$,
- (c) the collection $\{f_\delta \mid 0 < \delta < 1\}$ is dense in BUC , i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(f, f_\delta) < \varepsilon$.

Proof.

- (a) By assumption f is bounded by some $M \geq 0$. Then

$$f_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} M ds = M.$$

Hence f_δ is also bounded by M .

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then choose $\gamma < \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{M}$. Then for all $|t_1 - t_2| < \gamma$, we have

$$|f_\delta(t_1) - f_\delta(t_2)| = \frac{1}{2\delta} \left| \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_1+\delta} f(s) ds - \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} f(s) ds \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\delta} \left| \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_2-\delta} f(s)ds - \int_{t_1+\delta}^{t_2+\delta} f(s)ds \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \left(\left| \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_2-\delta} f(s)ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1+\delta}^{t_2+\delta} f(s)ds \right| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\delta} M |t_1 - t_2| + \frac{1}{2\delta} M |t_1 - t_2| \\
&= \frac{M|t_1 - t_2|}{\delta} \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that the second equality can be seen by drawing out the integrals geometrically.)
This proves that f_δ is uniformly continuous. Hence $f_\delta \in \text{BUC}$.

(b) Let $F(x) = \int f(s)ds$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus we have

$$f_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\delta}(F(t+\delta) - F(t-\delta)).$$

Since $F \in C^1$, we have $f_\delta \in C_1$ as well.

(c) Set $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous we have some $\gamma > 0$ such that $|t_1 - t_2| < \gamma$ implies $|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| < \varepsilon$. Now simply choose $\delta = \gamma$. Then the integral of $f(t)$ between $t \pm \gamma$ is bounded above and below by $f(t) \pm \varepsilon$, which implies

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma}(f(t) - \varepsilon)2\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_{t-\gamma}^{t+\gamma} f(s)ds \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma}(f(t) + \varepsilon)2\gamma.$$

Thus $f(t) - \varepsilon \leq f_\gamma(t) \leq f(t) + \varepsilon$ for all t implies $d(f, f_\gamma) \leq \varepsilon$, as desired.

□