Numerical Analysis Qualifying Exam Spring 1989

- 1. Establish a finite difference formula to approximate $\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}$ using f(x,y), f(x-h,y), f(x-2h,y). Be as accurate as possible and derive an expression of the truncation error. Assume f(x,y) is smooth enough. Then explain how one might improve the accuracy using Richardson's extrapolation.
- **2.** A quadrature formula for $I(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ is given by

$$I_n(f) = h \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(a+jh), \quad h = \frac{b-a}{n}.$$

- (a) Derive an error estimate for the formula (stating the condition on f(x)).
- (b) Apply the integral formula to $\int_0^1 \ln x dx$ and derive the error by direct calculation of I(f) and $I_n(f)$ for large n. Compare the error in this case with the error in (a). (Hint: $n! \approx (n/e)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}$).
- **3.** Consider the integral

$$E_n = \int_0^1 x^n e^{x-1} dx, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Show

- (a) $E_n = 1 nE_{n-1}$.
- (b) $E_1 = 1/e$.
- (c) $E_n > 0$.
- (d) $E_n < E_{n-1}$.
- (e) $E_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Explain why the iterative scheme (a) is unstable to round-off error. Can you suggest an improvement of the numerical method to compute E_{10} ?

4. (a) Write the Newton's divided difference interpolation formula for

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$
, with $n = 2, x_0 = 2, x_1 = 3, x_2 = 4$.

- (b) Derive an error formula for the interpolation polynomial $P_n(x)$, which interpolates f(x) at n+1 distinct points x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .
- (c) For f(x) = 1/x, $x_0 = 2$, $x_j = x_0 + jh$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, h = 2/n, $x_n = 4$, show

$$\max_{a \le x \le 4} |f(x) - P_n(x)| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

(d) Is it always true that

$$\max_{a \le x \le b} |f(x) - P_n(x)| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

for any
$$f(x) \in C^{\infty}[a,b], x_0 = a, x_j = a + jh, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, h = (b-a)/n, x_n = b$$
?

5. Prove that the Jacobi iterative method applied to Ax = b converges for any starting vector $x^{(0)}$ if A is strictly column diagonally dominant. i.e.

$$|a_{jj}| > \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} |a_{jj}|, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

- **6.** A complex matrix A is called normal if $AA^* = A^*A(A^*)$ denotes the conjugate transpose of A). Show
 - (a) $A_{n\times n}$ is normal if and only if there is a unitary matrix U, such that

$$U^*AU = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

(Hint: use an appropriate theorem and show a normal upper triangle matrix is diagonal).

- (b) If A is normal, then $||A||_2 = \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of A.
- 7. Consider the Initial Value Problem

$$\dot{y} = f(y, t), \quad y(t_0) = g_0 \text{ given }, \quad t_0 \le t \le b, \quad b \text{ fixed.}$$
 (1)

f is assumed to be Lipschitz in y and continuous in t. We seek to solve the IVP by means of the explicit one-step method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\phi(y_n, t_n, h), \quad t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t \le b, \quad \Delta t = \frac{b - t_0}{N},$$
 (2)

with starting value y_0 not necessarily equal to g_0 . Do the following:

- (a) Define what is meant by **stability** of the method.
- (b) State and justify conditions on $\phi(y,t,h)$ which make the scheme stable.
- (c) Define **convergence** of the above one-step method.
- (d) State conditions which quarantee convergence.

Local truncation error d_n and global truncation error e_n are defined by

$$d_n \equiv y(t_n) + h\phi(y(t_n), t_n, h) - y(t_{n+1}),$$
 and $e_n \equiv y_n - y(t_n),$ respectively,

where y(t) is the exact solution of the IVP (1).

(e) For the explicit Euler scheme (i.e. $\phi(y,t,h)=f(y,t)$) show that

$$|d_n| < Dh^2$$
, where $D = \max |\ddot{y}(t)/2|$,

and that

$$|e_n| \le (1 + hL)^n |e_0| + Dh^2 \frac{[(1 + hL)^n - 1]}{hL}.$$

Conclude that the explicity Euler scheme is convergent.

8. Consider the discrete analog of the eigenvalue problem

$$y'' + \lambda y = 0, 0 < x < \pi,$$

$$y(0) = y(\pi) = 0,$$

given by

$$\frac{y_{i+1} + y_{i-1} - 2y_i}{(\Delta x)^2} + \lambda y_i = 0,$$

$$y_0 = y_N = 0,$$

defined on the uniform mesh $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = \pi$. Compute the eigenvalues of the discrete problem by solving the finite difference equation. How do these eigenvalues compare with those of the continuous problems?