


GDPR Consent



Speakers



Phil Odence General Manager Black Duck Audits, Synopsys



Jari Koivisto
Open Source Diligence
Specialist

Open Source Due Diligence (OSDD)

Why it is important for M&A

2 Open Source Due Diligence in practice

3 OSDD Specialists' role at post-close

4 Summary




Open Source Due Diligence

What is OSDD and why it is important for M&A


Open Source Due Diligence is important for tech M&A

Software development and risk today

- Today almost 80% of codebases are Open Source Software, see
 2024 Open Source Risk in M&A by the Numbers for details
 - Many potential Target companies do not manage OSS well → unknown risks in M&A
 - Open Source disclosure lists that Targets provide are normally far from complete



- Licensing risk: Target's IP may be at risk once integrated even the Buyer's IP may be at risk
- Cybersecurity risk: Data breaches can be very expensive



Source: 2024 Open Source Risk in M&A by the Numbers

with high-risk vulnerabilities

Open Source Due Diligence is important for tech M&A

Informs the deal and future plans

- Identify if there are any major surprises
- However, it is less about killing the deals but that can happen too, if risks and/or mitigation costs are too high
 - Many critical/major issues may also affect deal terms and even valuation
- Estimate how much time and money is needed to mitigate issues and integrate
- High criticality risks are often mitigated before the deal closes

Identifying Issues

Licensing risks

- OSS Licenses have obligations that one needs to fulfill
- Even permissive licenses
- · Components without license
- E.g. Target: "We did not find any license, so we assumed that the code was public domain."

Security risks

- Are there known vulnerabilities (CVEs)
 - According to 2024 Open Source Risk in M&A by the Numbers:
 - 97% of transactions contained at least one vulnerability, mean 439 vulnerabilities per transaction
 - 94% of transactions involve code with high-risk vulnerabilities
 - Exploitable or not?
 - E.g. vulnerable only if used in 32-bit platform and Target only uses 64-bit platforms
- Does Target have processes to identify and remediate security issues?

Open Source Due Diligence for M&A

Buyer wants to know what they are buying

- Without a good Open Source DD, Buyer may spend millions/billions on something that they need to open source
- E.g. OpenWrt
- Is the price correct?

Buyer wants to understand what it takes to mitigate issues

- Validating Roadmap
- High-risk issues → closing conditions
- E.g. embedded copyleft code

Confirming Value

Planning

Open Source Due Diligence in practice

A tested process of how to execute OSDD for M&A

Open Source Due Diligence

Execution Approaches

Questionnaires and meetings

The goal is to find out how and how well OSS component use is managed

Source code audit

- The goal is to get an understanding of the risk level
 - Licensing risk
 - Cybersecurity risk
- Verify how effective the OSS management is
 - Target may have an excellent OSS Policy and training on paper, but if not put into use, those have no value
 - Are the codebase findings in line with the questionnaire answers?

Prepare before the actual Due Diligence work starts

Successful DD requires good preparation

- Early enough:
 - Prepare questionnaire(s) and checklist(s)
 - Select the 3rd party auditor, agree on business terms
 - Agree on who is the contact point for the Target
 - Target personnel will be very busy and having a single person contact makes their life easier
- Once the Target is known:
 - Study Target's offering
 - Business Unit's plans

Open Source Due Diligence

Timeline

Scoping

Planning and Priority

Negotiate

Open Source Due Diligence will take time. Agree on what products and versions need to be audited.

Review issues, prioritize and create remediation plans.
Some high-priority issues remediated normally pre-close.

Be prepared to negotiate. Buyer to reassess deal terms.

Open Source Due Diligence

Process observations

- Buyer wants to understand Target's:
 - Open Source policies and processes
 - Traditionally Open Source license compliance was the main focus
 - Today additional focus on Open Source management processes and Open Source vulnerabilities
- Buyer does not have access to the source code
 - Buyer does not want to see the source code
 - Target does not want to share their source code

3rd party auditor often the answer

- In the end, Open Source Due Diligence produces
 - Open Source risk report
 - Mitigation plan, which includes estimates of cost (time / money)

Open Source policies and processes

Buyer to examine the quality of Target's Open Source policies and processes

- Normally not much time → the quickest way is to use a questionnaire and a meeting with Target
- Buyer should also request a disclosure list (SBOM) of all 3rd party components
 - Good indicator of Target's processes
 - E.g. once the disclosure list had 7 items and the codebase was pretty large and the code audit found at least hundreds of components and snippets

• Tips:

- Keep the questionnaire as short as possible, but include all relevant and important questions
- There are publicly available checklists that can be used as a starting point for the questionnaire(s)
- Send the questionnaire to Target as soon as possible and give them a couple of days to answer

What should be explored

Based on the questionnaire(s) and meetings with Target

- Does Target have a written Open Source Policy?
 - If yes, how it compares to Buyer's Open Source Policy
 - Policies and processes for OSS use and contributing back to the OSS Projects
- Does Target have an Open Source Compliance Program, OSPO, OSRB?
- Policies and processes handling known vulnerabilities (CVEs)
- Policies and processes for out-of-support or deprecated OSS components
- What tools Target uses
 - SCA, SBOMs management, vulnerabilities management
- OpenChain certified?
 - Is Target ISO 5230 (OpenChain Open Source License compliance) conformant?
 - Is Target ISO 18974 (OpenChain Open Source Security assurance) conformant?

Source code audit using 3rd party auditor



- Typically, Target's source codes delivered to the auditor
- Auditor can also go to Target's site or have a proxy laptop there
- Snippet-level audit recommended
 - Copyleft snippets in Target's IP
 - E.g. CC-BY-SA snippets from StackOverflow very common
 - GPL and other copyleft snippets also possible
 - Al-generated code snippets need to be properly handled too
 - Copyrights, attributions, license texts?
 - Copyleft?

^{*} Snippet level scan & audit recommended

Expectations

Start-up (typical Target):

- May not have written Open Source Policy, but likely have processes to accept/reject Open Source components, e.g. ask the CTO
- Maybe a code scan before the due diligence as part of the preparation, but often scans are not part of the workflow
- Audit findings:
 - A lot of findings, but mostly permissive licenses
 - Also CC-BY-SA licensed snippets
 - CVEs, (outdated components)

Mature company:

- Open Source Policy and processes in place
- License compliance is taken care of, some may even have a virtual OSPO or OSPO
- Audit findings:
 - Always something → Target often pro-actively remediates
 - CC-BY-SA licensed snippets
 - CVEs, outdated components

SYNOPSYS

Open Source Due Diligence produces reports and remediation plans

- OSS License compliance issues report
- OSS Security issues report
 - Possibly part of the overall Security Due Diligence Audit report
- Remediation Plan(s)
 - How to remediate OSS license compliance issues
 - How to remediate current OSS known vulnerabilities
 - Processes improvement plans
 - Target OSS training plans
- Issues remediation planning: Target knows the code the best → keep them in the loop and ask for suggestions and timelines



Taking advantage of the Open Source Due Diligence results post-close

The ones who executed the OSDD have the best knowledge

Acquisition Integration

Depending on the Integration scenario

- Acquisition Integration starts after the deal closes
- At the beginning the Acquisition Integration people have limited knowledge of the Target
- People who conducted the Open Source Due Diligence and produced the reports and remediation plans have the latest information
- Things to consider:
 - Do not throw the Open Source Due Diligence reports and remediation plans over the fence to Acquisition Integration and hope for the best
 - A good practice is that people who conducted the Open Source Due Diligence help the Acquisition Integration and Business Unit at least at the beginning of the Integration phase
 - OSDD specialists to participate in the first integration meetings
 - Sometimes just 1-2 meetings are needed, sometimes several meetings over the months are needed

Acquisition Integration

Open Source Due Diligence specialists' role

- Go through the Open Source Due Diligence audit report(s):
 - Explain the issues and suggested remediation actions
 - Issues may be in OSS Licensing, OSS vulnerabilities, processes, etc.
 - Answer any questions (Business Unit, OSPO/BU Legal, Acquisition Integration)
- New information acquired
 - During the first weeks and months of Integration more info is gathered
 - Some remediation recommendations need adjusting or possibly some issues no longer are issues
 - Open Source DD specialist can help the Acquisition Integration Team and Business Unit
- Business Unit plans changed?
 - In case BU changes the plans post-close → Open Source Issues may be different
 - E.g. SaaS solution → Shipped solution

What if full Open Source Due Diligence cannot be done prior deal close?


- No time to do full OSDD prior to the deal's Legal Close?
- Continue the audit post-close
- Options to have:
 - Escrow
 - Reps/Warranties
 - Nowadays more insurers in this space

Summary



Open Source Due Diligence process

An example of how the whole process may look like






Summary

- Open Source Due Diligence very important for M&A
- Prepare all questionnaires and select 3rd party auditor well in advance
- Two main tracks in OSDD:
 - 1. Questionnaire(s) and meetings
 - 2. Source code audit (by 3rd party auditor)
- Open Source practices and processes analysis
- Source code audit: Licensing and Security risks analysis
- Cost (time / money) of issues remediation
- People who conducted OSDD to participate in Acquisition Integration
 - A meeting or two and if needed for longer

GDPR Consent



Questions?



SYNOPSYS[®]

Thank You

Contact info: Jari Koivisto

jari.p.koivisto@iki.fi https://www.linkedin.com/in/jarikoivisto/

