Zinc supplementation on Prediabetes

Kadek Adit Wiryadana

2023-05-12 08:38:54.131179

Introduction

Methods

Preparation

Load package

```
library(tidyverse)
library(meta)
library(googlesheets4)
```

Import Data

Import data & save locally

```
data <- read_csv("data.csv")
#attach(data)</pre>
```


Import data from local storage

Results

Metanalysis Fasting Plasma Glucose

```
MD
                                        95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
##
## Islam et al, 2016
                        -5.76 [ -7.97; -3.55]
                                                     62.7
                                                                29.5
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 -9.20 [-13.63; -4.77]
                                                     15.6
                                                                25.0
## Karandish et al, 2021 -7.37 [-13.33; -1.41]
                                                    8.6
                                                                21.5
## Attia et al, 2022
                         2.52 [ -2.34; 7.38]
                                                    13.0
                                                                24.0
##
```


```
## Number of studies combined: k = 4
## Number of observations: o = 334
##
##
                           MD
                                       95%-CI
                                                  z p-value
## Common effect model -5.36 [-7.11; -3.61] -6.00 < 0.0001
## Random effects model -4.98 [-9.88; -0.07] -1.99
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 19.9238 [2.6198; >199.2383]; tau = 4.4636 [1.6186; >14.1152]
   I^2 = 77.9\% [40.2\%; 91.8\%]; H = 2.13 [1.29; 3.50]
##
##
## Test of heterogeneity:
##
        Q d.f. p-value
             3 0.0036
##
   13.56
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(fpg,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-15, 15))
```



Metanalysis Insulin Sensitivity Index

```
## MD 95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
## Islam et al, 2016 1.80 [ 0.45; 3.15] 93.0 51.5
## Karandish et al, 2021 9.07 [ 3.94; 14.20] 6.4 38.5
## Attia et al, 2022 -3.18 [-20.59; 14.23] 0.6 10.0
```


```
## Number of studies combined: k = 3
## Number of observations: o = 194
##
##
                                     95%-CI
                          MD
                                               z p-value
## Common effect model 2.24 [ 0.94; 3.54] 3.38 0.0007
## Random effects model 4.10 [-2.00; 10.21] 1.32 0.1879
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 18.3598 [0.1213; >183.5982]; tau = 4.2848 [0.3482; >13.5498]
   I^2 = 73.7\% [11.9%; 92.1%]; H = 1.95 [1.07; 3.56]
##
##
## Test of heterogeneity:
##
       Q d.f. p-value
            2 0.0224
##
   7.60
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(insens,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-30,30)
```



Metanalysis Insulin Resistance Index

```
##
                             MD
                                         95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
## Islam et al, 2016
                          -0.04 [-0.06; -0.02]
                                                      98.8
                                                                  24.6
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 -0.30 [-0.55; -0.05]
                                                       0.6
## Karandish et al, 2021 -0.50 [-0.81; -0.19]
                                                       0.4
                                                                  20.8
## Attia et al, 2022
                          -0.17 [-0.54; 0.20]
                                                       0.2
                                                                  17.2
##
```


```
## Number of studies combined: k = 4
## Number of observations: o = 334
##
##
                           MD
                                       95%-CI
                                                  z p-value
## Common effect model -0.04 [-0.06; -0.02] -4.57 < 0.0001
## Random effects model -0.22 [-0.43; -0.01] -2.07
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 0.0310 [0.0027; 0.5198]; tau = 0.1759 [0.0518; 0.7210]
   I^2 = 77.1\% [37.7\%; 91.6\%]; H = 2.09 [1.27; 3.45]
##
##
  Test of heterogeneity:
##
##
        Q d.f. p-value
             3 0.0044
##
   13.12
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(inres,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-1,1)
```



Metanalysis Beta Cell Function

```
95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
                              MD
## Islam et al, 2016
                            9.00 [ 5.30; 12.70]
                                                        73.6
                                                                   27.1
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 31.70 [ 23.84; 39.56]
                                                        16.3
                                                                   26.0
## Karandish et al, 2021
                           -1.19 [-14.58; 12.20]
                                                         5.6
                                                                   23.8
## Attia et al, 2022
                          -12.04 [-27.07; 2.99]
                                                         4.5
                                                                   23.1
```


```
##
## Number of studies combined: k = 4
## Number of observations: o = 334
##
                                       95%-CI
                                                  z p-value
## Common effect model 11.20 [ 8.02; 14.37] 6.91 < 0.0001
## Random effects model 7.63 [-10.55; 25.81] 0.82
##
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 314.1926 [80.2698; >3141.9263]; tau = 17.7255 [8.9593; >56.0529]
##
   I^2 = 92.5\% [84.0%; 96.5%]; H = 3.65 [2.50; 5.33]
##
##
  Test of heterogeneity:
##
        Q d.f. p-value
             3 < 0.0001
##
   39.97
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(beta,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-40,40)
```



Metanalysis Triglyceride

```
## MD 95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
## Islam et al, 2016 -70.70 [-77.49; -63.91] 73.2 34.3
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 -22.80 [-35.00; -10.60] 22.7 34.0
```

```
31.00 [ 2.17; 59.83]
## Attia et al, 2022
                                                          4.1
                                                                    31.7
##
## Number of studies combined: k = 3
## Number of observations: o = 293
##
                            MD
                                         95%-CI
                                                      z p-value
## Common effect model -55.69 [-61.51; -49.88] -18.79 < 0.0001
## Random effects model -22.19 [-79.10; 34.72] -0.76
##
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 2443.5027 [588.7140; >24435.0269]; tau = 49.4318 [24.2634; >156.3171]
   I^2 = 97.5\% [95.3\%; 98.7\%]; H = 6.38 [4.59; 8.87]
##
##
## Test of heterogeneity:
        Q d.f. p-value
##
##
   81.45
             2 < 0.0001
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(tg,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-100, 100)
             )
```



Metanalysis HDL

```
## MD 95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
## Islam et al, 2016 2.00 [ 0.97; 3.03] 94.6 88.9
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 0.70 [ -4.30; 5.70] 4.0 8.1
```


```
## Attia et al, 2022
                     -4.64 [-13.11; 3.83] 1.4
                                                                2.9
##
## Number of studies combined: k = 3
## Number of observations: o = 293
##
                         MD
                                  95%-CI
                                            z p-value
## Common effect model 1.85 [0.85; 2.86] 3.63 0.0003
## Random effects model 1.70 [0.23; 3.16] 2.27 0.0230
##
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
## tau^2 = 0.3518 [0.0000; >100.0000]; tau = 0.5932 [0.0000; >10.0000]
## I^2 = 21.2\% [0.0\%; 91.8\%]; H = 1.13 [1.00; 3.49]
## Test of heterogeneity:
      Q d.f. p-value
##
   2.54
           2 0.2811
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(hdl,
            digits = 2,
            #sortvar = year,
            label.e = "Zinc",
            label.c = "Placebo",
            xlim = c(-15, 15)
            )
```

Study	Total Mean SD		Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI (Weight (common) (Weight random)
Islam et al, 2016 Ranasinghe et al, 2018 Attia et al, 2022	28 31.60 2.0000 73 46.80 18.8000 48 50.27 13.9212	67 46.10 10.6000			[0.97; 3.03] [-4.30; 5.70] [-13.11; 3.83]	94.6% 4.0% 1.4%	88.9% 8.1% 2.9%
Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 21\%$, τ^2		144 ^	• • • • • • • • • •	1.85 1.70	[0.85; 2.86] [0.23; 3.16]	100.0% 	100.0%

Metanalysis LDL

```
## Islam et al, 2016 -15.70 [-18.56; -12.84] 85.5 37.1
## Ranasinghe et al, 2018 -23.10 [-30.78; -15.42] 11.9 34.9
## Attia et al, 2022 8.89 [-7.51; 25.30] 2.6 28.0
```

```
##
## Number of studies combined: k = 3
## Number of observations: o = 293
##
##
                                          95%-CI
                                                      z p-value
## Common effect model -15.94 [-18.58; -13.29] -11.82 < 0.0001
## Random effects model -11.39 [-28.68;
                                          5.907 -1.29
##
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
   tau^2 = 207.7793 [27.5696; >2077.7935]; tau = 14.4146 [5.2507; >45.5828]
##
   I^2 = 83.6\% [50.4\%; 94.6\%]; H = 2.47 [1.42; 4.29]
##
##
  Test of heterogeneity:
##
        Q d.f. p-value
##
   12.17
             2 0.0023
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
meta::forest(ldl,
             digits = 2,
             #sortvar = year,
             label.e = "Zinc",
             label.c = "Placebo",
             xlim = c(-40,40)
```



Discussion

Reference

```
cp zinc_prediabetes.html index.html
```