

Lecture 11: Estimation

Bus 41910, Time Series Analysis, Mr. R. Tsay

In time series analysis, parameter estimation is commonly carried out by the maximum likelihood method. In the case of AR models, the least squares method is also used. The likelihood function for n observations Z_1, \dots, Z_n of a stationary Gaussian time series is

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} |V|^{-1/2} \exp[-\mathbf{Z}'V^{-1}\mathbf{Z}/2]$$

where $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)'$ and V is the covariance matrix of \mathbf{Z} . Here we ignore the mean of Z_t which is always estimated by the sample mean.

The covariance matrix V is an $n \times n$ matrix. A direct evaluation of the above likelihood function is often difficult. Some simplification must be sought. For the ARMA class of models, V is a function of the parameters ϕ_i 's, θ_j 's and σ_a^2 . Our objective is therefore to express the likelihood function in terms of the unknown parameters.

1. Predictive Error Decomposition:

We can factor the joint density of the observations using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) &= f(Z_n|Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_1)f(Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_1) \\ &= \dots \\ &= f(Z_n|Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_1)f(Z_{n-1}|Z_{n-2}, \dots, Z_1) \dots f(Z_2|Z_1)f(Z_1). \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood function $\ell = \ln f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ is then

$$\ell = \sum_{t=2}^n \ln f(Z_t|Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_1) + \ln f(Z_1).$$

For Gaussian time series, each of the conditional densities is normal. Let $\mu_t = E(Z_t|Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_1)$ and $\sigma_t^2 = \text{Var}(Z_t|Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_1)$. Then, the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{t=2}^n \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma_t^2 + \frac{(Z_t - \mu_t)^2}{2\sigma_t^2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma_z^2 - \frac{Z_1^2}{2\sigma_z^2}$$

where σ_z^2 is the variance of Z_t .

Let $e_t = Z_t - \mu_t$. For stationary ARMA processes, $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_a^2$ (at least for most of t) and the log-likelihood function becomes

$$\ell \propto -\frac{n-1}{2} \ln \sigma_a^2 - \sum_{t=2}^n \frac{e_t^2}{2\sigma_a^2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma_z^2 - \frac{Z_1^2}{2\sigma_z^2}.$$

By using the predictive error decomposition, we have written the quadratic form $\mathbf{Z}'V^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$ as $\sum e_t^2$. It looks as though we don't have to invert the covariance matrix V ! Actually, we are basically doing a Cholesky decomposition of V^{-1} :

$$V^{-1} = UDU'$$

where U is upper triangular with ones on the diagonal, D is a diagonal matrix. This factorization of V^{-1} is unique and can be computed for any positive definite symmetric matrix. The elements of D are the conditional variances σ_t^2 and if we write $\mathbf{e} = U'\mathbf{Z}$, then $\mathbf{Z}'V^{-1}\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{e}'D\mathbf{e}$.

2. Conditional and exact likelihood functions for AR(1) models

For a stationary AR(1) series,

$$Z_t = \phi Z_{t-1} + a_t$$

we have $Z_t - E(Z_t|Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_1) = Z_t - \phi Z_{t-1} = a_t$ for $t \geq 2$. Also, Z_1 is normal with mean 0 and variance $\sigma_a^2/(1 - \phi^2)$. Therefore, the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\phi, \sigma_a^2) \propto -\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \phi^2) - \frac{1 - \phi^2}{2\sigma_a^2} Z_1^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=2}^n (Z_t - \phi Z_{t-1})^2.$$

To find the MLE of ϕ and σ_a^2 , we do the following: (1) concentrate out σ_a^2 ; (2) differentiate the resulting function with respect to ϕ . Such estimates are the exact maximum likelihood estimates.

If we condition on Z_1 , the conditional log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\phi, \sigma_a^2 | Z_1) \propto -\frac{n-1}{2} \ln \sigma_a^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=2}^n (Z_t - \phi Z_{t-1})^2.$$

Maximizing this conditional log-likelihood function is equivalent to minimizing the sum of squares of residuals $\sum_{t=2}^n (Z_t - \phi Z_{t-1})^2$, which gives the least squares estimate of ϕ for an AR(1) model. We can justify this conditional likelihood as an approximation to the exact likelihood. We realize that the terms from the first observation Z_1 are of order 1 and the other terms are of order n so that the normalized likelihood function could be well approximated by the conditional likelihood function.

There are some minor differences between exact and conditional MLE in this AR(1) case. For instance, the term $\ln(1 - \phi^2)$ keeps the exact MLE in the stationary region. There is no such a built-in constraint for the conditional MLE.

Exercise: Generalize the above results to AR(p) processes.

3. Conditional likelihood function for MA(1) processes

The exact likelihood functions of MA and ARMA processes are relatively complicated. For general information, you may consult Hillmer and Tiao (1979, JASA) and Ansley (1979, BKA). These two papers present two different approaches to obtain the exact likelihood function of an ARMA model. Here I shall start with conditional likelihood before introducing the exact likelihood function of some simple models.

For the MA(1) series

$$Z_t = a_t - \theta a_{t-1}$$

we have $a_t = Z_t + \theta a_{t-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= Z_1 + \theta a_0 \\ a_2 &= Z_2 + \theta Z_1 + \theta^2 a_0 \\ a_3 &= Z_3 + \theta Z_2 + \theta^2 Z_1 + \theta^3 a_0 \\ &\vdots \\ a_n &= Z_n + \theta Z_{n-1} + \cdots + \theta^{n-1} Z_1 + \theta^n a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently, in matrix form, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \\ \theta & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \\ \theta^2 & \theta & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ \theta^{n-1} & \theta^{n-2} & \cdots & \theta & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \\ \vdots \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \vdots \\ \theta^n \end{bmatrix} a_0. \quad (1)$$

Consequently, if we condition on $a_0 = 0$, then there is a one-to-one transformation between \mathbf{Z} and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)'$, and the Jacobian of the transformation is unity. Therefore, the conditional likelihood function is

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n | a_0 = 0) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{t=1}^n f(a_t)$$

and the conditional log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\theta, \sigma_a^2 | a_0 = 0) \propto -\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma_a^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=1}^n a_t^2$$

where $a_t = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta^{t-1} Z_1$. Again, we can concentrate out σ_a^2 . Maximizing the resulting concentrated log-likelihood function is amounts to minimizing the sum of squares $\sum_{t=1}^n a_t^2$, which is a non-linear function of θ so that non-linear optimization is required. The usual procedure of non-linear optimization is to use iterative methods. You may consult any non-linear optimization routine for further information.

Turn to the exact MLE. Here a_0 is treated as an unknown initial value of the innovational noise and must be dealt with precisely. Mathematically, the joint density of \mathbf{Z} can be obtained by integrating out a_0 as

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \int f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, a_0) da_0.$$

Thus, we need to consider the joint density of $f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, a_0)$. First, to simplify the notation, we rewrite equation (1) as

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{X}_1 a_0.$$

Next, augmenting the identity $a_0 = a_0$ on top of the above equation, we obtain

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}a_0 \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1) \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{L}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1) \times n}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{X}_1 \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1) \times 1}$$

and $\mathbf{O} = (0, \dots, 0)$ is a row-vector of n zeros. This equation can be used in two ways. First of all, it says that there is a one-to-one transformation between \mathbf{A} and $(a_0, \mathbf{Z}')'$ with unit Jacobian of transformation. Consequently, we have

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, a_0) = f(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \right)^n \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=0}^n a_t^2 \right].$$

Again, by (2),

$$\sum_{t=0}^n a_t^2 = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}a_0)'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}a_0).$$

Let

$$\hat{a}_0 = -(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{LZ}) = -\frac{(1 - \theta^2) \sum_{t=1}^n \theta^t Y_t}{1 - \theta^{2(n+1)}},$$

where $Y_t = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1} + \dots + \theta^{t-1} Z_1$, which is a function of \mathbf{Z} and θ , not a_0 . Then, the sum of squares becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} &= (\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0 + \mathbf{X}a_0)'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0 + \mathbf{X}a_0) \\ &= (\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0)'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0) + (a_0 - \hat{a}_0)'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(a_0 - \hat{a}_0). \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we have used

$$(a_0 - \hat{a}_0)\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0) = (a_0 - \hat{a}_0)[\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{LZ} - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{LZ}] = 0.$$

The above derivation gives that

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, a_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_a^2} \right)^{(n+1)/2} \exp \left[\frac{-1}{2\sigma_a^2} \{ (\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0)'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0) + (a_0 - \hat{a}_0)\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(a_0 - \hat{a}_0) \} \right].$$

Finally, using properties of normal density to integrate out a_0 , we obtain the joint density function of \mathbf{Z}

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_a^2} \right)^{n/2} |\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0)'(\mathbf{LZ} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0)}{2\sigma_a^2} \right]$$

where $|\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}| = \frac{1 - \theta^{2(n+1)}}{1 - \theta^2}$.

By equation (2) with a_0 in the right hand side replaced by \hat{a}_0 , we have that

$$(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0)'(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{X}\hat{a}_0) = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}|_{a_0=\hat{a}_0} = \sum_{t=0}^n a_{0,t}^2$$

where $a_{0,t}$ is an estimate of a_t obtained by the recursion in (1) with a_0 replaced by \hat{a}_0 . Consequently, the exact log-likelihood function of an MA(1) process is

$$\ell(\theta, \sigma_a^2) \propto -\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma_a^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 - \theta^{2(n+1)}}{1 - \theta^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2} \sum_{t=0}^n a_{0,t}^2.$$

In practice, exact MLEs require heavier computation than the conditional MLEs do. This can easily be seen from the above log-likelihood function. Essentially, for a given value of θ , one needs to go through the data twice; one to estimate \hat{a}_0 and the second to compute $a_{0,t}$. However, the exact method provides more accurate estimates than the conditional one does, especially when θ is close to the unit circle for which the effect of initial noise a_0 is more persistent. As a rule of thumb, one can start with conditional estimates, then uses these estimates as initial values and performs an exact estimation. Of course, for large sample sizes, the differences between exact and conditional MLE are small.

The above approach in effect applies to ARMA models in general. The algebra is, of course, more involved. See Hillmer and Tiao (1979) for details. HT's paper is for multivariate time series, but univariate time series is just a special case.

Exercise: Derive the exact likelihood function of a stationary ARMA(1,1) model. (Hint: the initial values required are Z_0 and a_0 .)

The approach of Ansley (1979) to evaluating exact likelihood function is slightly different. This approach is a direct generalization of the AR(1) case we discussed earlier. For a stationary ARMA(p, q) model, let $r = \max(p, q)$. Then, consider the likelihood function of (Z_1, \dots, Z_r) , which involves inversion of an $r \times r$ covariance matrix. Then, partition the jointly density as

$$f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = f(Z_{r+1}, \dots, Z_n | Z_1, \dots, Z_r) f(Z_1, \dots, Z_r)$$

for which the first term in the right hand side can be transformed into a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n .