

Probability and Measure Solutions

WispyAbyss

December 10, 2024

Contents

Forward	1
Chapter 1.1 - Borel's Normal Number Theorem	1
Notes	1
The Unit Interval	1
The Weak Law of Large Numbers	2
Solutions	4

Forward

This document will contain notes and solutions corresponding to Probability and Measure, Third Edition, by Patrick Billingsley [amazon].

Chapter 1.1 - Borel's Normal Number Theorem

Notes

For a complete understanding of probability, you need to understand an infinite number of events as well as a finite number of events. We try and present why that must be so here.

The Unit Interval

We take the length of an interval $I = (a, b] = b - a$. Note, for A a disjoint set of intervals in $(0, 1]$, we have that $P(A)$ is well defined. If B is a similar

disjoint set, and is disjoint from A , $P(A + B) = P(A) + P(B)$ is well defined as well. Note - we haven't defined anything for intersections yet. These definitions can also directly stem from the Riemann integral of step functions.

The unit interval can give the probability that a single particle is emitted in a unit interval of time. Or a single phone call comes in. However, it can also model an infinite coin toss. This is done as follows - for $\omega \in (0, 1]$, define:

$$\omega = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(\omega)}{2^n}$$

Where $d_n(\omega)$ is 0 or 1, and comes from the binary expansion of ω . We take ω as the non terminating representation. Note, we were particular when we defined intervals as half inclusive. Examine the set of ω for which $d_i(\omega) = u_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$. We have that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{2^i} < \omega \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{2^i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}$$

We cannot have the lower extreme value, as this would imply ω takes on its terminating binomial representation, which is what we said we would not do. This is our first taste, I guess, of measure 0 sets, we still have:

$$\mathbb{P}[\omega : d_i(\omega) = u_i, i = 1, \dots, n] = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Note, probabilities of various familiar events can be written down immediately. Ultimately, note, however, each probability is the sum of disjoint dyadic intervals of various ranks k . Ie, all the events are still well defined by our probability definition above. We have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega : \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\omega) = k\right] = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{2^n}$$

All these results have been for finitely many components of $d_i(\omega)$. What we are interested in, however, is properties of the entire sequence of $\omega = (d_1(\omega), d_2(\omega), \dots)$.

The Weak Law of Large Numbers

What I like about this chapter, is to me - it *emphasizes* the connection between the *structure of real numbers*, and probability. At the end of the

day - probability can be seen as just extracting properties of *frequency* over the real numbers, to be understood as probabilistic statements. However, with just our basic real numbers - we can't really prove a lot of properties about infinite things. That is when measure theory comes in later. However, for now, we look at what we can prove - and that starts with the weak law of large numbers. We have:

Theorem 1.1 - The Weak Law of Large Numbers For each ϵ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\omega : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \geq \epsilon \right] = 0$$

Probabilistically - this is saying that if n is large, then there is a small probability that the fraction/relative *frequency* of heads in n tosses will deviate much from $1/2$. Think about it as a statement over the real numbers as well - it is also interesting. Ultimately, the intervals containing ω that do not satisfy the above are getting smaller and smaller and smaller. We formalize this with the following concept:

As $d_i(\omega)$ are constant over each dyadic interval of rank n if $i \leq n$, the sums $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\omega)$ are also constant over rank n . Thus, the set in the theorem is just a disjoint union of dyadic intervals of rank n . Note - the theorem is saying, that the total weight given to those intervals gets smaller and smaller as n goes to infinity.

Now, we go over how to prove the theorem. It relies on rademacher variables:

$$r_n(\omega) = 2d_n(\omega) - 1$$

These are ± 1 when $d_n = 1/2$. Note, these have the same "being constant on dyadic intervals" properties as $d_n(\omega)$. We define:

$$s_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\omega)$$

And so, our theorem is equivalent to proving:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\omega : \left| \frac{1}{n} s_n(\omega) \right| \geq \epsilon \right] = 0$$

Note, rademacher functions also have interpretations, probabilistically, of random walks and such. With these variables, we can ultimately find prop-

erties, going all the way to:

$$\int_0^1 s_n^2(\omega) = n$$

However, what interests me is the following: Chebyshev's Lemma, but as a property of the real numbers. We have:

Lemma - Chebyshev's Inequality If f is a nonnegative step function, then $[\omega : f(\omega) \geq \alpha]$ is for $\alpha > 0$ a finite union of intervals, and:

$$\mathbb{P}[\omega : f(\omega) \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 f(\omega) d\omega$$

Proof: Note, it is all just properties of step functions. Let c_j correspond to the step intervals $(x_{j-1}, x_j]$, and let \sum' be the sum over $c_j \geq \alpha$. Then, we have quite easily:

$$\int_0^1 f(\omega) d\omega = \sum c_j(x_j - x_{j-1}) \geq \sum' c_j(x_j - x_{j-1}) \geq \sum' \alpha(x_j - x_{j-1}) = \alpha \mathbb{P}[\omega : f(\omega) \geq \alpha]$$

Thus, we have Chebyshev's inequality, and with it, we can easily prove the Weak Law of Large Numbers. However - it is important to note - these are *properties over the real numbers*, as much as they are probabilistic properties.

Solutions