Retrospective of Sprint Two (31 May 2022)

Group 5

What was done well

- 1. All stories have vertical slices that pass through all the application layers
- 2. Trunk based development is applied properly and improved significantly from sprint one in the sense that there were no merge conflicts present.
- 3. Sprint velocity = $\frac{Num \ story \ points}{Num \ sprints} = \frac{35+36}{2} = 35.5$
- 4. Many pull requests were made to merge to the main branch, and accompanying each pull request was a code review by another project member.
- 5. There were no code dependencies between group members.

What needs to be improved

- 1. More comments need to be added where applicable.
- 2. Linting need to be applied.
- 3. File names need to be standardized and variable names need to be self-explanatory.
- 4. The quality of tests must be improved; more database testing needs to be implemented.
- 5. Better time management should be utilised for each sprint.
- 6. Well designed, portable code should be prioritized over code that is implemented in a rush.
- 7. A more consistent UI and mobile-first design philosophy should be prioritised