University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
School of Electrical and Information Engineering
ELEN4006A

Ver 4.2

COURSE PROJECT ASSESSMENT FORM
(30 HOURS - SHARED REPORT)

Student Name:
Student Name:

Final Mark:

	Unacceptable	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Brief description of outcome	Justification for outcome rating if NOT rated *Acceptable*
Background & Problem Understanding						Identification of requirements, assumptions, success criteria and constraints. Contextualisation with respect to relevant literature and existing solutions.	
Quality of Engineering Output						Quality of output achieved (functionality, maintainability, reliability). Evidence of insight, originality or attention to detail. Application of appropriate engineering methodology to arrive at output.	
Critical Analysis & Evaluation						Validation and critique of final output. Discussion of tradeoffs. Recommendations for future work and possible improvements.	
Technical Communication						Quality as a professional & technical document: target audience; logical structure; style, language and tone; support material (graphical/tabular/math); Format; citation & referencing.	

Rating	General Interpretation
Unacceptable	No evidence provided; invalid/irrelevant approach, method, execution; completely flawed.
Poor	One or more major flaws, otherwise complete; one or more components very poor.
Acceptable	No more than minor flaws, otherwise complete; no distinguishing features.
Good	Shows insight; some distinguishing feature(s).
Excellent	Exceptional insight and multiple distinguishing features.

All outcomes are weighted equally.
If any outcome is rated Unacceptable*, then the overall mark will be capped at 40%.*

Late Submission *(Penalty on Final Mark)*	**Submitted deliverables late?**	
	Within 1 Hour: -5%	
	Before 16h30: -15%	**Penalty:** 0
	See School policy on late submissions.	

Mark Adjustment *(Examiners Discretion)* ±2%	0

Examiner's Overall Comments:		Date:	
		Signature:	