# Persistence Closure for the Gobo Eiffel compiler

# Wolfgang Jansen wjansen@soft.cs.uni-potsdam.de

June 29, 2012

#### 1 Introduction

The distribution contains an addendum to the *Gobo* compiler for scanning the persistence closure of an object and to treat all touched data in some manner. The main application is storing the persistence closure to a file and retrieving it from a file in the sense of class STORABLE described in [2] and [1]. As it turned out, much more was necessary than just to implement one class: additional classes and an own run time environment. Below follows a short description of all the new things. (On first reading you may skip over to the usage part at page 12.)

The implementation essentially follows two ideas. First, storing the persistence closure of an object is just like a deep\_clone where the copy is written to a file instead to main memory. Second, the internal object structure is accessed in the sense of class INTERNAL described in the books mentioned.

Unfortunately, class INTERNAL was (and still is) implemented incompletely. As it turned out, it was advantageous to implement a couple of auxiliary classes and to implement the persistence closure on top of them instead relying on INTERNAL. These classes provide type information (e.g. how many attributes a class has, their names and types). That information is known during compile time and has to be written to the C code in order to make it available during run time. That means, the compiler had to be changed such that it writes the needed information to C code.

The C code added this way consists essentially of a collection of type, attribute, and routine descriptions. Sure, compared to Gobo's general coding scheme for deep traversal the collections request one or two additional indirections. When traversing the persistence closure the indirections are not the time consuming process, but searching objects in the list of already traversed objects is; and not to forget the time for writing or reading. Thus, the chosen approach may be acceptable.

The change of the compiler is essentially an addition, too, such that

- the integrity of the compiler is not affected;
- the generated C code is (up to the addition) the same as before;

• the performance of the running program is not affected.

The disadvantage of the approach is that for each new compiler release the changes have to be adapted. The adaption followed the development of *Gobo* 3.9. In the following the main properties (seen from the user's point of view) of the implementation will be described.

The manual describes first (Secs. 2 through 4) the properties of the new classes, then how to use it (Sec. 6).

# 2 Basic properties

#### 2.1 Basic and independent store

All three variants, basic, general and independent store, described in [2] have been implemented. But general and independent store modes are identical, so the in following only basic and independent stores will be described.

Basic store mode is aimed at retrieving the persistence closure by the storing system itself (during the same session or a later one). Here, systems are considered identical if their root classes and their Eiffel compilation times are equal. The C compilation times may differ, the systems may even run on different platforms (so, the implemented basic store mode is more general than what is described in [1]). The file contents is more compact and writing/reading is faster than the independent store mode.

If stored in independent store mode by one *Eiffel* system the persistence closure can be retrieved by another one. Additionally to the data written in basic store mode, this mode writes type descriptions onto the file to ensure correct data association during retrieval. Retrieval works if the types of all stored objects are "alive" in the restoring system. This means, object creation for each type must somewhere occur in the class texts of the restoring system and must not have been eliminated as dead code. It is not necessary that objects of those types have already been created when retrieval starts (this means that the object creation may be dead semantically). Moreover, agents must be "alive" too: for any agent object read from the store file there must be an agent clause in the restoring system referring to the same routine with the same set of open arguments. If types or agents are missing then the corresponding data will be skipped when read. This may often be the appropriate behaviour.

#### 2.2 Version independence

The independent store mode supports version independence (i.e. version differences between stored and retrieved types) as follows:

- 1. **no** change of class names;
- 2. **no** change of attribute names and of those routine names associated with an agent;

- 3. attributes present in a stored type but absent in the restoring version of the type are ignored (they are not longer needed);
- 4. attributes absent in a stored type but present in the restoring version of the type are set to their default values;
- 5. change of an attribute's typeset: the value in the store file is assigned to the attribute if an assignment attempt would do so;
- change of INTEGER\_\* attributes to other INTEGER\_\* types, of NATURAL\_\*
  attributes to other NATURAL\_\* types, as well as of REAL\_\* attributes to the
  other REAL\_\* type (the change from REAL\_64 to REAL\_32 may cause loss of
  accuracy);
- change of a type from expanded to not expanded status, but not yet vice versa;
- 8. change of the inheritance structure has no effect directly but may induce cases 3 or 4.

If the restoring system is identical (in the sense of basic store, see Sec. 2.1) to the storing system then none of these changes can occur and any consistence checks or data adaption will be skipped, and the retrieval is nearly as fast as retrieving a persistence closure stored by basic store mode (this makes basic store less important). On the other hand, if both systems are different then the retrieval takes remarkable more time.

# 2.3 Hardware needs and independence

On lowest level the implementation is based on the following assumptions about the hardware:

- 1. the memory is byte organised, i.e. if **p** is a POINTER addressing a certain memory location then **p+1** addresses the next byte;
- 2. negative integers are represented in two's complement;
- 3. floating point data follow the IEEE754 standard.

To my knowledge, almost all contemporary computers satisfy these requirements.

The persistence closure is written in binary format. To make the format portable a specific coding scheme is used for elementary data.

- 1. BOOLEANs as one byte;
- 2. CHARACTER\_8s as one byte each (common ASCII format);
- 3. CHARACTER\_32s as the corresponding INTEGER\_32 code;
- 4. INTEGER\_\*s and NATURAL\_\*s are written in 7-bit chunks (obtained by arithmetic shift, starting at low order bits) accompanied with a continuation bit until all bits have been written, negative INTEGER\_\*s get also a sign bit in the last byte;

- 5. REAL\_\*s are stored in two parts, first the exponent as an INTEGER, second the mantissa in 7-bit chunks (obtained by arithmetic shift, starting at high order bits);
- 6. POINTERs are not stored (c.f. Sec. 3.1).

### 2.4 Error handling

In case that an error arises the following happens.

- 1. Exceptions raised by the underlying file system are forwarded to the caller of STORABLE, PC\_SERIALIZER PC\_DESERIALIZER.
- When during retrieval incorrect contents is read (e.g. a negative type number) then retrieving stops and a developer exception is raised. The same happens if a basically stored persistence closure is retrieved by a different system.
- 3. If during retrieval an object of a "non-alive" type is read then the type name is added to PC\_DESERIALIZER's list of missing\_types (similarly for missing agents) and retrieving continues by skipping over the object data. Further, if an INTEGER\_\* value is to be converted to a smaller type and the value is too large then has\_large\_integer is set to true. Anyway, exceptions are not raised.

Reading the persistence closure to the end when situation 3 arises has the advantage that following (and hopefully correct) file contents can be read in.

# 3 Restrictions

#### 3.1 What is not stored?

The following categories of data are not stored:

• pointer values (precisely, they are stored as NULL pointers): it is assumed that they denote entities of external code whose structure is unknown in Eiffel, moreover, their values will probably be invalid during retrieval time;

#### 3.2 Other restrictions

• The binary format of the store file is not compatible to that of *ISE*, but work is in progress to implement the PC\_ classes on *ISE* systems.

#### 4 Advanced features

#### 4.1 Use of default\_create

During retrieval from an independently stored file new objects of reference type are created by means of the type's version of default\_create provided that this

is a creation procedure of the type (otherwise, the standard method described in [2] is used). Any attributes occurring in the store file then overwrite the default setting, while the default setting is preserved for attributes not occurring in the store file and for POINTER values. This way, objects may be more correct when retrieved from independent store and if the class versions differ or if default\_create was able to set appropriate POINTER values.

This method of object creation is more elaborated then the one described in [2]: default\_create was not yet part of *Eiffel* when this book had been written and all attributes of new objects were preset to theirs type default value. Using the more elaborated method seems to be in the spirit of *Eiffel* since one source of errors is now excluded.

#### 4.2 Identification of once values

The retrieved persistence closure of an object is self-contained in the sense that there are no references from within the closure to objects outside. A special question remained open so far: how are the objects in the closure related to global data such as constants and values of once functions? In short, those values are not stored. But storing can be controlled such a way that each stored object of reference type gets an indication whether it is identical to a once value. If so then the class and function names defining the once values are stored, too.

The effect during retrieval is as follows. When an object indicated this way has been retrieved and the associated once function of the restoring system has not yet been initialised then it gets initialised by the object. This means that retrieving the object is considered as the first call to the once function. On the other hand, if the once function has already been initialised then the association does not take place, i.e. once value and the retrieved object are really two objects. The identification helps to make the retrieved objects consistent with global settings of the restoring system.

The identification process does not work for expanded objects occurring in the persistence closure since they are copies of once values and cannot be identified with the once value itself (in contrast, once values of reference types can be identified by their references). Similarly, the values of basic expanded types cannot be identified with constant attribute definitions. In particular, the last category includes the values of unique INTEGERs. In contrast to explicitly defined constants or explicitly assigned once values, their values may change between system versions in a manner not controllable by programmers (e.g. a 99 in one version may become a 123 in the next). Thus, a retrieved INTEGER value whose meaning is to denote one out of a range of unique integers may not belong to the restoring system's actual range of these unique integers. This is expressed by the following warning.

#### Warning

If the stored persistence closure is to be retrieved by a different system then it should be avoided to store objects whose attributes depend on unique INTEGERs.

# 4.3 Other classes for persistence closure

Class STORABLE as it is described in [2] has two disadvantages. First, retrieving an object does not follow the query/command separation principle:

```
my_obj ?= storable_obj.retrieved
```

has a side-effect (namely moving the file's read position). Second, there is an asymmetry between storing and retrieving. When storing an STORABLE object, say storable\_obj, the object stores itself. When retrieving by storable\_obj as target then this is a device to retrieve something else.

To overcome these drawbacks and also to add a bit more functionality, two more classes have been implemented: PC\_SERIALIZER and PC\_DESERIALIZER, and STORABLE is merely a wrapper class.

Class PC\_SERIALIZER lets you write a comment onto the file. The comment may be used for data description if the file name is not sufficient. After retrieval the comment is available in feature comment of class PC\_DESERIALIZER. Moreover, this class offers some features, e.g. missing\_types, which describe possible failures of the retrieval.

Storing and retrieving is done as follows:

```
some_storing_procedure (some_object: detachable ANY)
   local
      f: RAW_FILE
      s: PC_SERIALIZER
      dd: STRING
   do
      dd := "data description"
      create f.make_open_write ("some_file")
      create s
      s.put (some_object, f, dd)
                                             -- independent store
      s.put_basically (some_object, f, dd) -- basic store
      f.close
   end
some_retrieving_function: detachable ANY
   local
      f: RAW_FILE
      d: PC_DESERIALIZER;
   do
      create f.make_open_read ("some_file")
      create d
      d.read
      Result := d.top_object
      f.close
   end
```

# 4.4 More data handling

Besides storing and retrieving in binary format, more treatments of the persistence closure are possible. To this end, an effective descendent class of PC\_ABSTRACT\_TARGET is to be developed whose procedures define the treatment of elementary data while the traversal through the persistence closure is organised by class PC\_SERIALIZER. Several effecting classes come with the distribution, and the following are integrated into the PC\_SERIALIZER class:

- 1. printing in a human readable format (i.e. "deep printing" of the object) by put\_text, this may be of interest when testing a program;
- 2. storing in XML format by put\_xml (experimental, retrieval not yet implemented);
- writing to memory by put\_memory, this way, the behaviour of deep\_twin is mimicked.

#### 4.5 Class PC\_ACTIONABLE

Class PC\_ACTIONABLE is the implementation of class ACTIONABLE described in [2] <sup>1</sup>, it has been developed to control the storing and retrieving of objects of the descendant classes of PC\_ACTIONABLE.

For example, an attribute of a \*\_FILE\_\* type may logically represent an open file after retrieval since it was open during store time, but it is not opened physically since connection needs an explicit call to the operating system. To make the object consistent, procedure post\_retrieve should be rewritten to open the file physically or, the other way around, pre\_store and post\_store may be rewritten to close the file before storing and to re-open it afterwards.

The typical implementation of the procedures of PC\_ACTIONABLE looks like the following.

```
pre_store
   do
      preserve
                          -- save contents of 'Current'
                          -- prevent storing of 'attr1'
      attr1 := Void
      attr2.modify
                           -- store a modification of 'attr2'
   end
post_store
   do
                           -- revert modification of 'attr2'
      attr2.revert
                           -- reset contents of 'Current'
      restore
   end
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It was planned to implement class ACTIONABLE directly and to put it into cluster \$GOBO/library/free\_elks/kernel. But then client classes could not be compiled by the *ISE* compiler that does not provide the class in its own free\_elks cluster.

```
post_retrieve
  do
     create attr1.make -- new value of 'attr1'
     attr2.revert -- revert modification of 'attr2'
end
```

Procedure preserve is a feature of PC\_ACTIONABLE and performs a standard\_twin of Current, the resulting object is held outside the persistence closure. Conversely, procedure restore of PC\_ACTIONABLE resets Current by a standard\_copy from that object. Modifications of attributes of attributes, ... (such as attr2.modify in the example) have to be reverted explicitly (here, by attr2.revert); modify and revert are user supplied procedures.

#### Warning

Procedures post\_store and post\_retrieve may rely on the attributes of Current but they should not depend on attributes of attributes, ... in case of cyclic object dependencies. Procedures post\_store respectively post\_retrieve may have not been called for subattributes, i.e. these may still be invalid. (There is no problem if Current and the attributes involved do not belong to a cycle of object dependencies, in particular, if they are expanded.)

In case of the example this means that attr2.revert may be dangerous.

# 4.6 A tool to analyse store files

Class PC\_TOOL provides an interactive tool to analyse an existing store file. It is meant to be used as root class, and an ACE file comes with it. The tool holds the file name and the object descriptions of a loaded store file which then can be analysed immediately, or which are analysed by re-reading the file. The objects and their types are represented by internal numbers.

The tool has command line user interface which provides the commands given in table 1 on page 9. Parameters are to be written as follows:

tid manifest integer denoting a type ident (type idents are available via command types);

id manifest integer with an leading underscore denoting an object ident (the underscore is primarily needed in command select to distinguish object idents from manifest integers);

f valid Eiffel identifier or an Eiffel simple manifest STRING (i.e. enclosed in quotation marks).

Commands rename and select need more explanation. Command rename reads from file f pairs of old and new names, one pair each non-empty line (and

Command	Params	Meaning		
load	f	Load data from file $f$		
info		Show header info of the loaded file		
size		Print type and object counts, memory size		
data	[ <i>f</i> ]	Write the data in readable format to file $f$ , default:		
		standard output		
xml	f	Write data in $XML$ format to file $f$		
types		Print the list of types and type idents		
fields	tid	Print field names of type tid		
rename	f	Rename class or attribute names according to dictio-		
		nary in file f		
objects	tid	Print object idents having type tid		
name	id	Print the qualified name of object id		
verbose	id	Like name id including type names		
select	$d \; \mathtt{from} \; tid$	Print data $d$ from objects of type $tid$ (see text for		
		details)		
extract	id f	Store persistence closure of object $id$ to file $f$		
help		Print this command table		
quit		Quit the tool		

Table 1: Interactive commands of class PC\_TOOL

ignoring Eiffel comments). Precisely, a pair may be constructed as follows:

```
pair ::= old\_class\_name \ new\_class\_name
| old\_class\_name"."old\_attribute\_name \ new\_attribute\_name
| "."old\_feature\_name \ new\_attribute\_name
```

where in the last case the *old\_class\_name* is taken from the most recent pair containing an *old\_class\_name*. It is wise after renaming to save the new settings by command

#### extract \_1 new\_file\_name

Command select has been inspired by command SELECT of SQL but there are subtle semantic and formal differences. The contents of the loaded store file is interpreted as if for each type an SQL table existed, the columns of the table are the type's attributes and the rows are the objects having the dynamic type in question (conformance is not supported since the store file does not contain inheritance information). The select command acts on these tables similar to the SQL command. Formally, the complete command in Backus-Naur form is given in table 2 on page 10 (italics denote non-terminals, teletypes denote terminals, normal text is comment; select is the axiom). There are no JOIN, GROUP, HAVING, or LIMIT clauses. The parameter of the FROM clause is the type number (not a type name). The ORDER BY clause starts just by a colon (to make command parsing easy), its parameter is a sequence of numbers of columns of

```
select ::= select \ data \ from \ type \ [where \ expr] \ [order]
    data ::= \{column ", " \dots \}^+ - columns to print
 column ::= expr [as alias] | all - keyword all means all attributes
    expr ::= multidot \mid integer \mid real \mid "("expr")" \mid expr \ op \ expr
multidot := eif\_id \mid object\_id \mid alias \mid multidot"."eif\_id
  eif_{id} := - attribute name (only attributes of reference type or
                INTEGER_*, NATURAL_*, REAL_* are supported)
object\_id := - object ident: manifest integer with leading underscore
                (to be different from manifest numbers)
 integer := - Eiffel manifest integer number
    real ::= - Eiffel manifest real number
   alias::= - alias name: Eiffel indentifier with leading underscore
                (to be different from attribute names)
      op := - arithmetic, logical, or comparison operator of Eiffel
                (but no and then, or else)
    type ::= integer - type ident
   order ::= " : " \{sort\_id", " ... \}^+ - order clause
 sort\_id ::= integer ["+" | "-"]
```

Table 2: Syntax of the select command

the data part (not column names) and the optional +, - signs mean the SQL keywords ASCENDING or DESCENDING. There is always a column having number 0 containing the object ident of the actual object, it is automatically used as the order criterion of lowest priority.

Commands load, help, and quit are always available, the others only if a store file is loaded that was written in independent store mode. The file to be loaded may also be given as command line parameter. After loading ident numbers and type information of all stored objects are known but not the object contents whereas commands data, xml, select, and extract need to re-read the file.

A final hint: the command names may be abbreviated to as many characters to make the command unique (in most cases, just one character). In case of a non-unique abbreviation, the commands take precedence in the order of the command table.

# 5 Examples and benchmarks

The example cluster \$GOBO/example/persistence/data shows the effect of storing/retrieving of an object whose attributes are of different kind: most basic expanded types, user-expanded/not-expanded, special types (both expanded/not-expanded), tuples, and agents (both call agent and inline agent). One attribute is part of a cyclic dependency (the famous Almaviva-Figaro-Susanna example from [2]). Moreover, the object's class inherits from PC\_ACTIONABLE and the effect of the procedures of this class is demonstrated. The additional example clusters \$GOBO/example/persistence/dead and \$GOBO/example/persistence/modified show, respectively, what happens if the retrieving system does not define the type of the root object or if it applied some minor modifications of involved classes.

To demonstrate the efficiency of the classes the persistence closure of an artificial system has been analysed consisting many highly interconnected objects. The following tables show the size of different store modes as well as the time consumption on a specific platform. It is remarkable that the binary store files are more compact than the storage in memory (here: a 32-bit architecture, for a 64-bit architecture the figure is to be multiplied by 1.5), moreover, the rate of further compression is about 2 (the compression rate of other binary files such as executable binaries is often about 4, i.e. they are less compact).

	indep.	basic	XML	Text	Memory	ISE
plain	6 999	6998	108975	46374	10 031	25023
gzip	3596	3595	7649	5127	n.a.	5393
bzip2	3 630	3629	4967	3922	n.a.	4058

Table 3: Size in kBytes for different store and compression modes ("text" means the human readable format, "plain" means no compression). For comparison, the memory needs of the persistence closure and the same system independently stored by the *ISE* generated system are shown, too. The persistence closure consists of 517 897 reference objects in 11 types.

	indep.	basic	ISE
store	3.50	3.52	5.59
retrieve (same system)	3.65	3.62	n.a.
retrieve (other system)	4.53	n.a.	2.43
to memory	n.a.	4.01	n.a.
deep_twin	n.a.	5341.32	3.48

Table 4: Time consumption in seconds for different store modes, the top object is the same as in Tab. 3. For comparison, time consumption of deep\_twin and the runtime of the *ISE* generated system is shown, too.

The larger retrieval time by another system (here, merely a recompiled sys-

tem) than by the storing system is caused by the effort to determine whether the retrieved object's dynamic type belongs to the typeset of the attribute to be set and, if so, to determine the right place of the retrieved object within its enclosing object (that place is known when retrieving by the storing system). The runtime of a call to deep\_twin is added for comparison to storing to memory (both routines have the same functionality). Function deep\_twin takes so many time since references to already copied objects are stored in an auxiliary array to be searched for repeatedly occurring objects whereas the PC\_\* classes use hash tables for this purpose.

For comparison, the performance of persistence management of the same object by the *ISE* compiler has been tested. The tables show in columns *ISE* the results for the *ISE* system relying on class STORABLE. Only the independent store mode and the memory copy have been tested. The size of the *ISE* generated files is in much larger than that of the *Gobo* generated files (why is mysterious) while the time consumption of is less specific: storing/retrieving times of the *Gobo* implementation are between *ISE*'s pure *C* implementation, and the runtime when storing to memory is comparable ("to memory" of *Gobo* is to be compared to deep\_twin or "to memory" of *ISE*).

# 6 Usage

Because the handling of the persistence closure is written in Eiffel, also its classes have to be known during compilation: clusters

```
${GOBO}/library/introspection
${GOBO}/library/introspection/gec
${GOBO}/library/persistence
${GOBO}/library/persistence/gec
${GOBO}/library/kernel/basic
${GOBO}/library/time
```

have to be added to the cluster part of the ACE file (or their equivalents to the XACE file). The clusters have to be added even in the case that the new classes are not used explicitly, e.g. if class STORABLE is used. If the persistence tool described in Sec. 4.6 is to be installed then also cluster

```
${GOBO}/library/persistence/tool
```

is to be added. This cluster contains also the appropriate XACE file.

If the system will be compiled by means of geant and if system.xace contains directly or indirectly (i.e. by recursively mounting other XACE files) the tags

```
<mount location="$GOBO/library/library.xace"/>
<mount location="$GOBO/library/kernel.xace"/>
```

then nothing is to be done: the latter XACE files provide all clusters needed.

# References

- [1] MEYER, B.: Reusable Software: The Base Object-Oriented Libraries. Prentice Hall, 1994. 1, 2
- [2] MEYER, B.: Object-Oriented Software Construction. Prentice Hall, 1997.
   1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11

# A File format

After a header part containing information about the creator, the store mode etc., the main part of the store file follows: an object's persistence closure. Its structure will be described in this appendix.

The general syntax of the persistence closure stored in binary files is given by the following Backus-Naur form.

### Closure of an object:

```
(1) \qquad Closure ::= Object\_ident \ \{Type\_or\_data \ Object\_ident \}^*
(2) \qquad Type\_or\_data ::= Announcement \mid Data
(3) \qquad Announcement ::= Type [Count] [Once]
(4) \qquad Data ::= \{Field\}^{Count}
(5) \qquad Field ::= Reference \mid String \mid Basic \mid Data
(6) \qquad Reference ::= Object\_ident [Announcement [Data]]
(7) \qquad Once ::= Class [Routine\_name]
```

#### Closure of a type:

```
(8)
                      Type ::= Type\_ident [Flags Type\_def]
                Type\_def ::= Basic\_type \mid Reference\_type \mid
                               Special\_type \mid Tuple\_type \mid Agent\_type
(9)
(10)
               Basic\_type ::= Class
          Reference\_type ::= Class\ Generics\ Field\_defs
(11)
(12)
             Special\_type ::= Item\_type
(13)
               Tuple\_type ::= Generics
               Agent\_type ::= Routine\_name\ Open\_closed\ Base\_type
                               Field\_defs
(14)
                     Class ::= Class\_ident \ [\ Class\_name \ ]
(15)
                 Generics ::= Count \; \{ \, Type \, \}^{Count}
(16)
               Field\_defs ::= Count \{Type [Field\_name]\}^{Count}
(17)
```

#### Elementary data:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} (18) & \textit{Class\_name} ::= \textit{String} \\ (19) & \textit{Routine\_name} ::= \textit{String} \\ (20) & \textit{Open\_closed} ::= \textit{String} \\ (21) & \textit{Object\_ident} ::= \textit{Natural} \\ (22) & \textit{Type\_ident} ::= \textit{Natural} \\ (23) & \textit{Class\_ident} ::= \textit{Natural} \\ (24) & \textit{Count} ::= \textit{Natural} \\ \end{array}
```

Some remarks seem to be in order, in particular, to explain how alternatives are resolved, how repetition counts are obtained, and when optional parts are present.

Object\_idents are NATURALs to identify the stored objects, e.g. consecutively increasing (implemented) or the file position (not implemented). The Object\_idents are distributed into three exclusive categories: unknown, announced, and ready. The category may (and in most cases will) change during the Object\_ident's life time as follows:

```
unknown \rightarrow announced \rightarrow ready.
```

The Void reference is considered to be a ready "object" and gets the *Object\_ident* 0.

A *Type\_ident* denotes a type of the storing system and is related to the type's internal ident there. In case of basic store, all *Type\_idents* are ready from the beginning, otherwise, they are unknown, then their category varies during as follows:

$$unknown \rightarrow ready.$$

- 2. The axiom (i.e. the starting element) of the syntax is rule (1): Closure. The sequence stops as soon as a ready Object\_ident is encountered. This serves as some sort of end-of-file marker and denotes the object whose persistence closure the file contains. The other Object\_idents are associated to the following Type\_or\_data entry. The ordering of objects within the file is, in general, not prescribed.
- 3. Not surprisingly, an *Announcement* is selected in rule (2) if the *Ident* is unknown, otherwise *Data*.
- 4. Reading an Announcement changes the associated Object\_ident's category: from unknown to announced. Count is present in case of a SPECIAL type meaning the associated object's field count. The Announcement contains enough information to allocate memory for the object represented by the Object\_ident, and it is guaranteed that the Announcement of an object is written before the Data. The Once part is present if requested by the storing procedure (c.f. Sec. 4.2).

- 5. Reading Data (4) fills the fields of the object denoted by its Object\_ident and changes the Object\_ident's category from announced to ready. Count denotes the number of fields of an object: the number of elements if it is a SPECIAL object otherwise, the number of attributes. In any case, Count is given by the Anoncement associated to the same Object\_ident. Fields are arranged in the order prescribed the object's Type.
- 6. The alternatives in rule (5) are resolved by the *Field*'s type: *Reference* if it is a reference type, *Basic* if it is a basic expanded type, and *Data* (with the *Object\_ident* of Void) if it is another expanded type. In the latter case, the *Field*'s type controls the *Data*.
- 7. A Reference is represented by its object's Object\_ident. If that Object\_ident is unknown then the Type follows embedded into an Announcement (that turns the Object\_ident into announced). For the Data entry in rule (6) entry see the discussion on different variants below.
- 8. If the object announced is actually the value of a once function then *Once* (7) contains the description of its defining class and its *Routine\_name*. Otherwise, *Class* reduces to *Class\_ident* = 0 and *Routine\_name* is absent.
- 9. The Type (8) includes Flags and Type\_def iff its Type\_ident is unknown, this is changed to ready. The Flags are a couple of bits containing information to distinguish in rule (9) between the different kinds of Type\_defs. The Type\_def contains information to identify the type uniquely:
  - (a) A Basic type (10) is a basic expanded type; it is identified solely by its Class.
  - (b) A Reference\_type (11) denotes a type different from any SPECIAL type, TUPLE type or agent description, respectively. It is identified by its Class and by its actual generic parameters.
  - (c) A Special\_type (12) is identified solely by its item type.
  - (d) A *Tuple\_type* (13) is identified by its actual generic parameters (tuple labels do not play any role).
  - (e) An Agent\_type (14), i.e. the type of an object created by an agent expression, is identified by the target type and the name (within that type) of the routine to call, and how open and closed operands are mixed. To this end, Open\_closed is a string composed of question marks for the open operands and underscores for the closed operands.
- 10. Like *Type*, the *Class* (15) includes the *Class\_name* when the *Class* is encountered for the first time.
- 11. An Field\_defs block (17) is present in rule (3) if attribute descriptions are needed. In particular, attribute descriptions of Special\_types and Tuple\_types are automatically generated according to the item and generic types, respectively, so they do not occur in the file. Field\_defs (17) of Reference\_types are identified by their name whereas those of

Agent\_types (i.e. the descriptions of closed operands and the last\_result, if any) are identified by position. In the latter case, the Field\_name is not present.

12. Basic is one of Boolean, Character\_\*, Integer\_\*, Natural\_\*, Real\_\* (recall that POINTERs are not stored), and Natural is Natural\_32. Data of these types are written onto the files as described in Sec. 2.3.

String is a STRING\_8. STRING\_\* objects are considered atomic, they consist of the number of characters (formatted like any other Natural) followed by the sequence of characters. Thereby, STRING\_32 objects are stored as their corresponding UTF-8 form.

There are three special variants (requested by the storing system and coded in the file header), each making the syntax a bit more simple, especially rule (6).

 The field Types (if of a reference type and the Object\_ident of the object there is unknown) are written before the Data of the enclosing object. This way, only announced and ready Object\_idents occur in rule (6) and this rule becomes:

 $Reference := Object\_ident$ 

2. In case of an unknown Object\_ident only the Announcement is written in rule (6). This way, only the Object\_ident of the root object occurs in rule (1) followed by the sequence of Data entries. Rule (6) becomes

 $Reference ::= Object\_ident [Announcement]$ 

3. In case of unknown *Object\_idents* the object's *Data* follow immediately the *Announcement* in rule (6) dropping the leading *Object\_ident* of *Data*. The *Object\_ident* becomes ready since *Data* have been written. Only the *Data* of the root object occurs in rule (1). Rule (6) becomes

 $Reference ::= Object\_ident [Announcement Data]$ 

Variant 3 is more efficient than the others since it generates a slightly shorter file and needs much less auxiliary data to work, this variant is used in put\_memory. Variant 2 stores the objects in a flat manner with the root object first. All commands of the tool described in Sec. 4.6 can operate on files stored by this variant, so this variant is the default when storing to a file. The first variant is used to store XML files and, with special output format, it is used by the compiler extension to store the system description (also an Eiffel object) to the generated C code.