Science and Computers II: Project 4

Numerical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation

The Schrödinger equation for a one-dimensional simple harmonic potential is given by

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}kx^2\right)\psi = E\psi$$
(1)

where \hbar Planck's constant divided by 2π , k is the "spring constant" of the oscillator and E is the energy. There are a number of techniques one can use to numerically solve the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. In this exercise you will find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a hydrogen atom vibrating in this potential.

When dealing with numerical solutions of equations like this, it is often useful to use dimensionless variables to eliminate very small numbers (such as \hbar) from the numerics. Equation (1) can be made dimensionless by letting

$$\hat{x} = \left(\frac{mk}{\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x$$

$$\hat{E} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} E$$

Demonstrate that \hat{x} and \hat{E} are dimensionless and show that when we transform to these variables equation (1) simplifies to

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{d\hat{x}^2} + \left(\hat{E} - \hat{V}\right)\psi = 0. \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{V} = \hat{x}^2$.

For physically acceptable solutions, i.e. those for which $|\psi|^2$ is integrable, we require $\psi \to 0$ as $\hat{x} \to \pm \infty$. This is only possible for certain values of \hat{E} called eigenvalues.

Analytic solutions exist to equation (2) in the form

$$\psi = H_n(\hat{x}) \exp\left(-\frac{\hat{x}^2}{2}\right)$$

where $n \ge 0$ is an integer and H_n are the Hermite polynomials defined by $H_0 = 1$, $H_1 = 2\hat{x}$ and the recurrence relation

$$H_{n+1} = 2\hat{x}H_n(\hat{x}) - 2nH_{n-1}(\hat{x})$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\hat{E}_n = 2n + 1$$
 where $n \ge 0$ is an integer.

For a general differential equation of the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}y(x) = f(x, y(x))$$

we can use an algorithm called *Numerov's Method* to integrate the equation. Starting from the Taylor expansion for $y(x_n)$ we get for the two sampling points adjacent to x_n

$$y_{n+1} = y(x_n + h) = y(x_n) + hy'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2!}y''(x_n) + \frac{h^3}{3!}y'''(x_n) + \frac{h^4}{4!}y''''(x_n) + \frac{h^5}{5!}y'''''(x_n) + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

$$y_{n-1} = y(x_n - h) = y(x_n) - hy'(x_n) + \frac{h^2}{2!}y''(x_n) - \frac{h^3}{3!}y'''(x_n) + \frac{h^4}{4!}y''''(x_n) - \frac{h^5}{5!}y'''''(x_n) + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

The sum of those two equations gives

$$y_{n-1} + y_{n+1} = 2y_n + h^2 y_n'' + \frac{h^4}{12} y_n'''' + \mathcal{O}(h^6)$$

We solve this equation for y_n'' and replace it by the expression $y_n'' = -f_n y_n$ which we get from the defining differential equation.

$$f_n y_n = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(2y_n - y_{n-1} - y_{n+1} + \frac{h^4}{12} y_n'''' \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

We take the second derivative of our defining differential equation and get

$$y''''(x) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} [f(x)y(x)]$$

We replace the second derivative $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ with the second order difference quotient and insert this into our equation for $f_n y_n$

$$f_n y_n = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(2y_n - y_{n-1} - y_{n+1} - \frac{h^4}{12} \frac{f_{n-1} y_{n-1} - 2f_n y_n + f_{n+1} y_{n+1}}{h^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

We neglect the terms of $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ collect the terms for y_n and thus get

$$\left(1 + \frac{h^2}{12}f_{n+1}\right)y_{n+1} = \left(2 - \frac{h^2(12-2)}{12}f_n\right)y_n - \left(1 + \frac{h^2}{12}f_{n-1}\right)y_{n-1}$$

and so

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{\left(2 - \frac{5h^2}{6}f_n\right)y_n - \left(1 + \frac{h^2}{12}f_{n-1}\right)y_{n-1}}{1 + \frac{h^2}{12}f_{n+1}}$$

Therefore, since we can write the Schrödinger equation in the form

$$\frac{d^2\phi(x)}{dx^2} = f(x)\phi(x)$$

for a uniformly spaced set of grid points labeled i = 0, 1, 2, ... with spacing h the value of ϕ at grid point i + 1 is approximately related to the values at grid points i and i - 1 by

$$\left(1 - \frac{h^2}{12} f_{i+1}\right) \phi_{i+1} = \left(2 + \frac{5}{6} h^2 f_i\right) \phi_i - \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{12} f_{i-1}\right) \phi_{i-1} \tag{3}$$

where f_i and ϕ_i are the values of f(x) and $\phi(x)$ at x = ih.

We must think about where to start the integration and about the boundary conditions there. The obvious starting points are at $\hat{x} = 0$ or at $\hat{x} = \infty$ (or in practice some large value). Since $\hat{V}(\hat{x})$ is symmetric, solutions will fall into even or odd categories. If we start at $\hat{x} = 0$, convenient boundary conditions will be

$$\phi(0) = 1,$$
 $\frac{d\phi}{d\hat{x}} = 0$ ϕ even,
 $\phi(0) = 0,$ $\frac{d\phi}{d\hat{x}} = 1$ ϕ odd.

Note that you will only need values of ϕ at two grid points to start this calculation, but the boundary condition only provides values at one grid point. Values at a second point can be calculated using a Taylor series expansion

$$\phi(h) = \phi(0) + h\phi'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}\phi''(0) + \frac{h^3}{6}\phi'''(0) + \frac{h^4}{24}\phi^{(4)}(0) + \cdots$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to \hat{x} . Show that this leads to

$$\phi(h) = \phi(0) + \frac{h^2}{2} f(0)\phi(0) + \frac{h^4}{24} \left[f''(0)\phi(0) + 2f'(0)\phi'(0) + f^2(0)\phi(0) \right] + \cdots \text{ even solutions,}$$

$$\phi(h) = h\phi'(0) + \frac{h^3}{6} \left[f(0)\phi'(0) + f'(0)\phi(0) \right] + \cdots \text{ odd solutions.}$$

Note that for the harmonic oscillator, f'(0) = 0, even n corresponds to even solutions and odd n corresponds to odd solutions.

Write a program to integrate equation (1) from $\hat{x} = 0$ to some suitable upper bound \hat{x}_1 using the algorithm given in equation (3) and plot both the numerical results and the analytic solution. Your program should take h, \hat{x}_1, n and \hat{E} as input and should be able to deal with both even and odd n. You may find it convenient to write $f(\hat{x})$ and the Numerov algorithm as functions. Note that equation (1) is homogeneous and so a solution may be scaled by an arbitrary factor and still be a solution. As described above, the analytical and numerical solutions may have different scalings which must be allowed for when they are compared.

Choose h = 0.05 and $\hat{x} = 5$ initially. Explore the dependence of the solution on the value of E by running your program with $\hat{E} = 0.95, 1.0$ and 1.05.

Why does your numerical solution differ from the analytic solution for large \hat{x} ?

Devise a method by which the eigenvalue $\hat{E} = 1$ can be obtained starting at a trial value of \hat{E} reasonably near $\hat{E} = 1$. One possibility, by no means the best, is to look for the value of \hat{E} which gives the smallest value of the wavefunction at $\hat{x}_1 = 5$. Thus starting from the first trial value proceed by small steps in the direction of \hat{E} which reduces this value.

Compute also the solutions of \hat{E} near $\hat{E} = 3, 5$ and 7.