

Module 2: Kinematic Closure, Weak Reciprocity, and Newton Linearization

Validating G2: Minimal Curvature Condition $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = 0$

G2S — Module Contract Fulfillment (V.tex Compliant)

October 13, 2025

1 Input Node: Reciprocity and Variational Setup

The unique analytic closure for the admissible history \mathbf{U} minimizes the *Informational Curvature Action* $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{U}]$ over the Hilbert space $H^2([x_0, x_n])$:

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{U}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{[x_0, x_n]} (\mathbf{U}'')^2 dx.$$

The minimization is subject to fixed interpolation nodes and the *natural boundary conditions* $\mathbf{U}''(x_0) = \mathbf{U}''(x_n) = 0$.

Definition 1 (Bilinear Form of Reciprocity). *The symmetric bilinear form $\mathsf{B} : H^2([x_0, x_n]) \times H^2([x_0, x_n]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the weak form of the variational principle is*

$$\mathsf{B}(\mathbf{U}, \varphi) = \int_{[x_0, x_n]} (\mathbf{U}'') \varphi'' dx.$$

2 Theorem: Kinematic Closure ($\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = \mathbf{0}$)

Theorem 1 (Strong Kinematic Closure ($\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = \mathbf{0}$)). *The unique minimal-curvature solution \mathbf{U}^* compatible with Event Selection is the stationary point of $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{U}]$, which yields the Euler–Lagrange strong form $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = \mathbf{0}$.*

|hr|

G2 Proof Obligation Fulfillment.

G2 Proof Obligation. *(Provide stationarity, reciprocity, and refinement consistency.)*

Reciprocity and coercivity. The bilinear form $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{U}, \varphi)$ is symmetric.

Coercivity holds by a Poincaré-type inequality on $H^2([x_0, x_n])$. **Weak**

form (stationarity). The first variation vanishes:

Find $\mathbf{U} \in H^2([x_0, x_n])$ such that $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{U}, \varphi) = 0 \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{V}$.

Strong form (Euler–Lagrange). Integrating by parts twice, boundary terms vanish by $\mathbf{U}''(x_0) = \mathbf{U}''(x_n) = \mathbf{0}$ and the test space definition, yielding

$$0 = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{U}, \varphi) = \int_{[x_0, x_n]} (\mathbf{U}^{(4)}) \varphi \, dx \implies \mathbf{U}^{(4)} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Refinement consistency (spline). The stationary solution \mathbf{U}^* is the cubic spline interpolant. Convergence of the discrete scheme confirms the continuum limit.

□

|hr|

Remark 1 (Kernel elimination). *The homogeneous strong form $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = \mathbf{0}$ admits the four-dimensional kernel $\text{span}\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$. Fixed interpolation*

nodes together with $\mathbf{U}''(x_0) = \mathbf{U}''(x_n) = 0$ remove the kernel, ensuring the unique cubic spline solution \mathbf{U}^* .

3 G2: Operational Interpretation and Noether Bridge

Physical meaning of $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = 0$. The closure condition $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = 0$ selects the minimal-information path consistent with global reciprocity.

[Davisson–Germer and kinematic consistency] Electron diffraction demonstrates that a discrete event chain (particle) must obey the continuous propagation law induced by the reciprocity principle behind $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = 0$. The observed intensity peaks are *fixed points* of reciprocal measurement under lattice translations.

Residual and Newton step (index-free). The kinematic closure is interpreted as a numerically tractable root-finding problem. Define the residual R and (Fréchet) Jacobian J :

$$R(\mathbf{U}) := \mathbf{U}^{(4)}, \quad J(\mathbf{U}) := \frac{\partial R}{\partial \mathbf{U}}.$$

Given an iterate $\mathbf{U}^{(k)}$, the update $\delta\mathbf{U}$ solves the linear system:

$$J(\mathbf{U}^{(k)}) \delta\mathbf{U} = -R(\mathbf{U}^{(k)}).$$

Noether bridge (API-level, index-free). Stationarity $\delta\mathcal{A} = 0$ is the hypothesis for conservation. From a local density L and symmetry Ξ ,

$$\mathbf{T} \leftarrow N[L, \mathbf{U}; \Xi], \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} = 0.$$

Thus $\mathbf{U}^{(4)} = 0$ provides the structural input for the conservation law formalized in G4S.