

V.tex: The Verification Tensor

A Jacobian-Free Newton–Krylov (JFNK) Specification for Manuscript Verification

October 13, 2025

Abstract

This document formalizes a verifier \mathcal{V} for a research manuscript produced by \mathcal{P} as a nonlinear system $F(x) = \mathbf{0}$. We decompose F into orthogonal residual channels (logic, structure, notation, citation), minimize a weighted norm, and solve the induced Newton step with a Jacobian-Free Newton–Krylov (JFNK) method using finite-difference Jacobian actions, block preconditioning, eigenmode deflation, and a trust-region line search. Gold checks (unit tests) on $\Delta S \geq 0$, $U^{(4)} = 0$, the spline \Rightarrow Euler–Lagrange chain, reciprocity, and MA \leftrightarrow continuity provide hard constraints for acceptance.

1 State, Residual, and Objective

Let the manuscript state be $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, encoding a finite set of units (claims, lemmas, definitions, equations, figures, citations) plus a sparse dependency graph. The verifier maps x to residuals

$$F(x) = \mathcal{F}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} r_{\text{logic}}(x) \\ r_{\text{structure}}(x) \\ r_{\text{notation}}(x) \\ r_{\text{citation}}(x) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_\ell + m_s + m_n + m_c}. \quad (1)$$

We minimize a composite norm

$$\|F(x)\| = 10 \|r_{\text{logic}}(x)\|_2 + 4 \|r_{\text{structure}}(x)\|_2 + 3 \|r_{\text{notation}}(x)\|_2 + 2 \|r_{\text{citation}}(x)\|_2, \quad (2)$$

with $10 \gg 4 \geq 3 \geq 2$ so logical soundness dominates.

1.1 Channel Models (engineering forms)

Logic channel. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{E \times V}$ be the incidence matrix of the premise \rightarrow claim DAG and $s_i \in [0, 1]$ a satisfaction score for premise i (1 = stated & proved, 0 = missing). For each edge ($i \rightarrow j$) with threshold $\tau \in (0, 1]$, define

$$r_{\text{logic}}^{(i \rightarrow j)}(x) = [\tau - s_i]_+. \quad (3)$$

Stack all edges to obtain r_{logic} .

Structure channel. Let $A_{\text{struct}}x = b$ encode linear placement/templating constraints (e.g. “each theorem has exactly one statement and one proof block”). Then

$$r_{\text{structure}}(x) = A_{\text{struct}}x - b. \quad (4)$$

Notation channel. Let T be the symbol table; for symbol σ , P_σ projects x onto the components using σ and t_σ is the canonical anchor (definition+scope). With positive-definite weights W_σ ,

$$r_{\text{notation}}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in T} W_\sigma (P_\sigma x - t_\sigma). \quad (5)$$

Citation channel. For obligations $Cx + \xi \geq d$ with slacks $\xi \geq 0$ (one inequality per claim that requires a cite), define

$$r_{\text{citation}}(x) = \xi, \quad \xi = [d - Cx]_+ \text{ (elementwise)}. \quad (6)$$

2 Jacobian-Free Newton–Krylov

We seek a Newton step δx solving $J(x)\delta x = -F(x)$. We do not form J explicitly; we approximate its action via directional differencing.

2.1 Finite-difference Jacobian actions

For any direction v ,

$$J(x)v \approx \frac{F(x + \varepsilon v) - F(x)}{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \frac{1 + \|x\|_2}{1 + \|v\|_2}, \quad (7)$$

with $\varepsilon_0 \in [10^{-6}, 10^{-4}]$ (text-space is noisy; start at 10^{-4}).

2.2 Krylov subspace and GMRES

Given $r_0 = F(x)$, build

$$\mathcal{K}_m(J, r_0) = \text{span}\{r_0, Jr_0, J^2r_0, \dots, J^{m-1}r_0\} \quad (8)$$

using modified Gram–Schmidt (optionally blocked by channel). Solve the linearized system with (F)GMRES, right-preconditioned (next section).

Algorithm 1 JFNK with Trust Region and Deflation (single outer iteration)

Require: state x , tolerance τ , max Krylov dim m , restart m_r , initial step cap α_{\max}

- 1: $r \leftarrow F(x)$; $r \leftarrow \text{DEFLATE}(r)$
 - 2: Solve $J(x)\delta x = -r$ with (F)GMRES using actions (??) and preconditioner M^{-1}
 - 3: Choose $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_{\max}]$ by ratio test $\rho = \frac{\|r\| - \|F(x + \alpha\delta x)\|}{\text{model decrease}}$
 - 4: **if** $\rho < \rho_{\min}$ **then**
 - 5: $\alpha \leftarrow \alpha/2$ and retry (up to a few cuts)
 - 6: **end if**
 - 7: $x^+ \leftarrow x + \alpha\delta x$; **return** x^+
-

3 Preconditioning and Deflation

3.1 Block preconditioner

Use a block-diagonal right preconditioner

$$M^{-1} \approx \begin{bmatrix} M_\ell^{-1} & & & \\ & M_s^{-1} & & \\ & & M_n^{-1} & \\ & & & M_c^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where:

- M_ℓ^{-1} : *premise fill* (auto-insert missing premises if present elsewhere), *cycle break* (promote/demote nodes to restore DAG);
- M_s^{-1} : template normalization (Theorem \rightarrow Proof \rightarrow Corollary), duplicate squash (min-hash/cosine);
- M_n^{-1} : symbol unification (single source-of-truth macros), conflict rename;
- M_c^{-1} : obvious BibTeX fixes; otherwise mark **needs-cite**.

3.2 Eigenmode deflation

Maintain a set $\{q_i\}$ of solved residual shapes (low-eigenvalue modes). Before Krylov builds, project them out:

$$r \leftarrow r - \sum_i \frac{\langle r, q_i \rangle}{\langle q_i, q_i \rangle} q_i, \quad v \leftarrow v - \sum_i \frac{\langle v, q_i \rangle}{\langle q_i, q_i \rangle} q_i. \quad (10)$$

4 Trust Region and Edit Budget

Accept $x^+ = x + \alpha\delta x$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ chosen by the ratio test in Algorithm 1. Impose a per-iteration edit budget (*e.g.*, $\leq 2\%$ tokens changed; at most one theorem moved) to avoid overshoot.

5 Gold Checks (Hard Constraints)

These must pass for acceptance:

- G1.** Formal statement and scope of $\Delta S \geq 0$ with explicit premises (discrete causal counting) and no hidden thermodynamic assumptions.
- G2.** Coherence condition $U^{(4)} = 0$ appears exactly where used; $U^{(4)}$ is defined once without symbol drift.
- G3.** Spline \Rightarrow Euler–Lagrange chain occurs once, cleanly:

$$J[y] = \int (\lambda \|y''(t)\|^2 + \phi(y(t))) dt \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(\partial_{y'}\mathcal{L}) - \partial_y\mathcal{L} = 0.$$

- G4.** Reciprocity functional is stated with correct variational form and boundary terms; no sign inconsistencies.
- G5.** MA \leftrightarrow continuity boundary is clearly delimited; set-theoretic heuristics are annotated as meta-assumptions, not proof steps.

6 Stopping Criteria and Acceptance

Stop when all hold:

- (i) $\|F(x)\| \leq \tau$ for (??);
- (ii) All **G1–G5** pass;
- (iii) No high-severity logic items remain (logic channel empty);
- (iv) Last accepted step has $\alpha = 1$ or Krylov stagnates with negligible residual.

7 Audit Trail (Deterministic Handoff to \mathcal{P})

Each iteration emits:

- channel-wise residual summary and norms;
- ranked findings with suggested local edits (preconditioner actions flagged);
- updated deflation set $\{q_i\}$;
- accepted step size α and observed decrease.

Remark 1 (Minimal integration steps). *To wire this into your loop: (1) build the four residual channels from the current manuscript graph and symbol/citation tables; (2) expose $F(\cdot)$ and the action oracle $v \mapsto J(x)v$ via (??); (3) enable the preconditioner blocks as cheap, local transforms; (4) enforce the edit budget and gold checks at accept time.*