

SUMS OF DISTANCES BETWEEN POINTS ON A SPHERE. II

KENNETH B. STOLARSKY

ABSTRACT. Given N points on a unit sphere in Euclidean m space, $m \geq 2$, we show that the sum of all distances which they determine plus their discrepancy is a constant. As applications we obtain (i) an upper bound for the sum of the distances which for $m \geq 5$ is smaller than any previously known and (ii) the existence of N point distributions with small discrepancy. We make use of W. M. Schmidt's work on the discrepancy of spherical caps.

1. Introduction. For $m \geq 2$ let d be a function on $U \times U$ where $U = U^m$, the surface of the unit sphere of m dimensional Euclidean space E^m . Let M_p be a sequence of N points $p_1, \dots, p_N \in U^m$. Define

$$(1.1) \quad S(N, m, M_p) = S(d; N, m, M_p) = \sum_{i < j} d(p_i, p_j)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad S(N, m) = S(d; N, m) = \max S(d; N, m, M_p)$$

where the maximum is taken over all sequences M_p . We wish to obtain estimates for $S(N, m)$. Our main result, Theorem 2 of §2, shows in a very exact sense that for a certain class of functions d , including the usual Euclidean distance $d(p, q) = |p - q|$, the quantity $S(N, m, M_p)$ is large or small depending upon whether $D(M_p)$, the discrepancy of M_p , is small or large. Since W. M. Schmidt [9] has obtained very good results on the discrepancy of point distributions on U , we can obtain (see Theorem 1 below) estimates on $S(N, m)$ which are far better than any hitherto known. Earlier results can be found, *inter alia*, in [1], [2], [3, p. 261, Remark 1], [4], [5], [8, pp. 36–38], and [12]; however, this paper can be read independently of these. Also, by applying Lemma 2.4 of [1] we can show (see Theorem 3 of §4) that there exist finite sequences M_p having small discrepancy. A precise definition of the discrepancy $D(M_p)$ is given after the statement of Theorem 2.

If d_0 is the great circle metric then $S(d_0; N, m) = (\pi/4)N^2$ for N even (see

Presented to the Society, December 18, 1972; received by the editors February 2, 1973.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 52A25, 52A40.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

[7] and [11]; [7] also discusses the case N odd). For $q_1, q_2 \in U$ define

$$(1.3) \quad d_1(q_1, q_2) = \sigma(U)^{-1} \int |d_0(q_1, p) - d_0(q_2, p)| d\sigma(p) \\ \leq d_0(q_1, q_2) \leq (\pi/2) |q_1 - q_2|$$

where $d\sigma(p)$ is the element of surface area on U , and the total surface area of U is denoted by $\sigma(U)$. Clearly d_1 is a metric. Now (and henceforth) we let $c(m)$, $c_1(m)$, $c_i(m, \varepsilon)$, etc., denote positive constants depending on the indicated parameters. Here $c(m)$ and $c_1(m)$ equal the coefficient of N^2 in (2.4), for the appropriate ρ .

THEOREM 1. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 3$ we have

$$(1.4) \quad c_1(m)N^2 - c_2(m)N^{1-1/(m-1)} < S(d_1; N, m) \\ < c_1(m)N^2 - c_3(m, \varepsilon)N^{\theta_1(m)-\varepsilon}$$

where $\theta_1(m) = (m^2 - 4m + 2)(m - 1)^{-2}$. Similarly, when d is the Euclidean metric and $m \geq 3$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad c(m)N^2 - c_4(m)N^{1-1/(m-1)} < S(d; N, m) \\ < c(m)N^2 - c_5(m, \varepsilon)N^{\theta(m)-\varepsilon}$$

where $\theta(m) = (m^2 - 5m + 2)(m - 1)^{-2}$.

The older results, when applied to d , yield at best

$$(1.6) \quad S(d; N, m) < c(m)N^2 - c'(m)$$

for some constant $c'(m) > 0$. In fact, aside from [1], they yield results weaker than (1.6). Thus for $m \geq 5$ the result of (1.5) is stronger than any previously known. It suggests the following question: is there a positive constant $h(m)$ such that

$$S(N, m) = c(m)N^2 - h(m)N^{1-1/(m-1)} + o(N^{1-1/(m-1)})?$$

2. The main result. We let $p_0 \in U$ denote the vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and we denote the inner product of vectors $p, q \in U$ by $p \cdot q$. Note that $p \cdot \tau q = \tau^{-1} p \cdot q$ for any orthogonal transformation $\tau \in SO = SO(m)$, the special orthogonal group acting on U^m . We let $\int \cdots d\tau$ denote a normalized Haar integral over this group. For integrals on the real line we let $\int_{a,b}$ denote \int_a^b unless $b < a$, in which case it shall denote \int_b^a .

DEFINITION 2.1. For $p_1, p_2 \in U$ and a function $g = g(x)$ integrable in $[0, 1]$ we define

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(p_1, p_2) = \rho(g; p_1, p_2) = \iint_{\tau p_1 \cdot p_0, \tau p_2 \cdot p_0} g(x) dx d\tau.$$

Clearly ρ is independent of p_0 , and for $\tau_1 \in SO$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(\tau_1 p_1, \tau_1 p_2) = \rho(p_1, p_2).$$

Note that ρ is a metric if $g(x) > 0$; however, our proof of Theorem 2 does not require this hypothesis. We call g the kernel of ρ . If $g(x) = 1$ then $\rho(p_1, p_2)$ is a constant multiple of the Euclidean distance $|p_1 - p_2|$. The metric d_1 of §1 has kernel $(1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$. It is sometimes useful to relax the restriction on g to integrability on closed subintervals of the open interval $(0, 1)$ and consider ρ to be defined whenever the inner integral is integrable over SO . In particular this permits the kernel $(1 - x^2)^{-1}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\sigma(x) = \{p \in U | p \cdot p_0 \leq x\}$. We also denote the surface area of this set by the *same* symbol. Thus

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(x) = \int_{p \cdot p_0 \leq x} d\sigma(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(1) = \sigma(U).$$

Set $\sigma^*(x) = \sigma(x)/\sigma(U)$. Next, let $f_p = f(M_p, \tau, x) = |M_p \cap \tau\sigma(x)|$ where $|T|$ denotes the cardinality of the set T . Thus f_p is the number of points of M_p which lie in a certain spherical cap congruent to $\sigma(x)$.

THEOREM 2.

$$(2.4) \quad S(\rho; N, m, M_p) + \int_{-1}^1 g(x) \int (f(M_p, \tau, x) - N\sigma^*(x))^2 d\tau dx \\ = N^2 \cdot 2^{-1} \sigma(U)^{-2} \iint \rho(p, q) d\sigma(p) d\sigma(q).$$

This is our main result. The second term on the left of (2.4) clearly measures the discrepancy of M_p ; i.e. the extent to which it deviates from a uniform distribution. We denote it by $D(M_p)$, and call it the "discrepancy of M_p with respect to the weight (or kernel) $g(x)$ ". Theorem B of [9] shows that, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.5) \quad D(M_p) \gg N^{1-1/(m-1)-\varepsilon}$$

for any M_p when $g(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-1}$; the implied constant depends on ε . Theorem 2 is perhaps best appreciated as an invariance principle: the sum of all distances determined by N points plus their discrepancy is constant.

3. The proof. First we prove a useful identity, various forms of which have already appeared explicitly or implicitly in the literature; see [1], [2], [6, p. 196, Theorem 3.1], [10], and [12].

LEMMA. If p_i and q_j are real numbers for $1 \leq i \leq u$ and $1 \leq j \leq v$ with $p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_u$ and $q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_v$ then

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i,j} \int_{p_i, q_j} - \sum_{i < j} \int_{p_i, p_j} - \sum_{i < j} \int_{q_i, q_j} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) G(G - (u - v)) dx$$

where $\int_{a,b} = \int_{a,b} g(x) dx$ and

$$(3.2) \quad G = \sum_{p_j \leq x} 1 - \sum_{q_j \leq x} 1.$$

Note. If $u=v$ and $g(x)=1$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2 dx \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G| dx = \sum_{i=1}^u |p_i - q_i|$$

and (3.1) becomes the inequality of Lemma 2.1 in Alexander's paper [1].

PROOF. Let x be a real number distinct from any p_i or q_j . Let s be the number of p_i to the left of x and t the number of q_j to the left of x . From the identity

$$s(v-t) + t(u-s) - s(u-s) - t(v-t) = (s-t)((s-t) - (u-v))$$

we see that $g(x)$ occurs on the left of (3.1) the same net number of times as it occurs on the right. This proves the Lemma.

We begin our proof of Theorem 2 by letting $u=v=N$ and letting M_p and M_q be the sequences p_1, \dots, p_N and q_1, \dots, q_N respectively. We let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , and τ be elements of SO and

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i,j} \rho(\phi_1 p_i, \phi_2 q_j).$$

If R denotes the right side of (2.4) then

$$(3.3) \quad 2R = \iint \Sigma d\phi_1 d\phi_2.$$

We now recall (2.1), apply the above lemma to Σ with p_i and q_j replaced by $\tau\phi_1 p_i \cdot p_0$ and $\tau\phi_2 q_j \cdot p_0$ respectively, and then apply (2.2). This, and an integration over τ , yields

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \sum_{i < j} \rho(p_i, p_j) + \sum_{i < j} \rho(q_i, q_j) \\ &+ \iint_{-1}^1 g(x) \left(\sum_{\tau\phi_1 p_i \cdot p_0 \leq x} 1 - \sum_{\tau\phi_2 q_i \cdot p_0 \leq x} 1 \right)^2 dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Upon inserting (3.4) into (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 2R &= S(N, m, M_p) + S(N, m, M_q) \\ &+ \int_{-1}^1 \iint g(x) (f(M_p, \phi_1, x) - f(M_q, \phi_2, x))^2 d\phi_1 d\phi_2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now clearly

$$(3.6) \quad \int (f_p - N^*) d\phi_1 = \int (f(M_p, \phi_1, x) - N\sigma^*(x)) d\phi_1 = 0$$

since $N^* = N\sigma^*(x)$ is just the expected value of $f_p = f(M_p, \phi_1, x)$. Since

$$(3.7) \quad (f_p - f_q)^2 = (f_p - N^*)^2 - 2(f_p - N^*)(f_q - N^*) + (f_q - N^*)^2$$

and the integral of the middle term on the right of (3.7) is zero, the right side of (3.5) is the sum of the discrepancies of M_p and M_q with respect to $g(x)$. The proof is completed by setting $p_i = q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and dividing both sides of (3.5) by 2.

Note. One could obtain a result having a more general appearance than (2.4) by using the full strength of the lemma rather than the special case $u=v$. A comparison of this result with (2.4) yields the identity

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{-1}^1 g(x)\sigma(x)(\sigma(U) - \sigma(x)) dx = \frac{1}{2} \iint \rho(p, q) d\sigma(p) d\sigma(q).$$

4. Some applications. We first introduce some notation from [9]. Let μ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on U ; thus $\mu(U)=1$. Let $C=C(r, p)$ be the spherical cap consisting of all points whose spherical distance from $p \in U$ is at most r . Note that $0 \leq r \leq \pi$. Let $\Delta = \Delta(r, p) = N\mu(C) - \nu(C)$ where $\nu(C)$ denotes the number of p_1, \dots, p_N which lie in C . Let $E(r) = \int_U \Delta^2 d\mu(p)$. Schmidt's Theorem B is given on p. 59 of [9]. By replacing his n and δ with $m-1$ and $\pi/2$ respectively we obtain the

THEOREM. *If $m \geq 3$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $N > \varepsilon$ then*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} r^{-1} E(r) dr \geq c_6(m, \varepsilon) N^{1-1/(m-1)-\varepsilon}.$$

For later use we note the trivial estimate

$$(4.2) \quad E(r) \leq c_7(m) N^2 r^{m-1}.$$

(This is Lemma 4, p. 67 of [9]; set $s=r$ and note that Schmidt's $E(r, r)$ is our $E(r)$.) We now obtain a result which shows that (4.1) is not too far from best possible in its dependence on N . For $m \geq 3$ we have

THEOREM 3. *There are points p_1, \dots, p_N on U such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} r^{-1} E(r) dr \leq c_8(m) N^{1-1/(m-1)}.$$

To prove this we need the following special case of a result of Alexander (Lemma 2.4 of [1]).

LEMMA. *Let $\rho(p_1, p_2)$ be a nonnegative function on $U \times U$ and let μ be a Borel measure for which $\mu(U)=1$. Let $P=\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ be a collection of Borel subsets of U such that $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) = \delta_{ij} N^{-1}$ where δ_{ij} is 1 if $i=j$ and 0*

otherwise. Then

$$(4.4) \quad N^2 \int_U \int_U \rho(p, q) d\mu(p) d\mu(q) - \sum_{i=1}^N \rho(A_i) \leq 2S(\rho; N, m)$$

where $\rho(A_i)$ is the "diameter" of A_i ; i.e. $\rho(A_i) = \sup \rho(p, q)$ for $p, q \in A_i$ (Alexander has $|p_1 - p_2|$ in place of $\rho(p_1, p_2)$ but his proof required only the nonnegativity of this function).

We now choose M_p so that $S(\rho; N, m, M_p) = S(\rho; N, m)$. It follows from (2.4) and (4.4) that

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{-1}^1 g(x) \int (f(M_p, \tau, x) - N\sigma^*(x))^2 d\tau dx \leq c_9(m)N \inf_P \max_i \rho(A_i),$$

a result of interest in itself.

To prove Theorem 3 let $g(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-1}$ and set $p_1 \cdot p = \cos \theta_1$ and $p_2 \cdot p = \cos \theta_2$ where θ_1 and θ_2 are the great circle distances between p_1, p and p_2, p respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \rho(p_1, p_2) = c_9(m) \iint_{p_1 \cdot p, p_2 \cdot p} g(x) dx d\sigma(p) \\ &= c_{10}(m) \int |\log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_1 - \log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_2| d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that this integral is finite since $\int |\log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_1| d\sigma(p)$ is finite. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |p_1 - p_2|^{-1} \rho(p_1, p_2) &= c_{10}(m) \int \{|\theta_1 - \theta_2|^{-1} (\log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_1 - \log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_2)\} \\ &\quad \cdot \{|\theta_1 - \theta_2| \cdot |p_1 - p_2|^{-1}\} d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq c_{11}(m) \int |\theta_1 - \theta_2|^{-1} (\log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_1 - \log \tan \tfrac{1}{2}\theta_2) d\sigma(p) \\ &= \int_U. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that \int_U remains bounded as $p_2 \rightarrow p_1$. Let the great circle distance between p_1 and p_2 be $\gamma\pi/10$ where γ is a small positive parameter. Let $B_1 = B_1(\gamma)$ be the set of points $p \in U$ such that $\gamma\pi \leq \theta_i \leq (1-\gamma)\pi$ for $i=1, 2$. Then B_2 , the complement of B_1 , consists of two components, say B_3 and B_4 . The estimates we need for the integrals over B_3 and B_4 will be essentially identical, so we give details only for B_4 which we take to be the component for which $\theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 2\gamma\pi$. Write $B_4 = B_5 \cup B_6$ where B_5, B_6 are disjoint and B_5 consists of those points of B_4 for which $\theta_1 \leq 2\theta_2$. Then

$$\int_U = \int_{B_1} + \int_{B_3} + \int_{B_5} + \int_{B_6} \ll \int_{B_1} + \int_{B_5} + \int_{B_6}.$$

The following two estimates make use of the fact that $d\sigma(p) \ll \theta_i^{m-2} d\theta_i$, and require $m \geq 3$:

$$\int_{B_5} \ll \int_{B_5} |\sin(\min(\theta_1, \theta_2))|^{-1} d\sigma(p) \ll \int_{B_5} \theta_1^{-1} d\sigma(p) \ll \int_0^{\gamma\pi} d\theta = \gamma\pi$$

and

$$\int_{B_5} \ll \int_{B_5} |\theta_2^{-1} \log \theta_2| d\sigma(p) \ll \int_0^{\gamma\pi} |\log \theta| d\theta \ll \gamma |\log \gamma|.$$

Also,

$$\int_{B_1} \ll \int_{B_1} (|\sin \theta_1|^{-1} + |\sin \theta_2|^{-1}) d\sigma(p) \ll 2 \int_U |\sin \theta_1|^{-1} d\sigma(p) < \infty.$$

Thus $\rho(p_1, p_2) \ll |p_1 - p_2|$. Now extend the integral on the left of (4.5) only to 0, and make the change of variable $x = -\cos r$. This yields

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} r^{-1} E(r) dr \leq c_{12}(m) N \inf_P \max_i \rho(A_i).$$

Now clearly one can choose the A_i so that their Euclidean diameters are $\gg \ll N^{-1/(m-1)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. But $\rho(p_1, p_2) \ll |p_1 - p_2|$ so the result follows.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and the above is that if ρ has kernel $(1-x^2)^{-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13}(m)N^2 - c_{14}(m)N^{1-1/(m-1)} &< S(\rho; N, m) \\ &< c_{13}(m)N^2 - c_{16}(m, \varepsilon)N^{1-1/(m-1)-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove Theorem 1. The left-hand inequalities are deduced from (4.4) as in the proof of Theorem 3. For the right-hand inequality of (1.5) we set $g(x) \equiv 1$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} D(M_p) &\geq c_{17}(m) \int_{-1}^0 E(\cos^{-1} |x|) dx = c_{17}(m) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin r E(r) dr \\ (4.7) \quad &\geq c_{18}(m) \int_0^{\pi/2} r E(r) dr \geq c_{18}(m) N^{-\alpha} \int_{N^{-\alpha}}^{\pi/2} E(r) dr \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha > 0$. From (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad c_7(m)N^2 \int_0^{N^{-\alpha}} r^{m-2} dr + N^\alpha \int_{N^{-\alpha}}^{\pi/2} E(r) dr \geq c_6(m, \varepsilon) N^{1-1/(m-1)-\varepsilon}.$$

Set $\alpha = 1/(m-1) + 1/(m-1)^2 + 2\varepsilon/(m-1)$. Then the term on the extreme left of (4.8) has lower order of magnitude than the term on the extreme right, so it follows that

$$(4.9) \quad D(M_p) \geq c_{19}(m, \varepsilon) N^{1-(3+4\varepsilon)/(m-1)-2/(m-1)^2-\varepsilon}.$$

To prove (1.4) we note that in this case

$$(4.10) \quad D(M_p) \geq c_{20}(m) \int_{N^{-\alpha}}^{\pi/2} E(r) dr,$$

so

$$(4.11) \quad D(M_p) \geq c_{21}(m, \varepsilon) N^{1-(2+2\varepsilon)/(m-1)-1/(m-1)^2-\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

I would like to thank J. Ralph Alexander for helpful conversations concerning this work, and also for making available to me a preprint of [1].

REFERENCES

1. J. Ralph Alexander, *On the set of distances determined by n points on the 2-sphere*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **23** (1972), 443–448.
2. J. Ralph Alexander and K. B. Stolarsky, *Extremal problems of distance geometry related to energy integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. G. Björck, *Distributions of positive mass which maximize a certain generalized energy integral*, Ark. Mat. **3** (1956), 255–269. MR **17**, 1198.
4. L. Fejes Toth, *On the sum of distances determined by a pointset*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **7** (1956), 397–401. MR **21** #5937.
5. E. Hille, *Some geometric extremal problems*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **6** (1966), 122–128. MR **33** #6507.
6. J. B. Kelly, *Metric inequalities and symmetric differences*, Inequalities, II (Proc. Second Sympos., U.S. Air Force Acad., Colo., 1967), Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 193–212. MR **41** #9192.
7. F. Nielson, *Om summen af afstandene mellem n punkter på en Kugleflade*, Nordisk Mat. Tidskr. **13** (1965), 45–50.
8. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Über den transfiniten Durchmesser (Kapazitätskonstante) von ebenen und räumlichen Punktmengen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **165** (1931), 4–49.
9. W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution. IV*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969), 55–82. MR **39** #6838.
10. I. J. Schoenberg, *On certain metric spaces arising from Euclidean spaces by change of metric and their embedding in Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 787–793.
11. G. Sperling, *Lösung einer elementargeometrischen Frage von Fejes Tóth*, Arch. Math. **11** (1960), 69–71. MR **22** #2935.
12. K. B. Stolarsky, *Sums of distances between points on a sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 547–549.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801