

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

Iowa State University

December 2, 2014

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Outline

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Mock heterosis data

	Parent (1)				Parent (2)				Hybrid (3)				Truth	
HPH	Feature 1	3	4	2	1	0	0	1	0	700	900	825	860	1
HPH	Feature 2	0	1	1	0	2	7	5	18	50	501	400	90	1
	Feature 3	100	225	0	15	300	106	200	400	70	279	100	123	0
LPH	Feature 4	893	400	760	901	1000	513	760	580	5	5	6	7	1

	Feature 25000	10	13	6	4	902	912	999	825	819	761	800	465	0

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Outline

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Simulation workflow

- ▶ Simulate 30 datasets:
 - ▶ 10 datasets with 4 samples (libraries, columns, etc.) per group
 - ▶ 10 with 8 per group
 - ▶ 10 with 16 per group
- ▶ For each simulated dataset, test for heterosis with
 - ▶ empirical Bayes with STAN (Eric's method)
 - ▶ edgeR
 - ▶ baySeq
 - ▶ ShrinkBayes
- ▶ Compare methods with ROC curves
- ▶ Check FDR control

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Outline

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Apply edgeR to real data to get simulation parameters

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

Normalization factors

c_1	c_2	c_3	c_4	c_5	c_6	c_7	c_8	c_9	c_{10}	c_{11}	c_{12}
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----------	----------	----------

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Main effects and dispersions

Parent (1)	Parent (2)	Hybrid (3)	Dispersion
$\mu_{1,1}$	$\mu_{1,2}$	$\mu_{1,3}$	ψ_1
$\mu_{2,1}$	$\mu_{2,2}$	$\mu_{2,3}$	ψ_2
...
$\mu_{27888,1}$	$\mu_{27888,2}$	$\mu_{27888,3}$	ψ_{27888}

Generating the data

- ▶ Does feature f truly have heterosis?

$$\text{truth}_f = I(\mu_{f,3} > \max(\mu_{f,1}, \mu_{f,2}) \text{ or } \mu_{f,3} < \min(\mu_{f,1}, \mu_{f,2}))$$

- ▶ For a dataset with 4 libraries per group,

$$y_{f,i} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{NB}(\exp(c_i + \mu_{f,t(i)}), \psi_f)$$

- ▶ $t(i)$ is the group of library i .
 - ▶ Resimulate to increase the number of libraries per group.
- ▶ Remove extremely low-count features.
- ▶ Take a random subset of 25000 features from the remaining ones.

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Mock example data with 4 samples per treatment group

	Parent (1)				Parent (2)				Hybrid (3)				Truth	
HPH	Feature 1	3	4	2	1	0	0	1	0	700	900	825	860	1
HPH	Feature 2	0	1	1	0	2	7	5	18	50	501	400	90	1
	Feature 3	100	225	0	15	300	106	200	400	70	279	100	123	0
LPH	Feature 4	893	400	760	901	1000	513	760	580	5	5	6	7	1

	Feature 25000	10	13	6	4	902	912	999	825	819	761	800	465	0

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Outline

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

edgeR

- ▶ Fit a loglinear model to estimate main effects $\mu_{f,t}$
 - ▶ Feature $f = 1, \dots, 25000$
 - ▶ Treatment group $t = 1$ (parent), 2 (parent), 3 (hybrid)
- ▶ Likelihood ratio tests to get p-values $p_{f,1}$, $p_{f,2}$

$$H_{0,1} : \mu_{f,3} = \mu_{f,1} \quad H_{a,1} : \mu_{f,3} \neq \mu_{f,1}$$

$$H_{0,2} : \mu_{f,3} = \mu_{f,2} \quad H_{a,2} : \mu_{f,3} \neq \mu_{f,2}$$

- ▶ Final p-value:

$$p_{f,\text{edgeR}} = \begin{cases} p_{f,1}/2 & \hat{\mu}_{f,3} < \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \text{ or } \hat{\mu}_{f,3} > \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \geq \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \\ p_{f,2}/2 & \hat{\mu}_{f,3} < \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \text{ or } \hat{\mu}_{f,3} > \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \geq \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \\ 1 & \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,3} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \text{ or } \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,3} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \end{cases}$$

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

ShrinkBayes

- ▶ Built on `inla` (integrated nested Laplace approximation).
- ▶ empirical Bayes with a zero-inflated NB likelihood and normal priors.
- ▶ I reparameterize

$$\phi_f = \frac{\mu_{f,1} + \mu_{f,2}}{2} \quad (\text{parental mean})$$

$$\alpha_f = \frac{\mu_{f,2} - \mu_{f,1}}{2} \quad (\text{half parental difference})$$

$$\delta_f = \mu_{f,3} - \frac{\mu_{f,1} + \mu_{f,2}}{2} \quad (\text{hybrid effect})$$

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with `edgeR`, `baySeq`, and `ShrinkBayes`

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

`edgeR`

ShrinkBayes

`baySeq`

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

ShrinkBayes

α_f = half parental difference

δ_f = hybrid effect

- Use contrasts to calculate final posterior probabilities of heterosis:

$$p_{f, \text{ShrinkBayes}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \left| \hat{\delta}_f \right| < \left| \hat{\alpha}_f \right|, \text{ o.w.:} \\ P(\delta_f + \alpha_f > 0 \mid \text{data}) & \hat{\delta}_f > -\hat{\alpha}_f \\ P(\delta_f - \alpha_f > 0 \mid \text{data}) & \hat{\delta}_f > \hat{\alpha}_f \\ P(\delta_f - \alpha_f < 0 \mid \text{data}) & \hat{\delta}_f < \hat{\alpha}_f \\ P(\delta_f + \alpha_f < 0 \mid \text{data}) & \hat{\delta}_f < -\hat{\alpha}_f \end{cases}$$

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

baySeq

- ▶ Estimate main effects $\mu_{f,t}$ using edgeR.
- ▶ Calculate the posterior probability that each feature satisfies:

Model	Constraint
M_1	All $\mu_{f,t}$'s equal
M_2	$\mu_{f,1} = \mu_{f,2}$
M_3	$\mu_{f,1} = \mu_{f,3}$
M_4	$\mu_{f,2} = \mu_{f,3}$
M_5	All $\mu_{f,t}$'s distinct

- ▶ Final posterior probabilities of heterosis:

$$p_{f,\text{baySeq}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,3} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \text{ or} \\ & \hat{\mu}_{f,2} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,3} \leq \hat{\mu}_{f,1} \\ P(M_2 \mid \text{data}) + & \\ P(M_5 \mid \text{data}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Outline

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR

ShrinkBayes

baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Calculating false positive rate (FPR) and true positive rate (TPR)

- ▶ ROC = Receiver Operating Characteristic
- ▶ N_{true} heterosis features, N_{false} null features.
- ▶ Results of testing each feature for heterosis
 - ▶ For edgeR, the ranks are p-values.
 - ▶ For the other methods, take $p(\text{no heterosis} \mid \text{data})$ for each feature.

rank	0.802	0.935	0.539	0.001	...	0.500	0.603
truth	0	0	1	1	...	1	0

- ▶ Sort by rank.

rank	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.006	...	0.901	1.000
truth	1	1	0	1	...	0	0

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Calculating false positive rate (FPR) and true positive rate (TPR)

- ▶ In practice, we would declare the lowest-rank features to have heterosis.

rank	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.006	...	0.901	1.000
truth	1	1	0	1	...	0	0

- ▶ With 2 heterosis genes and 1 null gene, say,

$$FPR = \frac{1}{N_{false}} \quad TPR = \frac{2}{N_{true}}$$

- ▶ Repeat for multiple cutoffs to get multiple (FPR, TPR) pairs.

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest


Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Example ROC curves



The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data


The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Areas under ROC curves



The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest


Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Areas under ROC curves



The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

FDR control procedures

- ▶ Let $p_{(f)}$ ($f = 1, \dots, 25000$) be the ordered ranks
 - ▶ For edgeR, the ranks are now q-values.
 - ▶ For the other methods, take $p(\text{no heterosis} \mid \text{data})$ for each feature.

- ▶ Let $I_{(f)} = I(\text{feature of } p_{(f)} \text{ is not heterosis})$

- ▶ Method 1:

$$\bar{p}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{f=1}^m p_{(f)} \quad \bar{I}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{f=1}^m I_{(f)}$$

- ▶ To control FDR, we need $\bar{I}_m \leq \bar{p}_m$.
 - ▶ Plot $\bar{I}_m - \bar{p}_m$ vs \bar{p}_m for multiple m .
- ▶ Method 2:

$$\bar{p}_m = \frac{1}{100} \sum_{f=m}^{m+100} p_{(f)} \quad \bar{I}_m = \frac{1}{100} \sum_{f=m}^{m+100} I_{(f)}$$

The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest


Constructing ROC curves

ROC results

FDR control procedures

FDR control results

Method 1: stan_laplace



The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data


The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results

Method 2: stan_laplace



The heterosis problem: a comparison of Eric's method with edgeR, baySeq, and ShrinkBayes

Will Landau, Eric Mittman

The problem

The workflow

Simulated data

The contenders

edgeR
ShrinkBayes
baySeq

The contest

Constructing ROC curves
ROC results
FDR control procedures
FDR control results