

Lead in the Water: The Effects of Blood Lead Levels on Incarceration Rates

An Analysis

staRstistics - Will Lieber, Wania Iftikhar Khan, AJ Tenser, Kami Akala

2025-04-10

Introduction

Could exposure to lead increase one's likelihood of going to prison? After our team's systematic review of studies, we have explored the potential effects of lead exposure on brain development in children and adults. Various existing studies highlight the detrimental effects of lead on different brain regions, noticeable in a decrease in executive control and cognitive control, thereby affecting memory, mood, behavior and comprehension skills. Such exposure to lead during the developmental years of children causes irreversible damage, the effects of which can be seen later on in life.

Studies in the past, such as one conducted by Talayero et al. (2023)¹, have highlighted a strong association between lead exposure during childhood and criminal tendencies during adulthood. One can be exposed to lead through different means, including water, which is the medium which we've chosen to investigate. Our research topic inquires about whether a relationship exists between a specified area's water lead levels and its incarceration rates, while also considering potential confounding effects of other demographic factors.

This research topic has important societal implications, namely the complicated intersection of crime, environmental racism, and more. It's an ever relevant question today and we hope to come to meaningful conclusions by the end of our analysis. Our initial hypothesis is that there is a positive relationship between water lead levels and the rate of incarceration with the existence of other interaction effects from things such as race and income. However, we acknowledge the intricate combination of social and institutional factors that increases one's likelihood of incarceration and understand the possibility for inconclusive findings with the focus on lead exposure.

1. [The association between lead exposure and crime: A systematic review](#)


Exploratory Data Analysis

Our Data

We've chosen to create our dataframe from a variety of census data relating to California in 2020. Our data looks at different California census tracts and their respective statistics relating to blood lead levels, income, incarceration rates, and racial demographics. For our analysis, we are particularly focused on `perc_bll_indicator`, `med_income`, our age and race variables and how well they can predict `imprisonment_rt`.

Data Exploration


Incarceration Rate



After removing extreme outliers (the top 1% incarceration rates - some may ultimately be removed because the census tract has an extremely low population ex. ~3 people), the shape of the distribution is unimodal and right skewed. The median incarceration rate is 267 out of 100,000 with an IQR of 257. This doesn't appear surprising - there are fewer census tracts with particularly high imprisonment rates.


Blood Lead Levels

Distribution of Children Under 6
with High Blood Lead Levels in CA
by Census Tract



Income

Distribution of Median Income in CA
by Census Tract



The shape of the income distribution is also unimodal with a less extreme right skew and a median value of 7.7225×10^4 and iqr of `r_inc_iqr`. This doesn't appear surprising - we'd expect median incomes of tracts to be concentrated towards the left.

Spatial Distribution






Figure 1: Spatial Distribution of BLL in California

Especially since census tracts can be extremely small, we'd have to account for spatial dependence in our analysis.






Imprisonment rate and the percentage of a census tract with a high blll has a generally positive correlation. Imprisonment rate and median income has a generally negative correlation. Imprisonment rate and percentage of population aged 15-29 in the census tract has a generally positive correlation.

Potential Interactions

Relationship between income and imprisonment

By median percentages of Black, Native American, and other races




When comparing the relationship between median income and imprisonment rate, it appears that generally they have a negative correlation. This graph suggests there could be an interaction effect between race and income, as the relationship between median income and imprisonment rate differs by race. We created a categorical variable for the percentage of the census tract population that is black, native american, or “other race” that is categorically above or below the median in the data. The relationship between imprisonment rate and median income appears more negatively correlated when categorically above the median census tract population percentage of black, native american, and other_race. This supports that there could be an interaction effect between race and income.

Checking Initial Modelling Conditions


term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	-357.488	162.353	-2.202	0.028
perc_bll_indicator	-1.802	2.848	-0.633	0.527
POC_other	6.274	0.989	6.345	0.000
med_income	-0.001	0.000	-4.522	0.000
median_age	-3.145	1.379	-2.281	0.023
perc_male	17.270	2.859	6.041	0.000
POC_other:med_income	0.000	0.000	-3.974	0.000

Residuals vs Fitted Values




perc_bll_indicator	POC_other	med_income
1.047454	6.401602	2.310705
median_age	perc_male POC_other:med_income	
1.631162	1.030406	3.966140

1. Normality condition can be relaxed.
2. Linearity condition not satisfied. Points not randomly scattered around the $x = 0$ line.
3. Constant variance condition not satisfied. Points fan out across the $x = 0$ line.
4. Independence condition also may not be satisfied. Census tracts next to each other could be more likely to have similar incarceration rates or blood lead levels.




Standardized Residuals vs Fitted Values



log_med_income	perc_bll_indicator	POC_other	median_age
1.674443	1.065711	2.182680	1.644827
perc_male			
1.030724			


Splitting the Data

We were concerned about spatial correlation, so originally split the data with 20% in training and 80% testing to minimize this effect. We received abysmal R squared values when doing this. We are going to perform a form of cross validation called spatial cross validation to address model overfitting and independence issues within our data. Because we are addressing the independence problem using this method, we can now do 80% training and 20% testing. For this, we used ChatGPT to suggest solutions. It suggested spatial cross validation, which we researched further using this source: <https://r.geocompx.org/spatial-cv>




=====	77%
=====	89%
=====	100%

	0%
=	1%
=	2%
==	3%
===	4%
===	5%
===	6%
=====	7%
=====	8%
=====	9%
=====	10%
=====	11%
=====	12%
=====	13%
=====	14%
=====	15%
=====	16%



=====	38%
=====	39%
=====	40%
=====	41%
=====	42%
=====	43%
=====	44%
=====	45%
=====	46%
=====	47%
=====	48%
=====	49%
=====	50%
=====	51%
=====	52%
=====	53%
=====	54%
=====	55%
=====	56%
=====	57%
=====	58%
=====	59%




|=====| 81%
|=====| 82%
|=====| 83%
|=====| 84%
|=====| 85%
|=====| 86%
|=====| 87%
|=====| 88%
|=====| 89%
|=====| 90%
|=====| 91%
|=====| 92%
|=====| 93%
|=====| 94%
|=====| 95%
|=====| 96%
|=====| 97%
|=====| 98%
|=====| 99%
|=====| 100%


train test

1 7411 1442
2 7125 1728
3 7135 1718


4 7403 1450
5 6338 2515



Blood Lead Level Categories by Census Tract



Standardized Residuals vs Fitted Values



term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	11.971	0.330	36.315	0.000
log_med_income	-0.663	0.026	-25.624	0.000
perc_bll_indicator	-0.003	0.003	-1.045	0.296
POC_other	-0.027	0.009	-2.929	0.003
median_age	0.005	0.001	4.476	0.000
perc_male	0.008	0.003	2.915	0.004
log_med_income:POC_other	0.004	0.001	4.522	0.000

Start: AIC=-7536.27

```
log_imprisonment_rt ~ log_med_income + perc_bll_indicator + POC_other +
 median_age + perc_male + POC_other * log_med_income
```

	Df	Sum of Sq	RSS	AIC
<none>		1925.7	-7536.3	
- perc_male	1	2.5847	1928.3	-7529.8
- median_age	1	6.0945	1931.8	-7518.2
- log_med_income:POC_other	1	6.2204	1931.9	-7517.8

Call:

```
lm(formula = log_imprisonment_rt ~ log_med_income + perc_bll_indicator +
```

```

POC_other + median_age + perc_male + POC_other * log_med_income,
data = bll_train)

```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.5390	-0.2884	0.0555	0.3615	5.4796

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	11.9709416	0.3296440	36.315	< 2e-16 ***
log_med_income	-0.6631090	0.0258782	-25.624	< 2e-16 ***
perc_bll_indicator	-0.0026630	0.0025478	-1.045	0.29596
POC_other	-0.0274528	0.0093714	-2.929	0.00341 **
median_age	0.0053888	0.0012039	4.476	7.73e-06 ***
perc_male	0.0079678	0.0027333	2.915	0.00357 **
log_med_income:POC_other	0.0038170	0.0008441	4.522	6.23e-06 ***

Signif. codes:	0 '***'	0.001 '**'	0.01 '*'	0.05 '.'
	0.1 ''	1		

Residual standard error: 0.5515 on 6331 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.4201, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4195

F-statistic: 764.3 on 6 and 6331 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	11.971	0.330	36.315	0.000
log_med_income	-0.663	0.026	-25.624	0.000
perc_bll_indicator	-0.003	0.003	-1.045	0.296
POC_other	-0.027	0.009	-2.929	0.003
median_age	0.005	0.001	4.476	0.000
perc_male	0.008	0.003	2.915	0.004
log_med_income:POC_other	0.004	0.001	4.522	0.000

Table 4: Model Performance (RMSE and R-squared)

.metric	.estimator	.estimate
rmse	standard	184.971
rsq	standard	0.495

Start: AIC=-9001.12

```

log_imprisonment_rt ~ log_med_income + perc_bll_indicator + POC_other +
median_age + perc_male + POC_other * log_med_income

          Df Sum of Sq    RSS     AIC
<none>                 1983.0 -9001.1
- perc_male             1     1.6252 1984.6 -8997.3
- median_age            1    12.1954 1995.2 -8959.7
- log_med_income:POC_other 1   15.4345 1998.4 -8948.2

```

Table 5: Random-Split Model Performance (RMSE and R-squared)

.metric	.estimator	.estimate
rmse	standard	158.152
rsq	standard	0.442

[1] 0.4200831

[1] 0.47341