The interest of single-sensor ultrasound imaging

Luc Jonveaux

Open source tinkerer

Independant

Milly, France
contact@un0rick.cc

Abstract—This document is a model and instructions for LaTeX. This and the IEEEtran.cls file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. *CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.

Index Terms—ultrasound imaging, open source, hardware, ultrasound

I. PRINCIPLES OF ULTRASOUND

A. Physics

B. Modes

1) A-Mode imaging: This modality can be used for example in image free systems for evaluation of vascular stiffness. [3] Probes [4] Systems

but these have not been open-sourced.

Even if relatively simple, the A-mode enables not imaging, but rather measurements, enabling the examination of paranasal sinuses, transkull fluid detection, sinus pathology, skeletal muscle detection in the guided wrist extension, automatic measurement of lumen diameter of carotid artery [8], or bone porosit [9].

- 2) *B-mode*:
- *3) C-mode:*
- 4) Doppler:
- 5) Tomography: [10]

C. Architecture

1) specs from who: [?]

In 1986, the specifications for a General Purpose Ultrasound Scanner were as follows:

- Have linear and sector types - Frequency of interest is 3.5MHz, possibly extensible to 5MHz. - Sector angle of 40 degrees or more - Depth of 18cm - rate of 5 to 10Hz for sector scanning - image to be frozen, displayed on a 512x512 image on 4 bits.

Minimal specifications for hardware can be infered:

- An ADC at at least 10MHz, running on 8bits - 18cm means 240us per acquisition, or 2400 points at 10Msps. Details can be around 0.5mm, that's then 480 points per line. Let's consider 512 for the image size. - Let's consider 60 degree. To have a 1mm resolution at 18cm means 180 lines per image, which will be fed into the 512x512 image. However, given the size of the image and characterics of the piezo, it seems reasonable to have 60 lines over 40 degrees. - Raw images

are then 60x512x8bits, that's a memory of 30kB+ before scan conversion. - 60 lines of 240us means 14ms of an image, capping the framerate to close to 70fps - seems fine. However, mechanical overheads reduce this figure.

- 2) Arrays: However, the need heavier setup, for example to use up to 128 channels setups [16].
- 3) Others: Configurable hardware makes the system resilient to future changes. Beyond this hardware designs can be adjusted without reprinting the circuit board.

FPGAs improve the ability for ultrasound imaging systems to create small form factor and high-performance products with reduced power consumption [11]. In the latter case, it enables the control of a 8 channels platform.

Others use an additional microcontroler is set up between the FPGA and the USB and provide configuration on the fly, providing access to the platform through Matlab. It can interface to an array using several Octal Ultrasound ADC/LNA/VGA/AAF (AD9272) [12], [13].

II. USES

A. Medical imaging

1) Ultrasound as part of medical imaging: Ultrasound is:

* cost-efficiency. Signal generation uses a piezoelectric material, requiring much less than setting up for example a large magnetic field for an MRI scan. * relatively safe: as there are no ionizing radiation, ultrasound devices do not need special safety equipment like shields, or expensive supplies. * light: on the sense that compared to other imaging modalities, devices can be made relatively portable * dynamic

See Kurjak

B. NDT

[5] presents a very interesting design for single element FPGA-based NDE design. It migrates traditionally analog functions, like filtering and envelope extraction to the digital domain, as well as includes an EMI filteR. An interesting data compression scheme is also presented.

C. Other

1) Ultrasound capsule: Swallowable capsule: capsule endoscopy using high frequency microultrasound (US). This is a great example of miniaturisation fitting all in a 10 mm diameter 30 mm long capsule, with all the constraints discussed above [20].

further develops mechanics used in such a capsule [21].

- 2) Fingers movement: [22]
- 3) Sleep apnea: [?]
- 4) Biofeedack:

III. LITTERATURE REVIEW

A. Architectures

B. Mechanics

1) Sweeping means: mechanical sweeping example [14]. One would note however, that for power-uses, plane wave imaging, made possible because of GPUs [15], would limit the formation of an image to a single pulse/receive event.

With high frequency transducers (c. 50 MHz), imaging transducers are relatively smaller, which makes mechanical scanning a great solution. This implies however strong positionning control and motors [4]. In this article, a piezoelectric motor is used in conjunction with an optical encoder.

C. Materials

On most designs, an acoustic window is needed to seal the scanhead while minimizing signal loss.

An oftne used material is the TPX (polymethylpentene), used for example on an hand-held high frequency ultrasound scanner [26] or on another bimorph design [27]. In the latter, the scanhead is located inside a 3D printed plastic probe housing and filled with de-ionized water.

D. Smartphone capabilities

[23] proposes a design usable on a smartphone, connected through USB. Plane-wave acquisition, mentioned earlier [15] also enables smarter captures.

Proof of concept for a portalbe 32-channel ultrasound unit [24]

E. Improvements

```
1) MSAS/MFFS: [17]
[18]
[?]
```

In 1974, [19] showed that using a synthetic aperture design as an hybrid between holography and B scan offers a higher lateral resolution as well as better detection of tilted specular reflectors.

2) Time reversal focusing: @adapt N.Etaix et.al [19] presented an idea of a low-profile acoustic imaging device with only one transmit/receive element. According to the theory, if the medium is reciprocal and the channels impulse response is known, the latter can be re-emitted in time-reversed order. Mathematically, this results in the response auto-convolution [20]. Knowing that the auto-convolution has a peak in the origin, focusing is effectively achieved. The time reversal result is equivalent to matched filtering energy maximization at the desired location in space and time.

3) Compressed sensing: Traditional 2-D and 3D ultrasound require the use of hundreds of delicates sensors, with matching hardware.

Ultrasound 2D arrays, or matrices, have developped available, however this also require more hardware (AFE, ADC units, cabling, etc..) and hence become more complex and expensive to produce, and require heavy data processing.

@todo add [?] thesis

It appears that classical sampling is challenged by the signal processing "compressive sensing" field. This shows that most signals have a sparse representation - a finite sparse signal can be reconstructed from a small set of linear, non-adaptive measurements.

The recent development of compressive sensing shows that one can encode individual voxels through a 'chaotic' intermediary (eg a lense), and allows to design simple ultrasound imaging equipment that can provide 3D imaging using a single-element ultrasound sensor.

Imaging is then offloaded to the processing domain supported by constantly growing electronic devices' capabilities (memory, GPU's).

This open doors to simpler hardware - and new applications [6].

```
[7]
4) 3D enhancement: see augmented [?]
or
[?] or
[?] pour reperer dans l'espace
5) Enveloppe detection: For FPGA [?]
```

IV. OPEN-SOURCE DESIGNS

- A. Past works
- B. Modules

Previous work was done on an arduino-like approach [1].

- C. FPGA board
 - 1) Why FPGAs ?: [?]

6) Data reduction: [?]

D. Forks

V. LESSONS IN OPEN-SOURCE

A. Medical imaging and open source

1) Open source medical hardware: Open hardware lowers some barriers: having a full design under an open-source license provides the key to all to contribute and improve a design. This in turns allows a possible rapid spread of the design, customisation for specific uses, and ad-hoc modification. A design being open means that a higher number of contributors can help inspect and improve it.

Repairs are also easier with the code, with several types of impact (social, environmental and economics) that enhance the lifecycle of a product.

The open source movement has been facilitated by the numerous platforms and communication means, that allow

Paper	Elements	Voltage	ADC	AFE – TGC	Memory	Others
[?]	128	100 Vpp	50Msps @ 12 bits	AFE5805	?	BF: MD2131
[?]	128	100 Vpp	50Msps @ 12 bits	AFE5805	256 MB SDRAM	BF: MD2131
[16]	?	100 Vpp	40Msps @ 12 bits	AFE5805	?	BF: MD2131
[?]	?	?	?	?	256 MB RAM	RPi compatible
[?]	8	+-50V	40Msps @ 12 bits	AFE5808	?	MAX14808
[?]	16	?	150MSPS 14-bit	?	256 MB RAM	n–a
[11]	8	100 Vpp	65MSps @ 12 bits	AFE5809	?	n–a
[?]	8	?	250 MSps @ 8 bits	VCA8500	?	BF: LM96551, PLS: LM96551
[1]	Single	100Vpp	22Msps @ 9 bits	TGC: AD8331	?	Using ADL5511
[?]	Single	?	100Msps @ 14 bits	?	256 MB RAM	n–a
[23]	128 (32 ch)	+-80 V	40MSps @ 12 bits	?	?	Smartphone use
[6]	Single	100 Vpp	200MSps @ 12 bits	?	?	n–a
[?]	16	?	40Msps @	AFE5808	?	MAX14808
[8]	1	80 V	40MSps @ 12 bits	AD9276	?	n–a
[?]	?	2x10Msps, ADC10D020	Custom	?	ADM7155	
[?]	Single	+48V (TC6320)	160Msps	TGC: AD8331	2Gb	RAM: MT41J128M16HA
[?]	128	?	80Msps @ 10 bits	AD9272	16 GB	TX810 as TX-RX
[?]	?	12 V	65 Msps	?	?	?
[?]	64	12 V	20 Msps, 12 bits	?	?	Kintex UltraScale KU040
[?]	1	100 V	64 Msps, 14 bits	MAX4107 + AD603	64Mbytes	?
[?]	64	100Vpp (HV7350)	32.5 Msps @ 12 bit	AFE5851	?	HV: ADP1614-ADP1621
[?]	1	?	80Msps @ 10 bit	SMA231 +THS4509	512 Mb DDRAM	ADC up to 200Msps (ADS5517)
[5]	1	50-400V	80Msps	?	?	XC2S200
[?]	16	100V	150 Msps @ 10 bits	Max2077	?	Pulser: HV7350, AD9254, SMBD7000
[?]	4x32	?	80Msps, 10 bits	?	16 GB	TX810, AD9272

these designs to be copied, but also for robust storage and distribution means.

[2]

Open source hardware can be a disruptive tool on the medical device market. Of course, a caveat has to be made on the non-certification of most of these devices, which in turn would hint at open-source being a major tool in innovation, leaving the industrialisation of such devices to professionals. Shorter development cycles, even for hardware, with open source permits quick iterations over a product.

A study by Niezen [2] lists a selection of open-source medical projects. Most are working with Arduino or Raspberry Pi, showing the importance of existing projects and the way open-source allows for reuse of previous projects. Dedicated hardware is developed for this project, leaving the specifics of the project to be developed by the contributor.

B. Documenting

1) How to document: On this project, a set of documenting tools was created. Documentation is based on the constitution of a project body of knowledge, which consists in code files, documentation files, images, and other files being tagged with structured information.

The concept used has been to store information only once, and closest to the source of the documentation. The main objective is to avoid redundancy and to allow for tracking outdated information, for elimination.

Tags cover for example date and time, type of file, experiment related to the file, probes being used, description of the file, author, ... "Template files", that have "includes" of parts of documentation, also dynamically generate files.

This way, a script parses the whole, possibly unstructured repository and builds a body of knowledge, which in turn is used to generate static pages, that can cover:

- List of experiment and descriptions - List of probes - List of modules - Jupyter notebooks - Readme pages

The structured data and produced static pages are also pushed on a gitbook, so that documentation is easily accessible and searchable. Even Jupyter notebooks are converted to markdown for ease of browsing.

Moreover, a worklog is used to generate a lab-log of research, published on a github-page structure. Presentations are dynamically generated as well from markdown files, and a log of the documentation compilation is generated, tracking broken links, unused/unreferenced files, and untagged documents.

C. Communities

- 1) Hackaday:
- 2) ice40:
- 3) Tindie:
- 4) Slack: Communication is key for open-source projects. Part of it goes through documentation, which enables the constitution of an asynchronous base of knowledge.

However, real-time chat tools, such as slack, is useful for real time interactions, calls around a community, ...

D. Suppliers

E. An example of byproducts: ADCs

For such an analog signal processing project, a Digital Acquisition (DAQ) tool was required. Most AFEs do include ADCs, but starting from scratch required efficient and fast ADCs.

However, due to the speed of acquisition higher than raw data throughput exceed a around 230MB/s, real time was never really achieved and corresponding storage buffer was needed. Several options where explored, including using a BeagleBone Black DAQ at 40Msps, a STM32 onboard DAQ up to 7.2Msps.

Finally, the choice was to develop a high-speed acquisition extension for a omnipresent raspberry pi.

The limitation of the raspberry pi meant that the duration of captures was limited, and that acquisition was limited to around 22Msps, being the rate to which GPIO state was copied to memory.

Interestingly enough, such a polyvalent byproduct was deemed of interest by some researchers.

- F. Phantoms and gel
 - 1) Phantoms: [25] from SPAM
 - 2) Gel:
- G. Open source certification

VI. NEXT STEPS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks guys

REFERENCES

- J. Joseph, A. S. Thrivikraman, R. Radhakrishnan, and M. Sivaprakasam, "ARTSENSTouch - A portable device for evaluation of carotid artery stiffness," in 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), pp. 3755–3758, Aug. 2015
- [2] R. Carotenuto, G. Caliano, and A. Caronti, "Very fast scanning probe for ophthalmic echography using an ultrasound motor," in *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium*, 2004, vol. 2, pp. 1310–1313 Vol.2, Aug. 2004.
- [3] H. Li, Y. Zhou, L. Wang, and X. Wen, "A New Implementation of A-Mode Ultrasound Pulser-Receiver System," in *Modelling and Simulation* 2014 5th International Conference on Intelligent Systems, pp. 187–190, Jan. 2014.
- [4] M. A. A. Wahab, R. Sudirman, C. Omar, and I. Ariffin, "Design of an A-mode ultrasound amplifier for bone porosity detection," in 2016 International Symposium on Electronics and Smart Devices (ISESD), pp. 79–84, Nov. 2016.
- [5] A. Kuzmin, X. Zhang, J. Finche, M. Feigin, B. W. Anthony, and V. Lempitsky, "Fast low-cost single element ultrasound reflectivity tomography using angular distribution analysis," in 2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), pp. 1021–1024, Apr. 2016.
- [6] A. A. Assef, J. M. Maia, and E. T. Costa, "A flexible multichannel FPGA and PC-Based ultrasound system for medical imaging research: initial phantom experiments," *Research on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 31, pp. 277–281, Sept. 2015.
- [7] C. Dusa, P. Rajalakshmi, S. Puli, U. B. Desai, and S. N. Merchant, "Low complex, programmable FPGA based 8-channel ultrasound transmitter for medical imaging researches," in 2014 IEEE 16th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom), pp. 252–256, Oct. 2014.
- [8] J. R. Raj, S. M. K. Rahman, and S. Anand, "Microcontroller USB interfacing with MATLAB GUI for low cost medical ultrasound scanners," Collection of Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, Apr. 2017.
- [9] J. Raj, R. Smk, and S. Anand, 8051 microcontroller to FPGA and ADC interface design for high speed parallel processing systems Application in ultrasound scanners, vol. 19. May 2016.
- [10] C. FRITSCH, "A Full Featured Ultrasound NDE System in a Standard FPGA."
- [11] B. F. Cox, F. Stewart, H. Lay, G. Cummins, I. P. Newton, M. P. Y. Desmulliez, R. J. C. Steele, I. Nthke, and S. Cochran, "Ultrasound capsule endoscopy: sounding out the future," *Annals of Translational Medicine*, vol. 5, p. 201, May 2017.
- [12] X. Wang, V. Seetohul, R. Chen, Z. Zhang, M. Qian, Z. Shi, G. Yang, P. Mu, C. Wang, Z. Huang, Q. Zhou, H. Zheng, S. Cochran, and W. Qiu, "Development of a Mechanical Scanning Device With High-Frequency Ultrasound Transducer for Ultrasonic Capsule Endoscopy," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 36, pp. 1922–1929, Sept. 2017.

- [13] S. Sikdar, H. Rangwala, E. B. Eastlake, I. A. Hunt, A. J. Nelson, J. Devanathan, A. Shin, and J. J. Pancrazio, "Novel Method for Predicting Dexterous Individual Finger Movements by Imaging Muscle Activity Using a Wearable Ultrasonic System," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 22, pp. 69–76, Jan. 2014.
- [14] C.-K. Weng, J.-W. Chen, and C.-C. Huang, "A FPGA-based wearable ultrasound device for monitoring obstructive sleep apnea syndrome," pp. 1–4, IEEE, Oct. 2015.
- [15] A. A. Assef, J. M. Maia, F. K. Schneider, E. T. Costa, and V. L. S. N. Button, "Design of a 128-channel FPGA-based ultrasound imaging beamformer for research activities," pp. 635–638, IEEE, Oct. 2012.
- [16] A. A. Assef, J. M. Maia, E. T. Costa, and V. L. d. S. Nantes, "A compact and reconfigurable 8-channel Ultrasound Evaluation System for experimental research," in 2014 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium, pp. 1607–1610, Sept. 2014.
- [17] R. Bharath, D. Chandrashekar, V. Akkala, D. Krishna, H. Ponduri, P. Rajalakshmi, and U. B. Desai, "Portable ultrasound scanner for remote diagnosis," in 2015 17th International Conference on E-health Networking, Application Services (HealthCom), pp. 211–216, Oct. 2015.
- [18] R. Bharath, D. S. Reddy, P. Kumar, and P. Rajalakshmi, "Novel architecture for wireless transducer based ultrasound imaging system," in 2016 IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES), pp. 432–436, Dec. 2016.
- [19] K. Chatar and M. L. George, "Analysis of Existing Designs for FPGA-Based Ultrasound Imaging Systems," *International Journal of Signal Processing, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition*, vol. 9, pp. 13–24, July 2016.
- [20] P. Govindan, V. Vasudevan, T. Gonnot, and J. Saniie, "Reconfigurable Ultrasonic Testing System Development Using Programmable Analog Front-End and Reconfigurable System-on-Chip Hardware," *Circuits and Systems*, vol. 06, no. 07, p. 161, 2015.
- [21] L. Jonveaux, "Arduino-like development kit for single-element ultrasound imaging," *Journal of Open Hardware*, vol. 1, Mar. 2017.
- [22] A. Kushi and P. Suresh Babu, "Ultrasonic Signal Processing Using FPGA," pp. 82–87, Jan. 2017.
- [23] J. H. Kim, S. Yeo, M. Kim, S. Kye, Y. Lee, and T. k. Song, "A smart-phone based portable ultrasound imaging system for point-ofcare applications," in 2017 10th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, BioMedical Engineering and Informatics (CISP-BMEI), pp. 1–5, Oct. 2017.
- [24] P. Kruizinga, P. v. d. Meulen, A. Fedjajevs, F. Mastik, G. Springeling, N. d. Jong, J. G. Bosch, and G. Leus, "Compressive 3d ultrasound imaging using a single sensor," *Science Advances*, vol. 3, p. e1701423, Dec. 2017.
- [25] Y. Lee, J. Kang, S. Yeo, J. Lee, G. D. Kim, Y. Yoo, and T. K. Song, "A new smart probe system for a tablet PC-based point-of-care ultrasound imaging system: Feasibility study," in 2014 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium, pp. 1611–1614, Sept. 2014.
- [26] W. Qiu, J. Xia, Y. Shi, P. Mu, X. Wang, M. Gao, C. Wang, Y. Xiao, G. Yang, J. Liu, L. Sun, and H. Zheng, "A Delayed-Excitation Data Acquisition Method for High-Frequency Ultrasound Imaging," *IEEE transactions on bio-medical engineering*, vol. 65, pp. 15–20, Jan. 2018.
- [27] C. C. P. Cheung, A. C. H. Yu, N. Salimi, B. Y. S. Yiu, I. K. H. Tsang, B. Kerby, R. Z. Azar, and K. Dickie, "Multi-channel pre-beamformed data acquisition system for research on advanced ultrasound imaging methods," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 59, pp. 243–253, Feb. 2012.
- [28] K. Wall, "A HIGH- SPEED RECONFIGURABLE SYSTEM FOR ULTRASOUND RESEARCH," 2010.
- [29] A. Ibrahim, S. Zhang, F. Angiolini, M. Arditi, S. Kimura, S. Goto, J. Thiran, and G. D. Micheli, "Towards Ultrasound Everywhere: A Portable 3d Digital Back-End Capable of Zone and Compound Imaging," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2018.
- [30] S. Ricci, E. Boni, F. Guidi, T. Morganti, and P. Tortoli, "A programmable real-time system for development and test of new ultrasound investigation methods," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 53, pp. 1813–1819, Oct. 2006.
- [31] P. A. Hager, D. Speicher, C. Degel, and L. Benini, "UltraLight: An ultrafast imaging platform based on a digital 64-channel ultrasound probe," pp. 1–5, IEEE, Sept. 2017.
- [32] W. Qiu, Y. Yu, F. K. Tsang, and L. Sun, "A programmable and compact open platform for ultrasound bio-microscope," in 2011 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium, pp. 1048–1051, Oct. 2011.

- [33] E. Batbayar, W. Ham, E. Tumenjargal, and C. Song, "A Hardware Design of Capture Multichannel Ultrasound Raw Signal for Photoacoustic Medical Image," L , pp. 257–259, May 2018.
- [34] L. Svilainis, V. Dumbrava, S. Kitov, A. Aleksandrovas, P. Tervydis, and D. Liaukonis, "Electronics for Ultrasonic Imaging System," *Elektronika* ir Elektrotechnika, vol. 20, pp. 51–56, Sept. 2014.
- [35] H. Hewener and S. Tretbar, "Mobile ultrafast ultrasound imaging system based on smartphone and tablet devices," in 2015 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), pp. 1–4, Oct. 2015.
- [36] S. Erickson, D. Kruse, and K. Ferrara, "A hand-held, high frequency ultrasound scanner," in 2001 IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium. Proceedings. An International Symposium (Cat. No.01CH37263), vol. 2, pp. 1465– 1468 vol.2, 2001.
- [37] J. A. Brown, J. Leadbetter, M. Leung, A. Bezanson, and R. Adamson, "A low cost open source high frame-rate high-frequency imaging system," in 2013 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), pp. 549–552, July 2013.
- [38] G. Kim, C. Yoon, S.-B. Kye, Y. Lee, J. Kang, Y. Yoo, and T.-k. Song, A Single FPGA-Based Portable Ultrasound Imaging System for Pointof-Care Applications, vol. 59. July 2012.
- [39] J. T. Ylitalo and H. Ermert, "Ultrasound synthetic aperture imaging: monostatic approach," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 41, pp. 333–339, May 1994.
- [40] T. L. A. v. d. Heuvel, D. J. Graham, K. J. Smith, C. L. d. Korte, and J. A. Neasham, "Development of a Low-Cost Medical Ultrasound Scanner Using a Monostatic Synthetic Aperture," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 11, pp. 849–857, Aug. 2017.
- [41] S. Nikolov, J. Jensen, and B. Tomov, "Fast parametric beamformer for synthetic aperture imaging," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics*, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, vol. 55, pp. 1755–1767, Aug. 2008
- [42] C. E. Burckhardt, P. A. Grandchamp, and H. Hoffmann, "An Experimental 2 MHz Synthetic Aperture Sonar System Intended for Medical Use," *IEEE Transactions on Sonics and Ultrasonics*, vol. 21, pp. 1–6, Jan. 1974.
- [43] S. Hua, M. Yuchi, and M. Ding, "Compressed Sensing for RF Signal Reconstruction in B-model Ultrasound Imaging," in 2011 International Conference on Intelligent Computation and Bio-Medical Instrumentation, pp. 19–22, Dec. 2011.
- [44] J. Chang, J. Yen, and K. Shung, "A Novel Envelope Detector for High-Frame Rate, High-Frequency Ultrasound Imaging," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 54, pp. 1792–1801, Sept. 2007.
- [45] L. Zhang, FPGA embedded system for ultrasonic non-destructive testing. Thesis, Brunel University London, 2012.
- [46] G. Niezen, P. Eslambolchilar, and H. Thimbleby, "Open-source hardware for medical devices," *BMJ Innovations*, vol. 2, pp. 78–83, Apr. 2016.
- [47] L. Nolting, P. Hunt, T. Cook, and B. Douglas, "An Inexpensive and Easy Ultrasound Phantom: A Novel Use for SPAM," *Journal of Ultrasound* in Medicine, vol. 35, pp. 819–822, Apr. 2016.