

Runtime Analysis



Problem Motivation

- Code can take an unfeasibly long time to run
- Code can require more memory than available

→ Analyze the “runtime” of your code to make it more efficient

Example 1

How long does this take to run?

```
for number in xrange(n) :  
    print number
```

Runtime is expressed as a function of “n”--the size of the code’s input.

Example 2

How long does this take to run?

```
for number1 in xrange(n):  
    for number2 in xrange(n):  
        print number1, number2
```

Example 3

How long does this take to run?

```
def find_anagrams(lst):  
    result = []  
    for word1 in lst:  
        for word2 in lst:  
            if word1 != word2:  
                for perm in permutations(word1):  
                    if perm == list(word2):  
                        result.append(word1)  
    return result
```

Example 3

How long does this take to run?

<code>def find_anagrams(lst):</code>	
<code>result = []</code>	1 step
<code>for word1 in lst:</code>	n step
<code>for word2 in lst:</code>	n steps
<code>if word1 != word2:</code>	k steps
<code>for perm in permutations(word1):</code>	k! steps
<code>if perm == list(word2):</code>	k steps
<code>result.append(word1)</code>	1 steps
<code>return result</code>	

$$1 + n(n(k + k!(k + 1))) = 1 + n^2k + n^2k!k + n^2k!$$

Example 3

Is this a useful expression?

$$1 + n^2k + n^2k!k + n^2k!$$

$(n, k) = (10, 5) \rightarrow \text{steps} \sim 1 + 5\text{E}2 + \mathbf{6\text{E}5} + 12\text{E}4$

$(n, k) = (100, 10) \rightarrow \text{steps} \sim 1 + 1\text{E}5 + \mathbf{4\text{E}11} + 4\text{E}10$

→ **With large inputs, only the largest terms of the expression are relevant.**

Simplifying Runtime Analysis: “Big O”

- Often, a single term dominates the runtime expression
- Runtime analysis can be simplified by eliminating lower order terms
- Big O notation provides a principled way to do this

Big O Definition

Let f and g be two functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that

$$f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$$

(read: f is Big-“O” of g) if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every integer $n \geq n_0$,

$$f(n) \leq cg(n)$$

Big O Intuitive Summary

- $f = O(g) \rightarrow$ “f is bounded by g” (roughly speaking)
 - f is bounded by some constant multiple of g
 - Only true for sufficiently large input values
- Practical runtime analysis only cares about large input values and approximate bounds

Big O Conventions

Be Precise

- Technically, if a function is $O(n)$, then it is also $O(n^2)$
- But, a more precise upper bound is more useful
- Therefore, give the lowest upper bound possible
 - I.e. don't say $O(n^2)$ when you could instead say $O(n)$

Ignore Constants

- If a function is $O(n)$, then it is also $O(2*n)$
- Saying $O(2*n)$ is unnecessary and highly unconventional

Big O Example

What's the big O analysis of this expression? (from earlier anagrams example)

$$1 + n^2k + n^2k!k + n^2k!$$

Example 4

How long does this take to run?

```
def find_anagrams (lst):  
    result = []  
    d = defaultdict (list)  
    for word in lst:  
        d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word)  
    for key, value in d.items():  
        if len(value) > 1:  
            result.extend(value)  
    return result
```

Example 4

How long does this take to run?

<code>def find_anagrams (lst):</code>	
<code>result = []</code>	$O(1)$
<code>d = defaultdict (list)</code>	$O(1)$
<code>for word in lst:</code>	$O(n)$
<code>d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word)</code>	$O(k \cdot \log(k))$
<code>for key, value in d.iteritems():</code>	$O(n)$
<code>if len(value) > 1:</code>	$O(1)$
<code>result.extend(value)</code>	$O(n)$
<code>return result</code>	

Common Runtimes to Remember

$O(1)$ - constant (practically no time at all)

$O(n)$ - linear

$O(n \cdot \log(n))$ - “ $n \log n$ ” (typical sorting runtime)

$O(n^2)$ - quadratic

$O(n^3)$ - cubic

$O(2^n)$ - exponential (base is not necessarily 2)

$O(n!)$ - factorial

Runtime of Typical Python Functions

List operations

- Appending: $O(1)$
- Adding to the beginning or middle: $O(n)$ (have to slide everything over!)
- Popping from the end: $O(1)$
- Popping from the beginning or middle: $O(n)$
- Looking up by index: $O(1)$
- Searching: $O(n)$ (have to look at every item)
- Searching a sorted list: $O(\log n)$ ([binary search](#))

<https://wiki.python.org/moin/TimeComplexity>

Runtime of Typical Python Functions

Dictionary operations

- Inserting an item: $O(1)$
- Removing an item: $O(1)$
- Looking up by key: $O(1)$
- Looking up by value: $O(n)$ (have to look at every item)

Runtime in Practical Terms

n	Linear (n) runtime in seconds	Quadratic (n^2) runtime in seconds
100	1 sec	1 sec
1000	10 sec	100 sec
10,000	100 sec	10,000 sec = 167 min
100,000	1000 sec = 17 min	1,000,000 sec = 11 days

Quadratic (n^2) algorithms
are *really slow*