

Dimensionality Reduction

Part 1: Principal Component Analysis

Moses Marsh



Objectives: answer the following

- Why reduce dimensionality?
- What are “principal components”?
- How is PCA used for dimensionality reduction?

Before we go on:

What is the *dimensionality* of our data?

Here are some different words for the same thing...

- “dimension” = “feature” = “predictor” = “covariates”
- “dimensionality” = “number of features” = “number of predictors”

| | mpg | cylinders | displacement | horsepower | weight | acceleration | model | origin | car_name |
|---|-----|-----------|--------------|------------|--------|--------------|-------|--------|---------------------------|
| 0 | 18 | 8 | 307 | 130.0 | 3504 | 12.0 | 70 | 1 | chevrolet chevelle malibu |
| 1 | 15 | 8 | 350 | 165.0 | 3693 | 11.5 | 70 | 1 | buick skylark 320 |
| 2 | 18 | 8 | 318 | 150.0 | 3436 | 11.0 | 70 | 1 | plymouth satellite |
| 3 | 16 | 8 | 304 | 150.0 | 3433 | 12.0 | 70 | 1 | amc rebel sst |
| 4 | 17 | 8 | 302 | 140.0 | 3449 | 10.5 | 70 | 1 | ford torino |

Before we go on:

What is the *dimensionality* of our data?

- MNIST handwritten digits dataset: each grayscale image is 28x28 pixels



Before we go on:

What is the *dimensionality* of our data?

- MNIST handwritten digits dataset: each grayscale image is 28x28 pixels




Each pixel is a feature, so each image is a 784-dimensional data point

Why reduce dimensionality?

- Combat the **Curse Of Dimensionality**
 - Remember how that ruined kNN and clustering?
- Facilitate **Visualization**
 - Most people only want to see 2 or 3 dimensions at a time
- Combine many raw features into a few meaningful **latent features**
 - More about this in the afternoon! And tomorrow!
- Remove **Correlated Features**
 - If you have p features, then you have $p(p-1)/2$ pairs of features. If $p=10,000$, that's a lot. Many of those pairs will be correlated.
- Compress your data

Review: The Curse of Dimensionality

p = dimensionality



When $p=1$, we are only considering x_1 . When $p=2$, we are considering x_1 and x_2 .



Notice the required radius in 2D is much larger than the required radius in 1D.

As we increase the dimensionality, we lose the concept of locality.

Say we have a unit (hyper)cube.

We want to create another (hyper)cube inside the outer cube so that we fill X% of the outer cube.

How long must the edges of the inner cube be?



Methods for reducing dimensionality

There are a number of ways to reduce the dimensionality of your dataset:


- Subset selection of features; e.g. forward stepwise selection
- LASSO regression
- Relaxed LASSO:
 - (1) do LASSO regression, (2) throw away unused features, (3) do OLS regression
- Neural networks:
 - (black box)
- **Principal Components Analysis (PCA)**

Review: Transformation Matrices

- Let X be a data set as a feature matrix, each row a data point
- Let A be a matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}$ represents the data as coordinates along a **rotated** set of axes. The new axes, x_1' & x_2' are shown in green.



Individual

1. On your board, work out the following using:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- a. Given points $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$; $x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; $x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Plot x_1, x_2, x_3 on your whiteboard.

- b. Calculate $x'_1 = x_1 A$; $x'_2 = x_2 A$; $x'_3 = x_3 A$

Plot x'_1, x'_2, x'_3 on a new plot.

- c. What has A done to the data points?
d. What are the axes of your new plot?


Pair Discussion

| # hours at sea | # nets deployed | # fish caught |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2 | 3 | 5000 |
| 1 | 1 | 1000 |
| 4 | 10 | 7000 |

1. If we want to predict number of fish caught, how do we split this data into X and y?
2. If we apply a rotation of 45 degrees to the X matrix, what is the resulting first row?
3. Does this rotation affect the number of fish caught?
4. Can we still use the X matrix after rotation for predicting number of fish caught?
5. Why might we want to apply this transformation?

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- PCA is simply a **rotation in feature space**: its goal is to construct a set of **transformed features** that have **no covariance**
- The **first principal component** points along the direction of **highest variance**, the second PC points along the next highest-variance direction orthogonal to the first, and so on.
- The **dimensionality reduction** comes in when we drop components that capture very little variance



Raw data
(**n** rows ,
p columns)

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 3 \\ 10 & 4 \\ 40 & 7 \\ 60 & 6 \\ 70 & 9 \\ 100 & 7 \\ 100 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Standardized data
(each feature has
mean=0, std=1)

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1.306 & -1.660 \\ -1.306 & -1.155 \\ -0.449 & 0.361 \\ 0.122 & -0.144 \\ 0.408 & 1.371 \\ 1.266 & 0.361 \\ 1.266 & 0.866 \end{bmatrix}$$

Covariance Matrix

$$C = \frac{1}{n} M^T M = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.801 \\ 0.801 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[(X_1 - \overline{X_1})(X_2 - \overline{X_2})]$$

$$\overline{X_1} = \overline{X_2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[X_1 X_2] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i1} x_{i2} = (X^T X)_{1,2} \\ &= \sigma_{12}\end{aligned}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The goal is to find a transformation \mathbf{V} (a matrix of orthonormal basis vectors) such that the covariance matrix of the transformed features is **diagonal**

$$M' = MV$$

$$C' = \frac{1}{n} M'^T M' = \frac{1}{n} (MV)^T (MV)$$

$$(MV)^T (MV) = V^T M^T M V = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix}$$

- The punchline: \mathbf{V} is the matrix of eigenvectors of the covariance matrix

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & \cdots & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & \cdots & u_p \\ | & | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix}$$


$$V^T V = \begin{bmatrix} - & u_1 & - \\ - & u_2 & - \\ - & u_3 & - \\ - & \vdots & - \\ - & u_p & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & \cdots & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & \cdots & u_p \\ | & | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V^T V = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \cdot u_1 & u_1 \cdot u_2 & \cdots & u_1 \cdot u_p \\ u_2 \cdot u_1 & u_2 \cdot u_2 & \cdots & u_2 \cdot u_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_p \cdot u_1 & u_p \cdot u_2 & \cdots & u_p \cdot u_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The punchline: \mathbf{V} is the matrix of eigenvectors of the covariance matrix


$$\begin{aligned}
 V^T M^T M V &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix} & M^T M V = V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix} \\
 & & = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & \dots & | \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & \dots & u_p \\ | & | & | & \dots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_p \end{bmatrix} \\
 M^T M u_i &= \lambda_i u_i
 \end{aligned}$$

- The eigenvectors are **directions in feature space** (i.e., linear combinations of the original features), and the eigenvalues are the **amount of variance** captured along that direction



Example: Faces

- From the *Yale Face Database* (using subset of 105 images)
- 320x243 pixels each, grayscale
- Centered and cropped identically




- These are the top 25 **eigenfaces** representing directions in face-space



Drawbacks of Eigenfaces

- Faces must be aligned eyes-to-eyes, mouth-to-mouth -- differences in translation and scale are captured by PCA (which isn't what we want)
- Faces must be lit the same -- differences in lighting are captured by PCA (which isn't what we want).
- Old method: 1987, 1991
- Improvements:
 - "Fisherfaces": uses LDA and labels to help remove lighting effects
 - Use PCA on shapes detected in the image (search for Active Shape Model)

Using PCA on cropped face images (i.e. eigenfaces), combined with kNN, we can do very crude facial recognition! To show this, let's look at the embedding onto 2d.






MNIST Dataset

- Dataset of handwritten digits
- 10 classes (0-9)
- 28x28 pixels, grayscale
= 784 dimensions
- 60,000 training images
- 10,000 test images





Size of eigenvalues (variance) for all components



Reconstructing the input by a linear combination of eigenvectors

$$x' = \phi_1 u_1 + \phi_2 u_2 + \phi_3 u_3 + \dots$$





Pair Discussion

1. Why do we reduce dimensions when doing supervised learning? Are there particular models where it's especially important?
2. Why do we reduce dimensions when doing unsupervised learning? Are there particular models where it's especially important?
3. How do you use dimensionality reduction for data visualization?

Individual Discussion

1. How is the first principal component computed?
2. Draw a picture which demonstrates what the first principal component is.

Pair Discussion

Our new covariance matrix after doing a PCA transformation looks like this:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. What does each value in the diagonal mean?
2. Why are the non-diagonal values all 0? Why is this desirable?

When to use PCA

(general advice only!)

Use when:

- kNN on high dimensional data
- Clustering high dimensional data
- Visualization
(e.g. embeddings)
- Working with images
(e.g. would it work well to feed an image into a decision tree?)

Don't use when:

- You need to retain interpretability of your feature space
- Your model doesn't *need* reduced dimensional data
(e.g. OLS on relatively small data)

Dimensionality Reduction

Part 2: Singular Value Decomposition

Moses Marsh



Objectives: answer the following

- What is SVD? How is it related to PCA?
- How do you interpret the U , Σ , and V matrices?
- What are “latent features”?

SVD: a general matrix factoring method

$$M = U\Sigma V^T$$

where

U is column orthogonal: $U^T U = I$

V is column orthogonal: $V^T V = I$

Σ is a diagonal matrix of positive values, where the diagonal is ordered in decreasing order

SVD: That looked a lot like PCA

Yep. In PCA, we derived

$$M^T M V = V \Lambda$$

where Λ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues.

And SVD is a magic math way to factor M: $M = U \Sigma V^T$

So we can show

$$\begin{aligned} M^T M &= (U \Sigma V^T)^T U \Sigma V^T \\ &= V \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T \\ &= V \Sigma^2 V^T \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Lambda = \Sigma^2$

The bonus here is that $M = U \Sigma V^T$ is much less computationally intensive than directly computing the eigenvalues of $M^T M$

SVD: Latent Features

See notebook

Pair Discussion

| | Seoul Garden | Izakaya Sozai | Yamo | Beretta | Delfina | Perbacco | Dosa | Udupi Palace |
|---------|--------------|---------------|------|---------|---------|----------|------|--------------|
| Kate | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lindsay | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| John | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Cathy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Mary | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Norma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Paul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |

Given the above matrix of restaurant preferences of your friends:

1. What latent features do you expect to come up when you run SVD?

Pair Discussion

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & 0.4 & -0.2 & 0.6 & -0.5 & -0.0 & 0.0 \\ -0.3 & 0.4 & -0.1 & -0.2 & 0.3 & -0.6 & 0.4 \\ -0.5 & 0.4 & 0.2 & -0.3 & 0.3 & 0.5 & -0.3 \\ -0.1 & -0.0 & 0.9 & -0.0 & -0.2 & -0.3 & -0.1 \\ -0.5 & -0.4 & -0.2 & -0.5 & -0.6 & 0.0 & -0.0 \\ -0.3 & -0.4 & -0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & -0.4 & -0.7 \\ -0.4 & -0.5 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|------|---------|---------|----------|------|--------------|
| Seoul Garden | Izakaya Sozai | Yamo | Beretta | Delfina | Perbacco | Dosa | Udupi Palace |
|--------------|---------------|------|---------|---------|----------|------|--------------|

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 14.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 13.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 7.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.2 & -0.2 \\ 2 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.4 & -0.0 & -0.0 \\ 3 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.1 & 0.7 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & -0.6 & 0.3 & 0.6 & -0.3 & -0.3 & -0.0 & -0.0 \\ -0.3 & 0.6 & -0.3 & 0.6 & -0.3 & -0.3 & -0.0 & -0.0 \\ -0.7 & -0.0 & 0.7 & -0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.0 & -0.0 \\ -0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.7 & -0.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.0 & -0.7 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given the above SVD decomposition:

1. What do the values in the diagonal tell you? (circled in blue)
2. Each of the rows circled in purple refers to a latent feature. What are the 3 latent features? Are they the 3 latent features you expected to come up?

Pair Discussion

Below you can see what happens when you plug in the SVD decomposition into the covariance matrix calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} M^T M &= (U \Sigma V^T)^T U \Sigma V^T && \text{1} \\ &= V \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T && \text{2} \\ &= V \Sigma^2 V^T && \text{3} \end{aligned}$$

1. What mathematical rule is used for each step?
2. How does this relate SVD to PCA?