Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Scott Contri - 2016

Motivation

- Allows us to apply Machine Learning Techniques to unstructured text by transforming it to vectors.
 - E.g. Classification (spam), clustering (news), even regression!
- Used in Industry.
 - Machine Translation
 - Sentiment Analysis
 - Text Classification
 - Necessary for Speech Recognition

Objectives

- Understand NLP terminology.
- Work Through Text Featurization Pipeline.
 - Tokenization, Stop Words, Stemming/Lemmatization, Bag of Words
- Become familiar with Tf-Idf.
- Compare Tf-Idf vectors using Cosine Similarity.
- Jupyter Notebook the s%\$t out of nltk and sklearn

Terminology

- Corpus:
 - A dataset of text (e.g. articles, tweets, journals)
- Document:
 - A single entry from the corpus (e.g. article, tweet, sentence)
- Vocabulary:
 - All words that appear in the corpus
- Token:
 - A single entity (e.g. word, term)

Sample Sentence

Students are learning from other students

Tokenization

- Take the document and split it into a list of tokens.

Students are learning from other students



['Students', 'are', 'learning', 'from', 'other', 'students']

Lower Case Conversion

- We convert words to lowercase. Surprise! (very useful though)

['Students', 'are', 'learning', 'from', 'other', 'students']



['students', 'are', 'learning', 'from', 'other', 'students']

Gettin' Rid of Stop Words

Words that are too common to be useful in our analysis of text (e.g. 'the', 'and', 'as'). Both nltk and sklearn have a standard list of stop words.

['students', 'are', 'learning', 'from', 'other', 'students']



['students', 'learning', 'other', 'students']

Stemming/Lemmatization

- Both methods reduce words to a root/base form.
 - Stemming a somewhat crude character based method that removes common morphological endings (e.g. '-ed', '-ing', '-al')
 - Ex: 'cars' -> 'car', 'watches' -> 'watch'
 - Lemmatization reduces word down to their lemma, or dictionary form
 - Ex: 'cars' -> 'automobile', 'better' -> 'good'
 - When to use which depends on use case and toolkit you are calling from (e.g.
 PorterStemmer, SnowballStemmer, WordNetLemmatizer)

Stemming/Lemmatization Ex.

['students', 'are', 'learning', 'from', 'other', 'students']



['student', 'learn, 'other', 'student']

STEMMED!!!

Corpus of 3 Documents

- Students are learning from other students
- 2. I am teaching at Galvanize
- There are students learning at Galvanize

- 1. ['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']
- 2. ['teach', 'galvanize']
- 3. ['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']

Bag of Words

- A corpus with documents represented as vectors of word counts is called a 'bag of words'
- Vocabulary/Features for our corpus: (galvanize, learn, other, student, teach)

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']					
['teach', 'galvanize']					
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']					

Bag of Words

- A corpus with documents represented as vectors of word counts is called a 'bag of words'
- Vocabulary for our corpus: (galvanize, learn, other, student, teach)

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']	0	1	1	2	0
['teach', 'galvanize']	1	0	0	0	1
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']	1	1	0	1	0

Issues with Bag of Words

- Bag of words is naive (not in a cool way, like Naive Bayes)
 - Word counts aren't good enough for me or you or anyone doing NLP
 - Counts emphasize results from longer documents.
 - Every word is given equal weighting if they occur the same number of times in a document.
 - Shouldn't 'learn' and 'galvanize' have different predictive power?
- Is there a better way to featurize?
 - hint: the answer is an adverb used to express affirmation.

Term Frequency (Tf)

- Normalize counts within a document to frequency.

$$tf(t, d) = \frac{\text{total count of term t in document d}}{\text{total count of all terms in document d}}$$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']					
['teach', 'galvanize']					
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']					

Term Frequency (Tf)

- Normalize counts within a document to frequency.

$$tf(t,d) = \frac{total\ count\ of\ term\ t\ in\ document\ d}{total\ count\ of\ all\ terms\ in\ document\ d}$$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']	0	$\frac{1}{4}$ = .25	$\frac{1}{4} = .25$	$\frac{2}{4} = .5$	0
['teach', 'galvanize']	$\frac{1}{2} = .5$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2} = .5$
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']	$\frac{1}{3}$ = .333	$\frac{1}{3}$ = .333	0	$\frac{1}{3}$ = .333	0

Issues with Tf

- Tf does not reflect the rareness of a word/token/term amongst documents
 - It only relays the rareness of a word in relation to the individual document being considered.
 - It doesn't consider other documents
- It would make sense to incorporate how often a word occurs throughout the entire corpus.
 - 'teach' only occurs once and should therefore have a higher weighting, while 'student' occurs twice and should thus be assigned a lower weight.

Inverse Document Frequency (Idf)

You know those issues with Tf I just addressed? This helps take of those.

$$idf(t,D) = \log \frac{N}{|\{d \in D : t \in d\}|}$$

IT'S MIRACULOUS!

(Might be necessary to add 1 into denominator to prevent division by zero. This is called smoothing.)

Inverse Document Frequency (Idf)

 $idf(t,D) = log \frac{total\ count\ of\ documents\ in\ corpus\ D}{count\ of\ documents\ containing\ term\ t}$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']					
['teach', 'galvanize']					
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']					
idf(t,D)					

Inverse Document Frequency (Idf)

 $idf(t,D) = log \frac{total\ count\ of\ documents\ in\ corpus\ D}{count\ of\ documents\ containing\ term\ t}$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']		X	х	×	
['teach', 'galvanize']	x				x
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']	x	Х		x	
idf(t,D)	$\log\frac{3}{2} = .405$	$\log\frac{3}{2} = .405$	$\log\frac{3}{1} = 1.10$	$\log\frac{3}{2} = .405$	$\log\frac{3}{1} = 1.10$

Tf-Idf

- By multiplying all tokens' Tf by their associated ldf we are able to apply the
 most weight to words that occur frequently in a document, but rarely occur
 the corpus.
 - Alternatively, words that occur infrequently in a document, but very frequently in the corpus are assigned the lowest weight.

Tf-Idf

$$tf-idf(t, d, D) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D)$$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']					
['teach', 'galvanize']					
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']					

Tf-Idf

$$tf-idf(t, d, D) = tf(t, d) \cdot idf(t, D)$$

document	galvanize	learn	other	student	teach
['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student']	0	.25×.405 = .101	.25×1.10 = .275	.5×.405 = .203	0
['teach', 'galvanize']	.5×.405 = .203	0	0	0	.5×1.10 = .549
['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']	.333×.405 = .135	.333×.405 = .135	0	.333×.405 = .135	0

Cosine Similarity

similarity =
$$\cos \theta = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|}$$

- Used to compare Tf-Idf vectors of documents
- ['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student'] vs. ['teach', 'galvanize']
 - (0, .101, .275, .203, 0) vs. (.203, 0, 0, 0, .275)
 - similarity = $0/(.36 \times .34) = 0$
- ['student', 'learn', 'other', 'student'] vs. ['student', 'learn', 'galvanize']
 - (0, .101, .275, .203, 0) vs. (.135, .135, 0, .135, 0)
 - similarity = $.041/(.36 \times .23) = .34$

Issues with Current NLP Pipeline

- We are losing a lot of structure/order.
- It's only accounting for content, not flow or style.
- However, it is very good for search queries.

N-Grams, Skip Grams

- Both N-Grams and Skip Grams try to account for order and context.
- N-grams group words by some set 'n'.
 - Ex ['student', 'learn', 'other', student']
 - (n=2) => (student, learn),(learn, other), (other, student)
 - (n=3) => (student, learn, other), (learn, other, student)
- Skip Grams skip by some gap.
 - (1-skip-2-gram) => (student, other), (learn, student)

Word2Vec

- Geometric relationships between vectors represent semantic relationships between words.

