

# The Rank Deficiency of Certain Sized *Lights Out* Boards

William Boyles

October 10, 2021

## 1 Intro Lemmas

Let  $f(n, x)$  be the Chebyshev polynomial over  $GF(2)$  that we defined previously. Recall that the rank deficiency of an  $n \times n$  *Lights Out* board,  $d(n)$ , is the degree of  $\gcd(f(n, x), f(n, x+1))$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where  $g(k) = 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$f(g(k), x) = x^{2^{k-1}-1} (x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1).$$

*Proof.* Recall how we determine the coefficients of  $f(n, x)$  using the parity of binomial coefficients. First, we need to find a value  $K = 2^k - 1$  where  $k$  is the smallest integer  $K \geq n$ . Second, we find  $S = 2(K - n)$ . Finally, we find that the  $i$ th coefficient is 1 if and only if  $\binom{2i+S}{S+i}$  is odd. Equivalently, it's 1 if and only if  $i \& S+i$  is zero.  $K = 2^{k+2} - 1$  for  $g(k) = 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 2(K - g(k)) \\ &= 2((2^{k+2} - 1) - (2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1)) \\ &= 2(2^{k+2} - 2^{k+1} - 2^{k-1}) \\ &= 2(8 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 4 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1}) \\ &= 2(3 \cdot 2^{k-1}) \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^k. \end{aligned}$$

In binary this is  $S = 11\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_k$ .

Let's think about what happens when we do  $i \& S+i$  for various values of  $i$ .

- In  $i = 0$ , we see that the left side of the  $\&$  is 0, so the entire result is 0. Therefore, the leading coefficient is a 1, as desired.
- In  $i = 1 \dots 2^k - 1$ , the trailing  $k$  0's in  $S$  will match whatever is in  $i$ 's binary representation in  $S+i$ . So, the result of the  $\&$  will be nonzero. Therefore, there will be  $2^k - 1$  0 coefficients following the leading 1, as desired.
- In  $i = 2^k$ ,  $S+i = 100\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_k$ . So, the  $\&$  will result in a 0, meaning we get a coefficient of 1, as desired.
- In  $i = 2^k + 1 \dots 2^{k+1} - 1$ , we see a combination of the previous two cases. We can write  $i = 2^k + j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq 2^k - 1$ . As we saw in the previous case,  $S + 2^k = 100\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_k$  in binary. As in two cases ago, the trailing  $k$  0's in  $S + 2^k$  will match whatever is in  $j$ 's binary representation in  $S + 2^k + j$ . So, the result of the  $\&$  operation will be nonzero. Therefore, the second 1 coefficient will be followed by  $2^k - 1$  0 coefficients, as desired.

- In  $i = 2^{k+1}$ ,  $S + i = 101\underbrace{0\dots0}_k$ . Since  $i$  does not have a  $2^k$  or a  $2^{k+2}$  in its binary representation,  $i \& S + i == 0$ . So, we get a coefficient of 1, as desired.
- In  $i = 2^{k+1} + 1\dots 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1} - 1$ , we again see a combination of previous cases. We can write  $i = 2^{k+1} + j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$ . As we saw in the previous case,  $S + 2^{k+1} = 101\underbrace{0\dots0}_k$ . As in two cases ago, the trailing  $k$  0's in  $S + 2^{k+1}$  will match whatever is in  $j$ 's binary representation in  $S + 2^{k+1} + j$ . So, the result of the  $\&$  operation will be nonzero. Therefore, the third 1 coefficient will be flowed by  $2^{k-1} - 1$  0 coefficients, as desired.

□

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$f(g(k), x+1) = \left(x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1\right) \left(x^{2^{k-1}-1} + \dots + 1\right).$$

*Proof.* In lemma 1, we showed that

$$f(g(k), x) = \left(x^{2^{k-1}-1}\right) \left(x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1\right).$$

Substituting  $x+1$  for  $x$  and using mod 2 arithmetic (i.e.  $(a+b)^c = a^c + b^c$  where  $c$  is a power of 2;  $1 = -1$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x), x+1) &= \left((x+1)^{2^{k-1}-1}\right) \left((x+1)^{2^{k+1}} + (x+1)^{2^k} + 1\right) \\ &= \left(x^{2^{k-1}} + 1\right) \frac{1}{x+1} \left(x^{2^{k+1}} + 1 + x^{2^k} + 1 + 1\right) \\ &= \left(x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1\right) \frac{x^{2^{k-1}} - 1}{x - 1} \\ &= \left(x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1\right) \left(x^{2^{k-1}-1} + x^{2^{k-1}-2} + \dots + 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Main Result

Now that we have lemmas 1 and 2, we can prove our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\gcd(f(g(k), x), f(g(k), x+1)) = x^{2^{k+1}} + x^{2^k} + 1.$$

*Proof.* We can see from lemmas 1 and 2 that the desired gcd is a common factor of both polynomials. So, we just need to show that over  $GF(2)$  that the remaining factors of both polynomials have no common factors. This result is easily verified by a computer.




Figure 1: Wolfram|Alpha:  $\text{PolynomialGCD}[x^n, 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n, \text{Modulus} \rightarrow 2]$

□

**Corollary 1.** *A Lights Out board of size  $g(k) \times g(k)$  will have nullity  $2^{k+1}$ .*

### 3 Concluding Conjectures

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  where*

$$h(n) = \max\{g(m) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, g(m) \leq n\}.$$

*Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\max\{d(m) \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\} = d(h(n)).$$

In other words, if we list the board sizes and their rank deficiencies, noting each time we encounter a rank deficiency higher than any other we've seen before, we will have noted exactly the board sizes described by  $g$ .

Unfortunately, this isn't always true

*Disproof.* Notice that for  $n = 30$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(30) &= \max\{g(m) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, g(m) \leq 30\} &&= \max\{g(1), g(2), g(3)\} \\ &= \max\{4, 9, 19\} \\ &= 19, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(m) \mid 1 \leq m \leq 30\} &= \max\{d(1), d(2), \dots, d(29), d(30)\} \\ &= \max\{0, 0, \dots, 10, 20\} \\ &= 20. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$20 \neq d(19) = 16.$$

□

**Conjecture 2.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d(n) \leq n$ , and  $d(n) = n$  only when  $n = 4$ .*

This conjecture may be proven by others using different methods.