### The F Statistic

Suppose we regress y on three different regressors, w, x and z, and both slope coefficients for x and z have high enough p-values that we conclude each one is statistically insignificant. It is still possible, however, that they may be jointly significant, even if they are individually insignificant. In other words, we want to test simultaneously that

$$H_0: \beta_x = \beta_z = 0,$$

$$H_A$$
: at least one of  $\beta_x, \beta_z \neq 0$ .

Think of  $H_0$  as being a restriction placed on  $\beta_x$  and  $\beta_z$  that we want to test.

The first thing to do is take the model where  $\beta_x$  and  $\beta_z$  are unrestricted (that is, a regression where x and z are included and thus their coefficients are estimated) and find its sum of squared residuals, call it  $RSS_{ur}$ . Then make the restrictions (by not even including them in the regression, which implicitly sets them equal to zero) and find that model's sum of squared residuals, call it  $RSS_r$ .

If  $\beta_x$  and  $\beta_z$  are jointly insignificant, i.e. if  $H_0$  is true, then you would expect the difference between the two RSS terms to be small since the RSS represents unexplained variation in y. In other words, if  $\beta_x$  and  $\beta_z$  are jointly insignificant, then we shouldn't expect much difference in how well the model explain things whether they're both simultaneously included or not.

We just need to formalize what we mean by a "small" difference between the two. This is given by the F statistic,

$$F \equiv \frac{(RSS_r - RSS_{ur})/(k-g)}{RSS_{ur}/(n-k)} \sim F_{k-g,n-k}$$

where

- *n* is the number of observations;
- k is the number of parameters being estimated in the unrestricted model, in this case k = 4 because we estimate the intercept plus slope coefficients for w, x, and z;
- g is the number of parameters being estimated in the restricted model, in this case g = 2 because the restricted models omits w and z and hence only estimates the intercept and slope coefficient for w;
- $F_{k-g,n-k}$  is the F distribution with k-g parameters included in the restriction and n-k is the unrestricted degrees of freedom.

At the extreme end, we can also test whether *all* regressors are jointly significant by comparing it to a regression with no regressors. This yields the F statistic

$$F \equiv \frac{R^2/(k-1)}{(1-R^2)/(n-k)},$$

where  $R^2$  is given in the unrestricted regression.

At the other extreme end, we can use the F test to test just one restriction, for example  $H_0: \beta_x = 0$  against  $H_A: \beta_x \neq 0$ . This looks like a simple, ordinary hypothesis test, and indeed, the F statistic in this case will be the t statistic squared.

# Example: Final 2016, Problem 3c

The question asks us to test whether the variables radio, newspaper, tvbynews, region1, and region2 are jointly significant. (Thus we already know that k - g = 5).

### **Unrestricted Model**

The unrestricted model is the regression

$$sales = \beta_1 + \beta_2 tv + \beta_3 radio + \beta_4 new spaper + \beta_5 tvby new s + \beta_6 region 1 + \beta_7 region 2 + u.$$

Thus the unrestricted model estimates k = 7 parameters. The Stata output on the exam indicates that  $RSS_{ur} = 518350292$ .

#### Restricted Model

The restricted model, which omits the variables in question, is

$$sales = \beta_1 + \beta_2 tv + u,$$

and thus the restricted model estimates g=2 parameters. The Stata output on the exam indicates that  $RSS_r=2102500000$ .

#### F Statistic

There are n = 200 observations. Hence the F statistic is given by

$$F = \frac{(2102500000 - 518350292)/(7 - 2)}{518350292/(200 - 7)} \approx 117.97.$$

We are told that the test has a critical value of 2.261, and hence we reject the null because 117.97 > 2.261.

# Example: Final 2016, Problem 6c,d

### Part C, Method 1

The  $\mathbb{R}^2$  statistic is the explanatory variation of y around its mean, i.e.

$$R^2 = \frac{540}{720} = 0.75.$$

The regression includes three estimates and thus k=3. So the F statistic is

$$F = \frac{0.75/(3-1)}{(1-0.75)/(21-3)} = 27.$$

## Part C, Method 2

Alternatively, consider the restricted model to be the one with no regressors, that is, where  $H_0: \beta_x = \beta_z = 0$ . In this case, there is no explained sum of squares since there are no regressors doing any explaining! Thus  $TSS_r = RSS_r = 720$  and g = 1 because only the intercept, incidentally the mean of y, is being estimated.

For the unrestricted case, i.e. the one where all of the regressors are used and estimated, we have  $TSS_{ur} = ESS_{ur} + RSS_{ur}$  implies that  $RSS_{ur} = 720 - 540 = 180$ , and k = 3. Hence the F statistic is

$$F = \frac{(720 - 180)/(3 - 1)}{180/(21 - 3)} = 27.$$

#### Part D

This is just an ordinary two-sided test, so we can calculate the t statistic

$$t = \frac{2-0}{1} = 2 \implies F = 2^2 = 4.$$