

# Econometrics – Probability

William M Volckmann II

January 1, 2017

This set of notes will be mostly a reference. It will not have many proofs or derivations, and it will lack exposition.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Probability

**Definition 1.** A **random experiment** has three properties:

- (a) All possible outcomes are known a priori;
- (b) The outcome is unknown a priori in any trial;
- (c) It can be repeated many times under identical conditions.

An example would be tossing a coin and recording the outcome on each toss. We know the possible outcomes – heads or tails – but we do not know which outcome will be realized on each toss. And we can toss the coin under (essentially) identical conditions many times.

**Definition 2.** A **probability space** is composed of the following three components:

- (a) The **sample space**  $S$  of all outcomes of the experiment;
- (b) The set of all events of interest  $\mathcal{B}$  (formally a  $\sigma$ -algebra);
- (c) A **probability function**  $P$  that assigns probabilities to events.

The elements of  $S$  are called **elementary events**. Events of interest may be combinations of elementary events. For instance, suppose the experiment is to toss a coin twice. Then

$$S = \{TT, TH, HT, HH\}$$

Each of the four elements in  $S$  are elementary events. Now consider the event that at least one toss is a head. Then  $S_{H \geq 1} = \{TH, HT, HH\}$ .

**Definition 3.** A **sigma algebra**  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of the subsets of  $S$  that satisfies

- (a) *Closure under complements:*  $x \in \mathcal{B}$  implies  $x^c \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (b) *Closure under countable unions:*  $x_j \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  implies  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (c)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ .

DeMorgan's law implies closure under countable intersections as well. The smallest possible  $\sigma$ -algebra is  $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, S\}$ . The largest possible  $\sigma$ -algebra is the powerset  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ . That said, it satisfies to use the smallest possible relevant  $\sigma$ -algebra. For example, if we want to restrict our attention to the number of heads in two coin tosses, then the events of interest are  $\{TT\}, \{HH\}, \{TH, HT\}$ . To construct the smallest relevant  $\sigma$ -algebra, we need to include the complements of each of these events as well as their unions, as well as the empty set and the whole set  $S$ , giving

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, S, \{TT\}, \{TH, HT, HH\}, \{HH\}, \{TT, TH, HT\}, \{TH, HT\}, \{TT, HH\}\}.$$

**Definition 4.** A **probability function**  $P$  is a function defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and sample spaces  $S$  that satisfies

- (a) *The probability of an event is nonnegative:*  $P(C) \geq 0$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (b) *Probabilities sum to one:*  $P(S) = 1$ .
- (c) *Countable additivity of disjoint events is additive in probability:*

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(C_j) \quad \text{where } C_m \cap C_n = \emptyset \text{ for all } m \neq n.$$

**Definition 5.** A **probability space**  $(S, \mathcal{B}, P)$  is a triple constituting a sample space,  $\sigma$ -algebra, and probability function.

## 1.2 Probability Functions

Probability functions exhibit the following properties:

- (a)  $P(C) = 1 - P(C^c)$
- (b)  $P(\emptyset) = 0$
- (c)  $P(C_1) \leq P(C_2)$  if  $C_1 \subseteq C_2$
- (d)  $0 \leq P(C) \leq 1$  for  $C \in \mathcal{B}$
- (e)  $P(C_1 \cup C_2) = P(C_1) + P(C_2) - P(C_1 \cap C_2)$

Furthermore, **Bonferroni's inequality** states that

$$P(C_1 \cup C_2) \geq P(C_1) + P(C_2) - 1.$$

This follows from property (e) because  $P(C_1 \cap C_2) \leq 1$ . **Boole's inequality** states that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(C_j).$$

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $C_n$  be a nondecreasing sequence of events, i.e.  $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . Furthermore suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n.$$

Then we can interchange the probability and the limit,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(C_n) = P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right).$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $C_n$  be a nonincreasing sequence of events, i.e.  $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$  for all  $n$ . Furthermore suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n.$$

Then we can interchange the probability and the limit,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(C_n) = P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right).$$

For example, the sequence  $\{1 + 1/n\}$  is monotonically decreasing, and the limit of the sequence 1. Thus, via Theorem 2, we can say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X \geq 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = P(X \geq 1).$$

## 2 Permutations and Combinations

Permutations and combinations are the devil. Anyway, the **Fundamental Theorem of Counting** says that if experiment  $A$  has  $m$  outcomes and experiment  $B$  has  $n$  outcomes, then the combined experiment has  $m \times n$  outcomes.

Now suppose we have  $n$  objects and we want to sample  $k$  of them. We can do so either with or without replacement; and the order of sampling might or might not matter. Thus, there are four possible cases.

- (a) *Sampling with replacement when order matters.* There are  $n^k$  different ways of sampling  $k$  out of  $n$  objects. For instance, if you sample three times from  $\{a, b\}$  without replacement, then there are  $2^3 = 8$  different possible outcomes.

- (b) *Sampling without replacement when order matters.* This is called a **permutation**. The first draw has  $n$  possibilities; the second draw has  $n - 1$  possibilities; the  $k$ th draw has  $n - k + 1$  possibilities. Thus there are

$$n \times (n - 1) \times \dots \times (n - k + 1) = \frac{n!}{(n - k)!}$$

different possible outcomes.

- (c) *Sampling without replacement when order does not matter.* This is called a **combination**. It is similar to a permutation except we have to divide out all “like” outcomes. For example, we do not want to include both  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{b, a\}$  since order doesn’t matter and thus these constitute the same outcome. Each subset of  $k$  elements has  $k!$  different orderings, so we divide the permutation by  $k!$ . Thus, the total number of combinations we could draw of size  $k$  given  $n$  elements is

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!}.$$

- (d) *Sampling with replacement when order does not matter.* This isn’t used very often, but in any case the formula is

$$\binom{n + k - 1}{k} = \frac{(n + k - 1)!}{k!(n - 1)!}.$$