

Problem 1

In this question, consider models LINEAR, QUAD, and DUMMIES in the Stata output below. In these models, the dependent variable is `price` of a diamond ring and the pairs of numbers given are the OLS coefficients and their standard errors. The dummy `d1` indicates above-average, `d2` indicates average, and `d3` indicates below-average quality of diamond.

```
. sum price lnprice size sizesq lnsize d1 d2 d3
```

| variable | obs | Mean | std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| price | 48 | 500.0833 | 213.6428 | 223 | 1086 |
| lnprice | 48 | 6.134642 | .3950927 | 5.407172 | 6.990256 |
| size | 48 | 2.041667 | .5678752 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| sizesq | 48 | 4.484167 | 2.632861 | 1.44 | 12.25 |
| lnsize | 48 | .6792832 | .2597902 | .1823215 | 1.252763 |
| d1 | 48 | .2708333 | .4490929 | 0 | 1 |
| d2 | 48 | .1041667 | .3087093 | 0 | 1 |
| d3 | 48 | .625 | .4892461 | 0 | 1 |

```
. est table LINEAR LINHET QUAD DUMMIES LOGLIN LOGLOG, b(%10.3f) se stats(N F r2 r2_a rmse rss)
```

| Variable | LINEAR | LINHET | QUAD | DUMMIES | LOGLIN | LOGLOG |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| size | 372.102 | 372.102 | 292.013 | 372.182 | 0.679 | |
| sizesq | 8.179 | 7.775 | 68.130 | 8.362 | 0.023 | |
| d1 | | | 17.399 | | | |
| d2 | | | 14.695 | | | |
| lnsize | | | | 3.982 | | |
| | | | | 10.796 | | |
| | | | | 1.552 | | |
| | | | | 15.724 | | |
| _cons | -259.626 | -259.626 | -174.130 | -261.027 | 4.749 | 1.498 |
| | 17.319 | 15.856 | 74.238 | 18.219 | 0.048 | 0.038 |
| N | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| F | 2069.991 | 2290.555 | 1044.740 | 662.090 | 906.175 | 1515.544 |
| r2 | 0.978 | 0.978 | 0.979 | 0.978 | 0.952 | 0.971 |
| r2_a | 0.978 | 0.978 | 0.978 | 0.977 | 0.951 | 0.970 |
| rmse | 31.841 | 31.841 | 31.702 | 32.506 | 0.088 | 0.069 |
| rss | 46635.671 | 46635.671 | 45226.677 | 46491.431 | 0.354 | 0.216 |

```
t_.05,v for v = 48    v = 47    v = 46    v = 45    v = 44    v = 43
      1.6772242    1.6779267    1.6786604    1.6794274    1.68023    1.6810707
t_.025,v for v = 48    v = 47    v = 46    v = 45    v = 45    v = 43
      2.0106348    2.0117405    2.0128956    2.0141034    2.0141034    2.0166922
t_.01,v for v = 48    v = 47    v = 46    v = 45    v = 45    v = 43
      2.4065813    2.4083451    2.4101881    2.4121159    2.4121159    2.4162501
t_.005,v for v = 48    v = 47    v = 46    v = 45    v = 45    v = 43
      2.682204    2.6845556    2.6870135    2.689585    2.689585    2.6951021
```

```
F_.05,v1,v2 for v1,v2=2,48    v1,v2=2,47    v1,v2=2,46    v1,v2=2,45    v1,v2=2,44    v1,v2=2,43
      3.1907273    3.1950563    3.1995817    3.2043173    3.209278    3.2144803
F_.05,v1,v2 for v1,v2=3,48    v1,v2=3,47    v1,v2=3,46    v1,v2=3,45    v1,v2=3,44    v1,v2=3,43
      2.7980606    2.8023552    2.8068449    2.8115435    2.8164658    2.8216282
```

Part a. In model **QUAD**, what is the marginal effect at the mean on price of increasing size by one unit?

Part b. After controlling for the size of the diamond, what is the difference in price between a ring of average quality and a ring of below-average quality?

Part c. After controlling for the size of a diamond, what is the difference in price between a ring of above-average quality and a ring of average quality?

Part d. Are all of the regressors in model **DUMMIES** jointly significant at significance level 0.05? Perform an appropriate test. State clearly the null and alternative hypotheses of your test as well as your conclusion.

Part e. Are the dummy variables **d2** and **d2** in model **DUMMIES** jointly statistically significant at significance level 0.05? Perform an appropriate test. State clearly the null and alternative hypotheses of your test as well as your conclusion.

Part f. Do you see any problems in adding the variable `d3` as a regressor in the model DUMMIES? Explain.

Part g. Using a measure of model fit that controls for the size of the model, which of the three models best explains the data? Explain your answer.

Part h. Provide a meaningful interpretation of the effect of variable `size` on `price` in model LOGLIN.

Part i. Provide a meaningful interpretation of the effect of variable `size` on `price` in model LOGLOG.

Part j. Suppose we use model LOGLIN. Do you see any problems in using

$$\widehat{price} = \exp(4.749 + 0.679 \times size)$$

to predict price? Explain.

Problem 2

Consider the following regression that you are probably sick of seeing by now. Recall that variable `tv` is in units of \$1000.

```
. regress sales tv
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs | = | 200 |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|---------------|---|--------|
| Model | 3.3146e+09 | 1 | 3.3146e+09 | F(1, 198) | = | 312.14 |
| Residual | 2.1025e+09 | 198 | 10618841.6 | Prob > F | = | 0.0000 |
| | | | | R-squared | = | 0.6119 |
| | | | | Adj R-squared | = | 0.6099 |
| Total | 5.4171e+09 | 199 | 27221853 | Root MSE | = | 3258.7 |

| sales | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P> t | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| tv | 47.53664 | 2.690607 | 17.67 | 0.000 | 42.23072 | 52.84256 |
| _cons | 7032.594 | 457.8429 | 15.36 | 0.000 | 6129.719 | 7935.468 |

```
Degrees of freedom: 200    199    198    197    196    195    194    193
t_.05:             1.6525  1.6525  1.6526  1.6526  1.6527  1.6527  1.6527  1.6528
t_.025:            1.9719  1.9720  1.9720  1.9721  1.9721  1.9722  1.9723  1.9723
t_.01:             2.3451  2.3452  2.3453  2.3454  2.3455  2.3456  2.3457  2.3458
t_.005:            2.6006  2.6008  2.6009  2.6010  2.6011  2.6013  2.6014  2.6015
```

Part a. Predict the actual sales when `tv` advertising equals \$100,000.

Part b. Consider the model below that accounts for region of advertising, which is captured by dummy variables `region1` and `region2`.

```
. regress sales tv radio newspaper tvbynews region1 region2
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs | = | 200 |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|---------------|---|--------|
| Model | 4.8988e+09 | 6 | 816466409 | F(6, 193) | = | 304.00 |
| Residual | 518350292 | 193 | 2685752.81 | Prob > F | = | 0.0000 |
| | | | | R-squared | = | 0.9043 |
| | | | | Adj R-squared | = | 0.9013 |
| Total | 5.4171e+09 | 199 | 27221853 | Root MSE | = | 1638.8 |

| sales | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P> t | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| tv | 38.80747 | 2.31232 | 16.78 | 0.000 | 34.24681 | 43.36813 |
| radio | 187.3695 | 8.701045 | 21.53 | 0.000 | 170.2081 | 204.5308 |
| newspaper | -32.16059 | 10.46367 | -3.07 | 0.002 | -52.79842 | -11.52276 |
| tvbynews | .2010003 | .0568861 | 3.53 | 0.001 | .088802 | .3131985 |
| region1 | -404.474 | 346.3489 | -1.17 | 0.244 | -1087.589 | 278.6409 |
| region2 | -308.8007 | 275.7715 | -1.12 | 0.264 | -852.7135 | 235.1121 |
| _cons | 4246.044 | 493.7597 | 8.60 | 0.000 | 3272.187 | 5219.902 |

How does the regression result change if we replace `region1` with `region3`?

Problem 3

```
. regress mpg hp curbw torque disp
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | | | |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Model | 6955.79742 | 4 | 1738.94935 | Number of obs = 330 | | |
| Residual | 2764.22219 | 325 | 8.50529904 | F(4, 325) = 204.45 | | |
| Total | 9720.0196 | 329 | 29.5441325 | Prob > F = 0.0000 | | |
| | | | | R-squared = 0.7156 | | |
| | | | | Adj R-squared = 0.7121 | | |
| | | | | Root MSE = 2.9164 | | |

| mpg | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P> t | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| hp | -.0432345 | .0042664 | -10.13 | 0.000 | -.0516277 | -.0348412 |
| curbw | -.0025332 | .0004105 | -6.17 | 0.000 | -.0033408 | -.0017256 |
| torque | .0142477 | .0035139 | 4.05 | 0.000 | .0073348 | .0211606 |
| disp | -.8329362 | .3037788 | -2.74 | 0.006 | -1.430557 | -.2353152 |
| _cons | 44.40531 | 1.119392 | 39.67 | 0.000 | 42.20314 | 46.60748 |

In the regression above, do you think multicollinearity (i.e. a linear relationship among regressors) is a problem? Explain.

Problem 4

A regression of **wage** (hourly wage) on an intercept and an indicator variable **gender** (equal to 1 if female and equal to 0 if male) leads to an estimate $\widehat{wage} = 20 - 4 \times gender$. What are average wages for men and for women in the sample?

Problem 5

An investment takes four years to double. What is the approximate annual rate of return for the investment? Explain your answer.

Problem 6

For each of the following conditions, state whether or not OLS estimates of β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 in the model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2x + \beta_3z + u$ are likely to be biased.

- (a) The sample comprises six observations.
- (b) We should not have included variable z in the model.
- (c) We should have included variable w in the model.
- (d) The correlation of x and z equals 0.98.
- (e) The error u is heteroskedastic.
- (f) The errors are correlated.