

Econometrics – Maximum Likelihood Estimation

William M Volckmann II

January 3, 2017

1 MLE Redux

We already know a little bit about maximum likelihood estimation (mle). We've seen the **likelihood function**,

$$L(\theta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta),$$

where we treat L as a function of θ , hence often writing $L(\theta)$. We've used the **log likelihood function**,

$$l(\theta) = \ln(L(\theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(f(x_i; \theta)),$$

which is often easier to work with than the likelihood function itself.

The maximizer of $L(\theta)$, which is also the maximizer of $l(\theta)$, is our point estimator for θ , which we denote $\hat{\theta}$ and call it the **maximum likelihood estimator** of θ . In these notes, we are going to give theoretical justification for considering the mle. Because the mle might not have an analytical solution; it might not be unique; hell, it might not even exist. So we need to tighten up our understanding of the mle.

2 Newton's Method of Iteration

If there is no analytical solution for the mle, then we can use numerical analysis by way of **Newton's Method of Iteration** to approximate it. We make an initial guess at the solution, $\hat{\theta}_0$. Take the second-order Taylor expansion of $l(\theta)$ around $\hat{\theta}_0$,

$$l(\theta) \approx l(\hat{\theta}_0) + l'(\hat{\theta}_0)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_0) + \frac{1}{2}l''(\hat{\theta}_0)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_0)^2.$$

Take the derivative with respect to θ , set it equal to zero, and solve for θ to get the subsequent iteration,

$$l'(\hat{\theta}_0) + l''(\hat{\theta}_0)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_0) := 0 \implies \hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\theta}_0 - \frac{l'(\hat{\theta}_0)}{l''(\hat{\theta}_0)}.$$

Proceed with the same chain of logic but with the new guess $\hat{\theta}_1$. Continue with the series of iterative guesses

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\theta}_0 - \frac{l'(\hat{\theta}_0)}{l''(\hat{\theta}_0)} \implies \hat{\theta}_2 = \hat{\theta}_1 - \frac{l'(\hat{\theta}_1)}{l''(\hat{\theta}_1)} \implies \dots$$

and so on. In general, the s th iteration will be

$$\hat{\theta}_s = \hat{\theta}_{s-1} - \frac{l'(\hat{\theta}_{s-1})}{l''(\hat{\theta}_{s-1})}.$$

Iteration continues until the change in each iteration and the change in $l(\hat{\theta}_s)$ is deemed sufficiently small.

3 Asymptotic Results

We start with the following **regularity conditions**.

- (a) The pdfs are distinct for each θ . That is, if $\theta \neq \theta'$, then $f(x_i; \theta) \neq f(x_i; \theta')$.
- (b) The pdfs have common support for all θ .
- (c) The **true value** of θ , denoted θ_0 , is an interior point of the sample space. Doing so gives a new $\hat{\theta}_2$,