. clear all

. sysuse auto
(1978 Automobile Data)

. ** We're going to explain price of automobiles using mileage, headroom, weight,
. ** length, turning ability, displacement (engine size), and gear ratio (speed).

. reg price mpg headroom weight length turn displacement gear ratio, vce(robust)

Linear regression	Number of obs	=	74
	F(7, 66)	=	6.58
	Prob > F	=	0.0000
	R-squared	=	0.4709
	Root MSE	=	2256.3

Robust Coef. Std. Err. t P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval] price mpg | -108.6086 93.04892 -1.17 0.247 -294.3867 77.16957 headroom | -583.8815 298.1224 -1.96 0.054 -1179.102 11.33912 weight | 4.782347 2.066109 2.31 0.024 .6572272 8.907467 length | -67.11675 56.22181 -1.19 0.237 -179.3672 45.13371 displacement | 12.08319 6.766538 1.79 0.079 -1.426637 25.59302 gear_ratio | 2284.028 _cons | 11580.16 2.26 0.027 1.44 0.154 1010.053 267.3925 4300.663 -4462.939 8035.355 27623.27

```
. ** By default Stata will test for overall significance. That is,
```

2.1518392

^{. **} H0: all regressor betas are zero

^{. **} Ha: at least one regressor beta is not zero

^{**} Stata output shows a p-value of Prob > F = 0.0000.

^{. **} So we reject the null and conclude that at least one beta is nonzero.

^{. **} In words, we conclude that the combination of regressors does explain

^{. **} price at any conventional significance level.

^{. **} We could also compare the F-statistic of F(7,66) = 6.58 to the critical

^{. **} value. Find the critical value using the invFtail command.

[.] di invFtail(7,66,0.05)

^{. **} This gives a critical value of 2.1518392. The F-statistic exceeds the

^{. **} critical value, so we reach the same conclusion. Note that the number

^{. ** 7} is the number of regressors being tested, and 66 is the number of

^{. **} observations minus the number of things being estimated (74 - 8).

^{. **} Notice that headroom and displacement are both individually statistically

```
. ** insignificant at 5%. In words, headroom and displacement don't explain price
. ** when considered in isolation at 5% significance. It is possible that they
. ** do explain price when their explanatory power is combined, however.
. ** Let's test that.
. test headroom displacement
(1) headroom = 0
 (2) displacement = 0
      F(2, 66) = 3.24
           Prob > F =
                         0.0455
. ** H0: the beta for headroom and the beta for displacement are both zero
. ** Ha: the beta for headroom or the beta for displacement is nonzero
. ** This gives a p-value of Prob > F = 0.0455. So even though headroom and
. ** displacement have no explanatory power individually at 5% significance, they
. ** have combined (i.e. joint) explanatory power at 5% significance.
. ** We could also compare the F-statistic of F(2,66) = 3.24 to the critical
. ** value. Find the critical value using the invFtail command.
. di invFtail(2,66,0.05)
3.1359179
. ** This gives a critical value of 3.1359179. The F-statistic exceeds the
. ** critical value, so we reach the same conclusion. Note that the number
. ** 2 is the number of regressors being tested, and 66 is the number of
. ** observations minus the number of things being estimated (74 - 8).
```