# 1 Language $\mathcal{L}$

In this section we define an imperative language  $\mathcal{L}$  with memory allocation and deallocation primitives, and for simplification we only use pointers as values.

The syntax of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  is as follows.

**Notation**  $\vec{x}$  is for a finite sequence  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ , where we assume that each element is distinct;  $[\vec{x'}/\vec{x}]s$  is for a term obtained by replacing each free occurrence of  $\vec{x}$  in s with variables  $\vec{x'}$ ; the  $\mathbf{Dom}(f)$  is a mapping from function name f to its domain; for a map f, the  $f\{x \mapsto v\}$  and  $f \setminus x$  are defined as follows:

$$f\{x \mapsto v\}(w) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x = w \\ f(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(f\backslash x)(w) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x = w \\ f(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $filter_{-}C(C,*x)$  is defined by a pseudcode as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} filter\_C(C,*x) & = & let \ C' = C - \mathbf{const}(*x) \ in \\ & if \ \mathbf{const}(*x) \in \ C' \ then \ return \ C' \\ & else \ return \ C' \backslash \{\mathbf{assume}(*x = \mathbf{null}), \mathbf{assume}(*x \neq \mathbf{null})\} \end{array}$$

The Var is a countably infinite set of variables and each variable is a pointer. The statement skip means "does nothing". The statement  $s_1$ ;  $s_2$  is a sequential execution of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . The statement  $*x \leftarrow y$  updates the content of cell which is pointed to by x with the value y. The statement free(x) deallocates a memory cell which is pointed to by pointer x. The statement let x = e in s evaluates the expression e, binds x to the result, and executes s. The expression malloc() allocates a new memory cell. The expression null evaluates to the null pointer. The expression \*y means dereferencing a memory cell pointed to by y. The statement ifnull (\*x)then  $s_1$ else  $s_2$  executes  $s_1$  if \*x is null and executes  $s_2$  otherwise. The statement  $f(\vec{x})$  expresses a procedure f with arguments  $\vec{x}$ . The statement const(\*x) means (\*x) is a constant in statement s; the statement endconst(\*x) means from this point (\*x) maybe not constant.

The *d* represents a procedure definition which maps a procedure name *f* to its procedure body  $(\vec{x})s$ ; The *D* represents a set of procedure definitions  $\langle d_1 \cup \ldots d_n \rangle$ , and each definition is distinct;

The pair  $\langle D, s \rangle$  represents a program, where D is a set of definitions and s is a main statement; the E represents evaluation context.

### 1.1 Operational semantics

In this section we introduce operational semantics of language  $\mathcal{L}$ . We assume there is a countable infinite set  $\mathcal{H}$  of heap addresses ranged over by l.

We use a quadruple configuration  $\langle H, R, s, n \rangle$  to express a run-time state. Each elements in the configuration is as follows.

- H, a heap, is a finite mapping from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{\mathbf{null}\}$ ;
- R, an *environment*, is a finite mapping from Var to  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{null\}$ ;
- s is the statement that is being executed;
- $\bullet$  n is a natural number that represents the number of memory cells available for allocation.
- C is a set of actions, which contains const(\*x), assume(\*x = null) and  $assume(*x \neq null)$ .

The operational semantics of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by a labeled transition relation  $\langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho}_D \langle H', R', s', n', C' \rangle$ . The label  $\rho$  is as follows.

$$\rho$$
 (label) ::= malloc | free |  $\tau$ 

The  $\rho$ , an action, is **malloc**, **free**, **assume**(\*x = null), **assume**(\* $x \neq null$ ), **startconst**(\*x), **endconst**(\*x) or  $\tau$ . The action **malloc** expresses an allocation of a memory cell; **free** expresses a deallocation of a memory cell; **assume**(\*x = null) and **assume**(\* $x \neq null$ ) express the guard part of conditional are \*x = null and \* $x \neq null$  respectively; **startconst**(\*x) means \*x should be constant from this point; **endconst**(\*x) means the \*x no longer be a constant from this point;  $\tau$  expresses the other actions. We often omit  $\tau$  in  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>. We use a metavariable  $\sigma$  for a finite sequence of actions  $\rho_1 \dots \rho_n$ . We write  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub> for  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub> for  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>. We write  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub> for  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>  $\tau$ <sub>D</sub>.

Figure 1 depicts the relation  $\xrightarrow{\rho}_D$ . Several important rules are listed as follows.

- Sem-Constskip: That a memory cell pointed to by x is no longer a constant is expressed by doing nothing.
- Sem-ConstSeq: That a memory cell pointed to by x should be a constant in a stamtement s is expressed by adding a statement endconst(\*x) at the end of statement s.
- SEM-FREE: Deallocation of a memory cell pointed to by x is expressed by deleting the entry for R(x) from the heap. This action increments the number of available cells (i.e., n) by one (i.e., n + 1).
- SEM-MALLOC and SEM-OUTOFMEM: Allocation of a memory cell is expressed by adding a fresh entry to the heap. This action is allowed only if the number of available cells is positive; if the number is zero, then the configuration leads to an error state **OutOfMemory**.

- SEM-ASSIGNEXN,SEM-FREEEXN,SEM-DEREFEXN and SEM-FREEEXN: These rules express an illegal access to memory. If such action is performed, then the configuration leads to exceptional state  $\mathbf{MemEx}$ . This state  $\mathbf{MemEx}$  is not seen as an erroneous state in the current paper, hence a well-typed program may lead to these states. The command  $\mathbf{free}(x)$ , if x is a null pointer, leads to  $\mathbf{MemEx}$  in the current semantics, although it is equivalent to  $\mathbf{skip}$  in the C language.
- Sem-Constexn: expresses that if a constant \*x is changed in s it will raise **Constex** exception.

Our goal is to guarantee *total* memory-leak freedom and reject memory leaks. By our language  $\mathcal{L}$ , they are formally defined as follows:

**Definition 1** (total memory-leak freedom). A program  $\langle D, s \rangle$  is totally memory-leak free if there is a natural number n such that it does not require more than n cells.

**Definition 2** (Memory leak). A configuration  $\langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle$  goes overflow if there is  $\sigma$  such that  $\langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle \stackrel{\sigma}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbf{OutOfMemory}$ . A program  $\langle D, s \rangle$  consumes at least n cells if  $\langle \emptyset, \emptyset, s, n, \epsilon \rangle$  goes overflow.

## 2 Type system

## 2.1 Types

The syntax of the types is as follows.

```
P \quad \text{(behavioral types)} \qquad \qquad ::= \quad \mathbf{0} \mid P_1; P_2 \mid \mathbf{malloc} \mid \mathbf{free} \mid \alpha \mid \mu \alpha. P \\ \quad \mid (*x)(P_1, P_2) \mid P_1 + P_2 \mid \mathbf{const}(*x)P \mid \mathbf{endconst}(*x) \\ \Gamma \quad \text{(variable type environment)} \qquad ::= \quad \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \\ \Psi \quad \text{(dependent function type)} \qquad ::= \quad (\vec{x})P \\ \Theta \quad \text{(function type environment)} \qquad ::= \quad \{f_1 \colon \Psi_1, \dots, f_n \colon \Psi_n\} \\ k \quad \text{(constant values)} \qquad ::= \quad \mathbf{null}(*x) \mid \neg \mathbf{null}(*x) \mid \mathbf{const}(*x) \\ F \quad \text{(constant value environment)} \qquad ::= \quad \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}
```

Behavioral types ranged over by P express the abstaction of behaviors of a program. The type  $\mathbf{0}$  represents the do-nothing behavior; the type  $P_1$ ;  $P_2$  represents the sequential execution of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ ; The type **malloc** represents an allocation of a memory cell exactly once; the type **free** represents a deallocation; the type  $\mu\alpha.P$  represents the behavior of  $\alpha$  defined by the recursive equation  $\alpha = P$ ; the type  $(*x)(P_1, P_2)$  represents that  $P_1$  or  $P_2$  is obtained dependent on \*x; the type  $P_1 + P_2$  represents the choice between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ ; the  $\alpha$  is a type variable; the type  $\mathbf{const}(*x)P$  represents that \*x is a constant in behavioral type P; the type  $\mathbf{endconst}(*x)$  represents \*x no longer be a constant from this point.

A type environments for variables ranged over by  $\Gamma$  is a set of variables. Since our interest is the behavior of a program, not the types of values, a variable type environment does not carry information on the types of variables.

Dependent function types ranged over by  $\Psi$  represents the behavior of a function;  $\vec{x}$  is the formal arguments of the function.

$$\begin{array}{c} C'=filter C(C,*x) & (\text{SEM-CONSTSRIP}) \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{endconst}(*x),n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,\text{skip},n,C' \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{endconst}(*x),n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,\text{skip},n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{skip};s,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{skip};s,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,s_1;s_2,n,C \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho}_D \langle H',R',s'_1,n',C' \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,s_1;s_2,n,C \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho}_D \langle H',R',s'_1;s_2,n',C' \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{sin},s_1;s_2,n,C \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho}_D \langle H',R',s'_1;s_2,n',C' \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{let }x=\text{mull in }s,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R\{x'\mapsto \text{mull}\}, \ [x'/x]s,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ let }x=\text{mull in }s,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R\{x'\mapsto R(y)\}, \ [x'/x]s,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_1,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_1,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_1,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_1,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_1,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ then }s_1\text{ else }s_2,n,C \rangle \rightarrow_D \langle H,R,s_2,n,C \rangle \\ \hline & \langle H,R,\text{ ifmull }(*x) \text{ th$$

Figure 1: Operational semantics of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Function types ranged over by  $\Theta$  is a mapping from function names to dependent function types. k represents constant values, where  $\mathbf{null}(*x)$  represents (\*x) is a null pointer;  $\neg \mathbf{null}(*x)$  represents (\*x) is not a null pointer;  $\mathbf{const}(*x)$  represents (\*x) is a constant.

Constant value environment ranged over by F is a set of constant variables.

Figure 2 depicts semantics of behavioral types with dependent types, and they are given by the labeled transition system. The relation  $\langle P, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle P', F' \rangle$  means that P can make an action  $\rho$ , and P turns into P' after it makes action  $\rho$ ; F and F' record constant value environment before and after action  $\rho$  respectively.

**Notation**  $filter_T(F, *x)$  is defined by a pseudcode as follows:

```
filter\_T(F,*x) = let F' = F - \mathbf{const}(*x) in
if \ \mathbf{const}(*x) \notin F' \ then \ return \ (F' \setminus \{\mathbf{null}(*x), \neg \mathbf{null}(*x)\})
else \ return \ F'
```

### 2.2 Typing rules

The type judgment for statements is of the form  $\Theta$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash s : P$ , which represents that under the function type environment  $\Theta$  and the variable type environment  $\Gamma$ , the abstracted behavioral type of statement s is P.

Before showing typing rules for statements in Figure 3, we need explain several important definitions. The first one is  $OK_n(P, F)$ , a predicate, where P represents the behavior of a program which consumes at most n memory cells.

**Definition 3**  $(\sharp_{\rho}(\sigma))$ .  $\sharp_{\rho}(\sigma)$  is the number of  $\rho$  in the sequence  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 4.**  $OK_n(P, F)$  holds if, (1)  $\forall P'$  and  $\sigma$ . if  $\langle P, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\sigma} \langle P', F' \rangle$ , then  $\sharp_m(\sigma) - \sharp_f(\sigma) \leq n$  and (2) OK(F)

**Definition 5.** OK(F) holds if F does not contain both null(\*x) and  $\neg null(*x)$ .

**Definition 6** (Subtyping).  $P_1 \leq P_2$  is the largest relation such that, for any  $P_1'$ , F' and  $\rho$ , if  $\langle P_1, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle P_1', F' \rangle$ , then there exists  $P_2'$  such that  $\langle P_2, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle P_2', F' \rangle$  and  $P_1' \leq P_2'$ .

### 2.3 Type soundness

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\vdash \langle D, s \rangle$ : n for some n, then  $\langle D, s \rangle$  is totally memory-leak free.

The proof is based on the following lemmas: preservation and lack of immediate overflow.

**Definition 7.** we write  $\Theta$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash \langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle : \langle P, F \rangle$ , if  $\Theta$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash s : P$  and  $OK_n(P, F)$  with  $C \approx F$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Preservation). suppose that  $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle : \langle P, F \rangle$ . If  $\langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle H', R', s', n', C' \rangle$  then  $\exists P', F'$  s.t. (1)  $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \langle H', R', s', n', C' \rangle : \langle P', F' \rangle$  and (2)  $\langle P, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle P', F' \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Lack of immediate overflow). If  $\Theta$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash \langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle : \langle P, F \rangle$ , then  $\langle H, R, s, n, C \rangle \xrightarrow{\mathbf{malloc}}$  **OutOfMemory**.

$$\langle 0; P, F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P, F \rangle \quad \text{(TR-SKIP)} \qquad \langle \text{malloc}, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{malloc}} \langle 0, F \rangle \, \text{(TR-MALLOC)} \\ \langle \text{free}, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{free}} \langle 0, F \rangle \quad \text{(TR-FREE)} \qquad \langle \mu \alpha. P, F \rangle \rightarrow \langle [\mu \alpha. P/\alpha]P, F \rangle \, \text{(TR-REC)} \\ \langle P_1 + P_2, F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_1, F \rangle \, \text{(TR-CHOICEL)} \qquad \langle P_1 + P_2, F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle \, \text{(TR-CHOICER)} \\ & \frac{\langle P_1, F \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho} \langle P_1', F' \rangle}{\langle P_1; P_2, F \rangle} \qquad \text{(TR-SEQ)} \\ & \langle \text{const}(*x)P, F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P; \text{endconst}(*x), F \cup \{\text{const}(*x)\} \rangle \qquad \text{(TR-CONST)} \\ & \frac{F' = filter.T(F, *x)}{\langle \text{endconst}(*x), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, F' \rangle} \qquad \text{(TR-ENDCONST)} \\ & \frac{\neg \text{null}(*x) \notin F \quad \text{const}(*x) \in F}{\langle (*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_1, F \rangle} \quad \text{(TR-NNULLNOTIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle (*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_1, F \rangle} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLNOTIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{null}(*x) \notin F \quad \text{const}(*x) \in F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_1, F \rangle)} \quad \text{(TR-NULLNOTIN)} \\ & \frac{\neg \text{null}(*x) \notin F \quad \text{const}(*x) \in F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLNOTIN)} \\ & \frac{\neg \text{null}(*x) \in F \quad \text{const}(*x) \in F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\neg \text{null}(*x) \in F \quad \text{const}(*x) \in F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle)} \qquad \text{(TR-NULLIN)} \\ & \frac{\text{const}(*x) \notin F}{\langle ((*x)(P_1, P_2), F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P_2, F \rangle$$

Figure 2: semantics of behavioral types with dependent types.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{skip} : \mathbf{0} & (\mathsf{T-SKIP}) & \frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1 : P_1 \quad \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_2 : P_2}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1 ; s_2 : P_1 ; P_2} \ (\mathsf{T-SEQ}) \\ \Theta; \Gamma, x, y \vdash *x \leftarrow y : \mathbf{0} \ (\mathsf{T-ASSIGN}) & \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{free}(x) : \mathsf{free} \ (\mathsf{T-FREE}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = \mathsf{malloc}() \ \mathsf{in} \ s : \mathsf{malloc}; P \\ (\mathsf{T-MALLOC}) & \frac{\Theta; \Gamma, x, y \vdash s : P}{\Theta; \Gamma, y \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = y \; \mathsf{in} \ s : P} \ (\mathsf{T-LETDEREF}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, y \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = *y \; \mathsf{in} \ s : P \ (\mathsf{T-LETDEREF}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, y \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = *y \; \mathsf{in} \ s : P \ (\mathsf{T-LETNULL}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{endconst}(*x) : \mathsf{endconst}(*x) & (\mathsf{T-ENDCONST}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{const}(*x) : \mathsf{endconst}(*x) & (\mathsf{T-CONST}) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{s} : P \ \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s : P \ \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s_2 : P_2 \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{ifnull} \ (*x) \ \mathsf{then} \ s_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ s_2 : (*x)(P_1, P_2) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash \mathsf{ifnull} \ (*x) \ \mathsf{then} \ s_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ s_2 : (*x)(P_1, P_2) \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P_1 \ \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P_2 \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P_2 \ O; \Gamma \vdash s : P_2 \\ \hline \Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Dom}(D) = \mathsf{Dom}(\Theta) \ \Theta; x_1, \dots, x_n \vdash s : P \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{each} \ f \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n) s \in D \\ \hline \vdash D : \Theta \ \Theta; \emptyset \vdash s : P \ OK_n(P, F) \\ \hline \vdash \langle D, s \rangle : n \end{array} \ (\mathsf{T-Program}) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Figure 3: typing rules