Safe Memory Deallocation for Non-terminating Programs

Author Name

1

sample@example.ac.jp

2

example@sample.net

Abstract We propose a type system to prevent programs infinitely consuming memory cells. The main idea is based on the previous type system that augments pointer types with fractional ownerships and behavioral type system that abstracts the behavior of programs. We design a behavioral type system for verification of behavioral correctness.

1 Introduction

Manual memory management primitives (e.g., `malloc` and `free` in C) often cause serious problems such as double frees, memory leaks, and illegal read/write to a deallocated memory cell. Verifying *safe memory deallocation* – a program not leading to such an unsafe state – is an important problem.

Most of safe memory deallocation verification techniques proposed so far [**?**, **?**, **?**, **?**] focus on the memory leak for *terminating* programs: If a program terminates, the program satisfies safe memory dealloction. Meanwhile, safe memory deallocation is also important in non-terminating programs such as Web servers and operating systems.

We are currently investigating safe memory deallocation for non-terminating programs. We describe the current status in this extended abstract.

Safe memory deallocation so far mainly deals with memory leak for terminating programs. For example, the type system by Suenaga and Kobayashi [**?**] guarrantees that (1) a well-typed program does not perform read/write/free operations to any deallocated memory cell and that (2) after execution of a well-typed program, all the memory cells are deallocated.

We use a behavioral type system in the present paper to abstract the behavior of a program. Behavioral types are heavily used in the context of concurrent program verification [**?**, **?**, **?**].

Our main idea is to decompose the problem into two subproblems: (1) partial correctness and (2) *behavioral correctness*. The former is verified based on the previous type system [**?**], whereas the latter based on the type system we describe.

We use an imperative language with manual memory management for presenting examples. The definition of the language is as follows; It is a sublanguage of Suenaga and Kobayashi [**?**].

$$\begin{aligned} s\ (\mathit{statements}) \quad ::= \quad & \mathbf{skip} \mid s_1; s_2 \mid *x \leftarrow y \\ & \mid \mathbf{free}(x) \mid \mathbf{let}\ \ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \ \mathbf{in}\ \ s \\ & \mid f(\vec{x}) \mid \ \ldots \end{aligned}$$

The command **skip** does nothing; the sequence $s_1; s_2$ means the executing order of s_1 and s_2; the command $\mathbf{free}(x)$ deallocates the memory cell through the pointer x; and the command $\mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \ \mathbf{in}\ s$ first allocates a memory cell which is pointed by x and then executes s; $f(\vec{x})$ means a function call which receives some parameters. Here $\vec{x}$ means that $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$, the

```
1  f(x)=                          g(x)=
2  let  x = malloc()  in          let  x = malloc()  in
3  free(x); f(x)                  g(x); free(x)
```

Figure 1. Examples of memory leak.

```
1  h(x)=
2  let  x = malloc()  in
3  let  y = malloc()  in
4  free(x); free(y) ; h(x)
```

Figure 2. Example for demonstrating the main observation.

list of distinct variables. Notice that we omit several constructs such as dereferencing pointer, conditional statement, and so on.

A program *leaks* memory if the program consumes unbounded number of memory cells. For example, the left-hand side program in Example 1 does not leak memory, whereas the right-hand side does; the former consumes at most one memory cell at once but the latter consumes unbounded number of memory cells. Notice that both are partially correct because they do not terminate.

Our main observation is as follows: once partial correctness is guaranteed, we can guarantee memory-leak freedom by estimating upper bound of memory consumption *ignoring the relation between variables and pointers to memory cells*. Example 1 describes the observation. The function h is partially correct. Then, in order to verify that it consumes at most two cells at once, we can ignore x and y in h; We can focus on the fact that h executes **malloc** twice, **free** twice, and then calls h. This abstraction is sound because the correspondence between allocations and deallocations is guaranteed by the partial correctness verification.

In order to verify partial correctness, we use the type system by Suenaga and Kobayashi [**?**]. Then, we use a *behavioral type system* for the abstraction described above; For example, the behavior of h is abstracted by a CCS-like process $\mu\alpha.\mathbf{malloc}.\mathbf{malloc}.\mathbf{free}.\mathbf{free}.\alpha$, which is then passed to a model checker for estimating memory consumption.

2 Syntax and Semantics of Language

2.1 Syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\ (\mathit{statements}) \quad ::= & \ \mathbf{skip} \mid s_1; s_2 \mid *x \leftarrow y \mid \mathbf{free}(x) \\
& \mid \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \mathbf{in}\ s \mid \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{null}\ \mathbf{in}\ s \\
& \mid \mathbf{let}\ x = y\ \mathbf{in}\ s \mid \mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s \\
& \mid \mathbf{ifnull}\ (x)\ \mathbf{then}\ s_1\ \mathbf{else}\ s_2 \mid f(\vec{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2 Semantics

H models the heap address.
R models the registers.

$$\frac{n \in N}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{skip}; s, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R,\ s, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-Skip)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) \in dom(H), n \in N}{\langle H,\ R,\ *x \leftarrow y, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H\{R(x) \rightarrow R(y)\},\ R,\ \mathbf{skip}, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-Assign)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) \in dom(H), n \in N}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{free(x)}\ , n\rangle \mathbf{free}_D \langle H \setminus \{R(x)\},\ R,\ \mathbf{skip}, n+1\rangle} \quad \text{(E-Free)}$$

$$\frac{x' \notin dom(R)}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{null}\ \mathbf{in}\ s, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R\{x' \to \mathbf{null}\},\ [x'/x]\, s, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-LetNull)}$$

$$\frac{x' \notin dom(R)}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = y\ \mathbf{in}\ s, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R\{x' \to R(y)\},\ [x'/x]\, s, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-LetEq)}$$

$$\frac{x' \notin dom(R)}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R\{x' \to H(R(y))\},\ [x'/x]\, s, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-LetDref)}$$

$$\frac{h \notin dom(H)}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \mathbf{in}\ s, n\rangle \mathbf{malloc}_D \langle H\{h \to v\},\ R\{x' \to h\},\ [x'/x]\, s, n-1\rangle} \quad \text{(E-Malloc)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) = \mathbf{null}}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{ifnull}\ (x)\mathbf{then}\ s_1\mathbf{else}\ \ s_2,\ n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R,\ s_1,\ n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-IfNullT)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) \neq \mathbf{null}}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{ifnull}\ (x)\mathbf{then}\ s_1\mathbf{else}\ s_2,\ n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R,\ s_2,\ n,\rangle} \quad \text{(E-IfNullF)}$$

$$\frac{f(\vec{y}) = s \in D}{\langle H,\ R,\ f(\vec{x}), n\rangle \longrightarrow_D \langle H,\ R,\ [\vec{x}/\vec{y}]\, s, n\rangle} \quad \text{(E-Call)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) = null}{\langle H,\ R,\ *x \leftarrow y, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D NullEx} \quad \text{(E-AssignNullError)}$$

$$\frac{R(y) = null}{\langle H,\ R,\ x = *y, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D NullEx} \quad \text{(E-DrefNullError)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) \notin dom(H) \cup \{null\}}{\langle H,\ R,\ *x \leftarrow y, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D Error} \quad \text{(E-AssignError)}$$

$$\frac{R(y) \notin dom(H) \cup \{null\}}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s, n\rangle \longrightarrow_D Error} \quad \text{(E-DrefError)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) \notin dom(H) \cup \{null\}}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{free(x)}\ , n\rangle \mathbf{free}_D Error} \quad \text{(E-FreeError)}$$

$$\frac{R(x) = null}{\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{free(x)}\ , n\rangle \mathbf{free}_D NullEx} \quad \text{(E-FreeNullError)}$$

$$\langle H,\ R,\ \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \mathbf{in}\ s, 0\rangle \mathbf{malloc}_D Error \quad \text{(E-MallocError)}$$

3 Type System

3.1 Syntax of Type

$$\begin{aligned} P(\textit{behaviral types}) ::= \quad & \mathbf{0} \mid P_1; P_2 \mid P_1 + P_2 \mid \mathbf{malloc} \\ & \mid \mathbf{free} \mid \alpha \mid \mu\alpha.P \\ \tau(\textit{value types}) ::= \quad & \mathbf{Ref} \\ \sigma(\textit{function types}) ::= \quad & (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)P \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Semantics of Behavioral Types

$$0; P \to P$$

$$\mu\alpha.P \to [\mu\alpha.P/\alpha]P$$

$$\mathbf{mallocmalloc}0$$

$$\mathbf{freefree}0$$

$$\frac{P_1 \alpha P_1'}{P_1; P_2 \alpha P_1'; P_2}$$

$$P_1 + P_2 \longrightarrow P_1$$

$$P_1 + P_2 \longrightarrow P_2$$

where $\alpha ::= \mathbf{malloc} \mid \mathbf{free}$

3.3 Type Judgments

$$\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P$$

Θ : a finite mapping from function variables to function types.
Γ : a finite mapping from variables to value types.

3.4 Typing Rules

$$\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{skip} : \mathbf{0} \quad \text{(T-Skip)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1 : P_1 \quad \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_2 : P_2}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1; s_2 : P_1; P_2} \quad \text{(T-Seq)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash y : \mathbf{Ref} \quad \Theta; \Gamma \vdash x : \mathbf{Ref}}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash *x \leftarrow y : \mathbf{0}} \quad \text{(T-Assign)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash x : \mathbf{Ref}}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{free}(x) : \mathbf{free}; 0} \quad \text{(T-Free)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s : P}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \ \mathbf{in}\ s : \mathbf{malloc}; P} \quad \text{(T-Malloc)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash y : \mathbf{Ref} \quad \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s : P}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = y\ \mathbf{in}\ s : P} \quad \text{(T-LetEq)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash y : \mathbf{Ref} \quad \Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s : P}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s : P} \quad \text{(T-LetDref)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma, x \vdash s : P}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{null}\ \mathbf{in}\ s : P} \quad \text{(T-LetNull)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash x : \mathbf{Ref} \quad \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1 : P \quad \Theta; \Gamma \vdash s_1 : P}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{ifnull}\ (x)\ \mathbf{then}\ s_1\ \mathbf{else}\ s_2 : P} \quad \text{(T-IfNull)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta(f) = P}{\Theta; \Gamma, \vec{x} : \vec{\tau} \vdash f(\vec{x}) : P} \quad \text{(T-Call)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash D : \Theta \quad \Theta; \emptyset \vdash s : P \quad OK_n(P)}{\vdash (D, s)} \quad \text{(T-Program)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P_1 \quad P_1 \leq P_2}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P_2} \quad \text{(T-Sub)}$$

4 Type Soundness

Theorem 4.1 *If* $\vdash (D, s)$ *then* (D, s) *does not lead to memory leak.*
Memory leak freedom: $\exists n \in N$ *s.t.* $\langle \emptyset, \emptyset, s, n \rangle^* Error$

Lemma 4.2 (Preservation I) *If* $OK_n(P)$, $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P$ *and* $\langle H,\ R,\ s,\ n \rangle \alpha \langle H',\ R',\ s',\ n' \rangle$, *then* $\exists P'$ *s.t.*
(1) $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s' : P'$
(2) $P \alpha \Longrightarrow P'$
(3) $OK_{n'}(P')$

Lemma 4.3 (Preservation II) *If* $OK_n(P)$, $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s : P$ *and* $\langle H,\ R,\ s,\ n \rangle \rightarrow \langle H',\ R',\ s',\ n' \rangle$, *then* $\exists P'$ *s.t.*
(1) $\Theta; \Gamma \vdash s' : P'$
(2) $P \tau^* P'$
(3) $OK_{n'}(P')$

Lemma 4.4 *when partial correctness is guaranteed* $\vdash \langle H, R, s \rangle and\ if\ \vdash \langle H, R, s, n \rangle$, *then* $\vdash \langle H', R', s', n' \rangle Error$

5 Syntax Directed Typing Rules

C is contraint for subtype.

$$\frac{C = \emptyset}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{skip} : \mathbf{0}} \quad \text{(ST-Skip)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma; C_1 \vdash s_1 : P_1 \quad \Theta; \Gamma; C_2 \vdash s_2 : P_2 \quad C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1; P_2 \leq P\}}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash s_1; s_2 : P} \quad \text{(ST-Seq)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma; C_1 \vdash y \quad \Theta; \Gamma; C_2 \vdash x : \mathbf{Ref} \quad C = C_1 \cup C_2}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash *x \leftarrow y : \mathbf{0}} \quad \text{(ST-Assign)}$$

$$\frac{C = \emptyset}{\Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{free}() : \mathbf{free}; \mathbf{0}} \quad \text{(ST-Free)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma, x; C_1 \vdash s : P_1 \quad C = C_1 \cup \{P_1 \leq P\}}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \mathbf{in}\ s : \mathbf{malloc}; P} \quad \text{(ST-Malloc)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma; C_1 \vdash y \quad \Theta; \Gamma, x; C_2 \vdash s : P_1 \quad C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1 \leq P\}}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = y\ \mathbf{in}\ s : P} \quad \text{(ST-LetEq)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma; C_1 \vdash y : \mathbf{Ref} \quad \Theta; \Gamma, x; C_2 \vdash s : P_1 \quad C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1 \leq P\}}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s : P} \quad \text{(ST-LetDref)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta; \Gamma; C_1 \vdash x \quad \Theta; \Gamma; C_2 \vdash s_1 : P_1 \quad \Theta; \Gamma; C_3 \vdash s_2 : P_2 \quad C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \{P_1 \leq P, P_2 \leq P\}}{\Theta; \Gamma; C \vdash \mathbf{ifnull}\ (x) \mathbf{then}\ s_1 \mathbf{else}\ s_2 : P} \quad \text{(ST-IfNull)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta(f) = P_1 \quad C = P_1 \leq P}{\Gamma, \vec{x} : \vec{\tau} \vdash f(\vec{x}) : P} \quad \text{(ST-Call)}$$

$$\frac{\Theta \vdash D : \Theta \quad \Theta; \emptyset; C_1 \vdash s : P \quad C = C_1 \cup \{OK_n(P)\}}{C \vdash (D, s)} \quad \text{(ST-Prog)}$$

6 Type Inference

$PT_v(x) = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$, where x maybe a value or reference.
PT_Θ is a mapping from statements to a pair of constraints and types with function Θ from function names to function types, like $\Theta(f) = P$. $\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(f) =$
let $\alpha = \Theta(f)$
in $(C = \{\alpha \leq \beta\}, \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{skip}) = (\emptyset, 0)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(s_1; s_2) =$
let $(C_1, P_1) = PT_\Theta(s_1)$
$(C_2, P_2) = PT_\Theta(s_2)$
in $(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1; P_2 \leq \beta\}, \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(*x \leftarrow y) =$
let $(C_1, \emptyset) = PT_v(*x)$
$(C_2, \emptyset) = PT_v(y)$
in $(C_1 \cup C_2, 0)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{free}(x)) = (\emptyset, \mathbf{free}; 0)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{let}\ x = \mathbf{malloc}()\ \mathbf{in}\ s) =$
let $(C_1, P_1) = PT_v(s)$
in $(C_1 \cup \{P_1 \leq \beta\}, \mathbf{malloc}; \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{let}\ x = y\ \mathbf{in}\ s) =$
let $(C_1, \emptyset) = PT_v(y)$
$(C_2, P_1) = PT_\Theta(s)$
in $(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1 \leq \beta\}, \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{let}\ x = *y\ \mathbf{in}\ s) =$
let $(C_1, \emptyset) = PT_v(y)$
$(C_2, P_1) = PT_\Theta(s)$
in $(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \{P_1 \leq \beta\}, \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}_\Theta(\mathbf{ifnull}\ (x)\ \mathbf{then}\ s_1\ \mathbf{else}\ \ s_2) =$
let $(C_1, P_1) = PT_\Theta(s_1)$
$(C_2, P_2) = PT_\Theta(s_2)$
$(C_3, \emptyset) = PT_v(x)$
in $(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \{P_1 \leq \beta, P_2 \leq \beta\}, \beta)$
$\mathrm{PT}(\text{¡D, s¿}) =$
let $\Theta = \{f_1 : \alpha_1, \ldots, f_n : \alpha_n\}$
where $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} = dom(D)$ *and* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ *are fresh*
in let $(C_i, P_i) = PT_\Theta(D(f_i))$ *for each* i
in let $C_i^{'} = \{\alpha_i \leq P_i\}$ *for each* i
in let $(C, P) = PT_\Theta(s)$
in $(C_i \cup C_i^{'}) \cup C \cup \{OK(P)\}, P)$
Experiments

7 Conclusion

this is the end

References