Well-Quasi-Orderings and the Robertson-Seymour Theorems

7.1 Basic woo Theory

As we will see, well-quasi-orderings (WQO's) provide a powerful engine for demonstrating that classes of problems are FPT. In this section, we will look at the rudiments of the theory of WQO's, and in subsequent sections, we will examine applications to combinatorial problems.

A quasi-ordering on a set S is a reflexive and transitive relation on S. We will usually represent a quasi-ordering as \leq_S or simply \leq when the underlying set S is clear. Let $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ be a quasi-ordered set. We will write x < y if $x \leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$, $x \equiv y$ if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, and, finally, $x \mid y$ if $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$. Note that if $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is a quasi-ordered set, then S/\equiv is partially ordered by the quasi-order induced by \leq_S .

Definition 7.1 (Ideal and Filter) Let $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ be a quasi-ordered set. Let S' be a subset of S.

- (i) We say that S' is a filter if it is closed under \leq upward; that is, if $x \in S'$ and $y \geq x$, then $y \in S'$. The filter generated by S' is the set $F(S') = \{y \in S : \exists x \in S' (x \leq y)\}.^2$
- (ii) We say that S' is a (lower) ideal if S' is \leq closed downward; that is, if $x \in S'$ and $y \leq x$, then $y \in S'$. The ideal generated by S' is the set $I(S') = \{y \in S : \exists x \in S'(x \geq y)\}.$

Recall that a partial ordering is a quasi-ordering that is also antisymmetric.

²Sometimes, filters are called upper ideals.

(iii) Finally, if S' is a filter (an ideal) that can be generated by a finite subset of S', then we say that S' is *finitely generated*.

We will need some distinguished types of sequences of elements.

Definition 7.2 Let $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ be a quasi-ordered set. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of elements of S. Then, we say the following.

- (i) A is good if there is some i < j with $a_i \le a_i$.
- (ii) A is bad if it is not good.
- (iii) A is an ascending chain if for all $i < j, a_i \le a_j$.
- (iv) A is an antichain if for all $i \neq j |a_i| a_j$.
- (v) $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is *Noetherian* if S contains no infinite (strictly) descending sequences (i.e., there is no sequence $b_0 > b_1 > b_2 \cdots$).
- (vi) $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ has the *finite basis property* if for all subsets $S' \subseteq S$, F(S') is finitely generated.

Theorem 7.3 (Folklore, after Higman [268]) Let $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ be a quasi-ordered set. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ has no bad sequences.
- (ii) Every infinite sequence in S contains an infinite chain.
- (iii) $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is Noetherian and S contains no infinite antichain.
- (iv) $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ has the finite basis property.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \rightarrow (iii) are easy. (See Exercise 1.)

(iii) \rightarrow (iv). Suppose that $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is Noetherian and S contains no infinite antichain. Let F be a filter of S with no finite basis. We generate a sequence from F in stages as follows. Let f_0 be any element from F. As F is not finitely generated, there is some $f_1 \in F$ with $f_1 \notin F(\{f_0\})$. For step i+1, having chosen $\{f_0, \ldots, f_i\}$, we find some $f_{i+1} \in F$ with $f_{i+1} \notin F(\{f_0, \ldots, f_i\})$. Since F is not finitely generated, this process will not terminate. Notice that for all i < j, it cannot be that $f_i \leq f_j$ since, in particular, $f_j \notin F(\{f_i\})$. For i < j, color the pairs f_i , f_j red if $f_i > f_j$ and color the pair blue if $f_i|f_j$. (Every pair is colored either red or blue by the construction of the sequence.) By Ramsey's theorem, there is an infinite homogeneous set; that is there is a sequence $f_{i_0}f_{i_1}\ldots$ and a color $\chi \in \{red, blue\}$, such that for all $i_k < i_t$, the pair f_{i_k} , f_{i_t} is colored χ . If χ is red, then we have an infinite de-

³Ramsey's Theorem (Ramsey [381]) states that if B is an infinite set and k is a positive integer, then if we colour the subsets of B of size k with colours chosen from $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, then there is an infinite subset $B' \subseteq B$ such that all the size k subsets of B' have the same colour. B' is referred to a homogeneous subset.

scending sequence. If χ is blue, we have an infinite antichain. In either case, we contradict (iii).

(iv) \rightarrow (i) Suppose that $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ has the finite basis property. Let $B = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of elements of S. Let $B' \subseteq B$ be a finite basis for F(B). Let $m = \max\{i : b_i \in B'\}$. Choose $b_j \in B - B'$ with j > m. Then, for some $b_i \in B'$, we must have $b_i \leq b_j$ since $b_j \in F(B')$, and i < j by construction. Hence, B is good.

The several equivalent characterizations above lead to an important class of quasi-orderings.

Definition 7.4 (Well-Quasi-Ordering) Let $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ be a quasi-ordering. If $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ satisfies any of the characterizations of Theorem 7.3, then we say that $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is a well-quasi-ordering (WQO).

The reader might well wonder what any of this abstract pure mathematics has to do with algorithmic considerations. The key is provided by the finite basis characterizations of a **wo**. The answer is provided by the **woo** principle below.

Well-Quasi-Ordering Principle Suppose that $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is a **wQo**. Suppose further that for any x, the parameterized problem **ABOVE**(x) below is polynomial time computable. Then, for any filter F of $\langle S, \leq \rangle$, the decision problem "Is $y \in F$?" is polynomial time.

ABOVE(x)

Input: $y \in S$. Parameter: $x \in S$. Question: Is $x \le y$?

The proof of the Well-Quasi-Ordering Principle is clear: If F is a filter, then F has a finite basis $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Then, to decide if $y \in F$, we need only ask " $\exists i \leq n(b_i \leq_S y)$?" Since for each fixed i, ABOVE (b_i) is in P, the question " $\exists i \leq n(b_i \leq_S y)$?" is in P too.

Actually, the Well-Quasi-Ordering Principle is often stated in a dual form for ideals. If F is a filter of $\langle S, \leq \rangle$, then S - F is an ideal, and vice versa.