

M. Malloans.

E318.

mem@math.ubiacruz.edu.

Cardinal invariants of the Continuum.

Cantor. We say two sets A, B are equinumerous (equivalent) if \exists a 1-1. and onto mapping from A to B .

Rk. This is equivalence relation.

The number of elements of A is the equivalence class of A , denoted $|A|$, the cardinality of A .

Rk. A set is infinite, precisely when it can be put in bijection with a strict subset.

The continuum hypothesis is the statement:

Every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is either equi. w/ \mathbb{Q} or is equi w/ the set \mathbb{R} if A is infinite.

i.e. \nexists infinite set w/ card between \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} .

Arithmetic on cardinals.

1) What's an order on cardinals?

First, A, B sets, and there exists $f: A \rightarrow B$ inj. $g: B \rightarrow A$ inj. then \exists bij. $h: A \rightarrow B$.

This, the cardinals are linearly ordered.

In fact, cardinals are well-ordered. (every nonempty subset has a least element).

Notice: for any Cardinal λ , there is a unique Successor λ^+ in the linear order on Cardinals.

k, λ Cardinals.

1) $k + \lambda$ is the cardinality $|A \cup B|$ where $|A| = k$, where $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $|B| = \lambda$.

2) $k\lambda$ is the card $|A \cdot B|$, where $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

3) $k^\lambda = |A|^{|B|} = |^B A| = \{ f: B \rightarrow A \mid f \text{ function} \}$.

Fact¹. These agree w/ usual arithmetic for finite cardinalities.

2) distrib. assoc. transitivity, equalities hold.

$$\mathbb{Q} \cdot (\lambda^M)^K = \lambda^{M \cdot K}. \quad \lambda^M \cdot \lambda^K = \lambda^{M+K}.$$

3) Inequalities are more elegant.

Thm (Fundamental Thm of Cardinal Arith).

For cardinals, K, λ , at least one of which is infinite.

Then $K + \lambda = K \cdot \lambda = \max \{K, \lambda\}$.

Q: So everything becomes trivial?

Claim: There exists arbitrarily large cardinals?

Thm (Cantor) For any cardinal λ , $2^\lambda > \lambda$.

Pf: Note first that we may identify 2^λ w/ $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$, power set of all subsets of λ , by associating each subset to its character fn. Second, note that $\lambda \leq 2^\lambda$.

Remains to show that there \nexists bij between λ and 2^λ .

Suppose there $\exists f: \lambda \rightarrow 2^\lambda$ bi. onto. define $Y = \{y \in \lambda \mid y \notin f(y)\}$.

Y possibly empty. $Y \subseteq \lambda$, $Y \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ so there exists a preimg z_* i.e. $f(z_*) = Y$. So is $z_* \in Y$?

if $z_* \in Y$, then $z_* \in f(z_*) = Y \Rightarrow$ contradiction

if $z_* \notin Y \Rightarrow z_* \notin f(z_*) \Rightarrow z_* \in Y \Rightarrow$ contradiction.

Q: We have two ways of increasing cardinals: λ^+ , 2^λ .

Are they the same? i.e. Is $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$?

In particular, is $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$?

Cardinal Invariant of Continuum.

Program: Look at properties of infinite families, which hold if the family is countable and fail for some family of size continuum. (IR1). And name the first card, where this property may fail.

Def ${}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$, ${}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$: set of all functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

2) we say $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ eventually dominates $f \in {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$, $g \gg f$ if $g(n) \geq f(n)$ for all but finitely many n .

3) We define b , the bounding number to be the smallest size of an unbounded family of fns. $\mathcal{F} \subset {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$ st.

no $g \in {}^{\omega}N$ eventually dominates all $f \in \Gamma$.

RR. $b \leq \aleph_0$. just take $F = {}^{\omega}N$.

$\aleph_0 \leq b$. Suppose $F = \{f_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. define g by.
 $g(k) > \max_n f_n(k)$.

Def. The dominating number d is the smallest size of a family $F \subseteq {}^{\omega}N$, which is dominating meaning that for any $g \in {}^{\omega}N$. There is $f \in F$, which eventually dominates g .