

TDA paper chase essay rubric

| | Excellent | Good | Needs work | Unacceptable |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| 1 | Paper 1 summary | Clear summary of contributions, and easy to follow for someone with a general background in TDA | Contributions are discussed, but some confusion or lack of clarity about main points or technical material | Summary includes some contributions, but does not rank major contributions or contains major errors |
| | Paper tools | Clear discussion of major TDA tools and techniques in the paper | Discussion is unclear or limited in focus | Misunderstood or left out entirely |
| | Paper 1: where you got lost | Clear description of where you got lost in Paper 1 and why, written for someone not familiar with the paper | Some discussion, but not clear what the problem was | No context, or discussion of paper without clear description of where you got lost |
| | Paper 2: | Brief summary of Paper 2; connection to where you got lost in Paper 1; clear description of where you got lost in Paper 2 | Some discussion, but not at excellent level | More serious inaccuracies or issues in description |
| | Paper 3: | Brief summary of Paper 3; connection to where you got lost in Papers 1 and 2; clear description of where you got lost in Paper 3 | Some discussion, but not at excellent level | More serious inaccuracies or issues in description |