

	Excellent	Good	Needs work	Unacceptable
Paper 1 summary	Clear summary of contributions, and easy to follow for someone with a general background in TDA	Contributions are discussed, but some confusion or lack of clarity about main points or technical material	Summary includes some contributions, but does not rank major contributions or contains major errors	Completely unclear what major contributions are
Paper 1 tools	Clear discussion of major TDA tools and techniques in the paper	Discussion is unclear or limited in focus	Mention of topology and/or TDA tools, but left vague or clear indications of misunderstandings	Misunderstood or left out entirely
Paper 1: where you got lost	Clear description of where you got lost in Paper 1 and why, written for someone not familiar with the paper	Some discussion, but not clear what the problem was	No context, or discussion of paper without clear description of where you got lost	Left this part out entirely
Paper 2:	Brief summary of Paper 2; connection to where you got lost in Paper 1; clear description of where you got lost in Paper 2	Some discussion, but not at excellent level	More serious inaccuracies or issues in description	Major issues: for example, not clear what paper 2 was about
Paper 3:	Brief summary of Paper 3; connection to where you got lost in Papers 1 and 2; clear description of where you got lost in Paper 3	Some discussion, but not at excellent level	More serious inaccuracies or issues in description	Major issues: not clear what paper 3 was about