

wolffbe /  
minitexteditor

&lt;&gt; Code

Issues

Pull requests

Actions

Projects

Wiki

Security



v3 ▾

minitexteditor / docs  
/ design.md

Benedict Wolff adds undo and redo functionality and documentation

0183505 · 1 minute ago



128 lines (95 loc) · 19.8 KB

Preview

Code

Blame

Raw



# Application Design

The Mini Text Editor features a web-based frontend, which interacts with a REST API and a backend written in Java. To ensure the robustness and correctness of the backend, over 100 unit tests were written using JUnit and Mockito, achieving over 90% test coverage. These tests verify the functionality of various backend components, ensuring the editor performs efficiently and reliably.

This section provides an overview of the design decisions made during the development of the application and the rationale behind each choice.

*Click here to get back to the [README](#).*

## Table of Contents

1. [Frontend](#)
2. [REST API](#)
  - [Endpoints](#)
  - [Design Choices](#)
3. [Backend](#)
  - [Design choices](#)
  - [Memento design pattern](#)
4. [Testing](#)

## Frontend

The frontend of the application is built using plain **HTML**, **CSS**, and **JavaScript**, providing a user-friendly interface for interacting with the text editor. The following design choices were made during its implementation:

Design choice	Description	Reason
simple and straightforward GUI	The GUI consists of only four elements visible to the user: the editor text area, the log area, the record button, and the replay button.	A simple and straightforward GUI reduces visual complexity, making the editor more intuitive and easier for users to interact with.
plain JavaScript	Logical components of the frontend are written entirely in plain JavaScript.	Plain JavaScript avoids introducing unnecessary dependencies, ensuring a lightweight, maintainable implementation.
<code>const.js</code>	All constants used in the frontend are centrally managed in <code>const.js</code> , being the first JavaScript file included in the HTML of the frontend.	Managing all <code>const</code> in one place allows easy maintenance by providing a single location for updates to constants.
logs displayed in the frontend	Each action creates a log directly in the frontend.	Providing logs in the frontend enables users to verify the results of their actions without needing to switch to another window, improving usability.
<code>log.js</code>	The logic handling logging in the frontend is centrally located in <code>log.js</code> .	Centralized logging keeps this functionality modular and separated, making it easier to manage and debug.
use of keyboard shortcuts	Existing and well-known keyboard shortcuts for editing text are used.	Using familiar keyboard shortcuts makes it easy for the user to interact with the editor.

Design choice	Description	Reason
centrally managed keyboard events	Each keyboard stroke triggers an event handled by a centralized listener. The <code>listeners.js</code> is aware of all valid keyboard events and the associated command function to execute.	Centralizing event handling into a single point makes the system easier to maintain and extend.
checking for selection changes	Before executing a selection command function, the frontend checks whether the selection has changed. If not, no REST API call is executed.	These kind of checks reduce unnecessary API calls, improving performance and reducing server load.
asynchronous backend requests	Each valid keyboard stroke and shortcut triggers an associated asynchronous command function that calls its backend counterpart via the REST API.	Asynchronous backend requests ensure a responsive user experience by allowing the frontend to remain interactive while waiting for the backend to process requests. It also decouples the frontend from backend implementation details, allowing for better scalability, error handling, and non-blocking operations, which improves overall system performance.
standardized REST API calls	Each asynchronous function executing a command follows a standardized structure for API call preparation, execution, and response evaluation (success or error).	This ensures debugging and consistent handling of API interactions.

Design choice	Description	Reason
one file per command	Each command function is written in its own file.	Individual files support modularity and simplify extending the functionality of the editor.
separation of commands and state management	The logic to update the engine state in the frontend is independent of all commands.	This decoupling grants flexibility in deciding when and how to update the engine state without bounding it to specific commands.
separation of commands and logging	The logic to log changes to the engine state in the frontend is independent of all commands and state management.	This decoupling creates modularity and independence between functional components such as commands and technical components such as logging and state management.
replaying in the backend	A replay is the individual execution of past commands as of a given state. This feature is handled entirely by the backend.	Executing the replay in the backend keeps the frontend lightweight.

## REST API

The **REST API** serves as the communication layer between the backend and frontend.

### Endpoints

The API services the following endpoints at `/api/engine` :

Method	Endpoint	Description
GET	<code>/</code>	Returns the current state of the engine.
POST	<code>/select</code>	Updates the text selection indices.
POST	<code>/cut</code>	Cuts the selected text to the clipboard.
POST	<code>/copy</code>	Copies the selected text to the clipboard.

Method	Endpoint	Description
POST	/paste	Pastes the clipboard content.
POST	/insert	Inserts text into the buffer.
DELETE	/delete	Deletes the selected text.
GET	/replay	Replays recorded actions.
POST	/undo	Reverts the last action.
POST	/redo	Redoes the last undone action.

## Design choices

The following design choices were made during the implementation of the REST API:

Design choice	Description	Reason
separation of concerns	The REST API is implemented in the engine controller, forwarding requests to the associated service function and then responding to the frontend.	This approach ensures a modular architecture, making debugging and updates to individual layers easier.
one endpoint per command	Each command has its own endpoint.	Individual endpoints result in clear separation of functionality and easier extensibility for new commands.
data transfer objects	Data transfer objects (DTOs) are used as response entities for each API call.	DTOs reduce coupling between the frontend and the backend while making the API easier to work with.
use of Optionals	Optionals enable the API to return empty responses without error.	Optionals prevent errors caused by empty responses, and allow the frontend to act upon empty responses accordingly.

## Backend

The backend of the application is a Java application based on Spring Boot that exposes a REST API to the frontend hosted on the same server as the backend.

## Design choices

The following design choices were made during its implementation:

Design choice	Description	Reason
Spring Boot	Spring Boot is used to implement the backend.	It is the industry standard for creating Java-based web applications and REST APIs, offering robust tools and frameworks.
Maven	Maven is used as the build tool for the backend.	Maven simplifies dependency management and supports the creation of reproducible builds.
Layered architecture	A layered architecture separates the controller, service, and component layers.	The result of using this architecture is modularity and clear separation of concerns for easier testing and maintenance.
Memento design pattern	Undo/redo functionality is generically implemented using the Memento pattern.	The Memento design pattern simplifies application state management by storing snapshots of the engine's state, enabling easy undo and redo operations.
Generic memento classes	The memento classes are generic.	Generic classes offers easy reusability.
Command design pattern	Text editing operations are encapsulated as command objects.	This pattern decouples the command request from its execution, making operations consistent and easier to extend.
Immutability of previous iterations	The engine is manipulated in the Originator without the	Ensuring the immutability of previously implemented components reduces the time

Design choice	Description	Reason
	use of a dedicated deep copy method or constructor.	needed to review newer code contributions.
Packaged architecture	Functionalities are grouped into four packages: Engine, Selection, Memento, and Command.	Such a structure makes it easier to locate and manage related functionalities, supporting modular development.
Preconditions	Methods include preconditions checked before the method is executed.	Preconditions improve code robustness by ensuring invalid states are avoided and errors are clear and informative.
Javadoc	Javadoc is used extensively for classes and methods.	Javadocs provide clear documentation for developers, aiding maintainability and reducing the time to understand the code.

## Memento design pattern

The **Memento pattern** is used to store snapshots of the application's state. This allows the text editor to implement undo and redo functionality. The pattern consists of three components:

- **Memento:** Stores the state.
- **Originator:** Creates and restores mementos.
- **Caretaker:** Manages the history of mementos for navigation (undo/redo).

## Testing

---

### Frontend

The frontend was thoroughly tested manually throughout its implementation. Due to time constraints, no additional unit tests were written for it.

### Backend

Design choice	Description	Reason
JUnit 5	JUnit 5 is used for unit testing.	It is the standard for testing Java applications, offering modern features and extensive community support.
High test coverage	Over 90% test coverage for the backend is achieved.	High test coverage ensures the reliability of the application by thoroughly validating its components.
Test-driven development	Tests were written before fixing a bug.	This approach ensures that code is validated based on its use and not only based on its implementation.
Mockito	Mockito is used to mock dependencies in unit tests.	Mockito simplifies testing by isolating components and simulating dependencies without requiring complex configurations.
@AutoClosable	Mocks are automatically closed after test execution using @AutoCloseable .	This prevents resource leaks and reduces the complexity of test cleanup.
@Nested	Nested test classes are used for methods with more than two test cases.	Nested test classes improve readability and organization of test cases.
@ParameterizedTest	Parameterized tests allow multiple values to be tested for the same scenario.	This approach reduces redundancy and improves coverage by enabling tests with different input values.
Not testing the main class	The main method's functionality is not explicitly tested.	It is already covered by the Spring Boot framework, making additional testing redundant.



Element ^	Class, %	Method, %	Line, %	Branch, %
▼ fr.istic.aco.editor	94% (17/18)	98% (80/81)	99% (247/248)	100% (68/68)
▼ command	100% (7/7)	100% (13/13)	100% (27/27)	100% (10/10)
Command	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
CommandImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (0/0)
Copy	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	100% (0/0)
Cut	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	100% (0/0)
Deletion	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	100% (0/0)
Insertion	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (5/5)	100% (2/2)
Paste	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	100% (0/0)
Selection	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (12/12)	100% (8/8)
▼ engine	100% (5/5)	100% (42/42)	100% (143/143)	100% (28/28)
EngineController	100% (1/1)	100% (11/11)	100% (22/22)	100% (0/0)
EngineDto	100% (1/1)	100% (8/8)	100% (17/17)	100% (0/0)
EngineImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (9/9)	100% (25/25)	100% (8/8)
EngineInvoker	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (3/3)	100% (0/0)
EngineService	100% (1/1)	100% (12/12)	100% (76/76)	100% (20/20)
▼ memo	100% (3/3)	100% (17/17)	100% (49/49)	100% (18/18)
Caretaker	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
CaretakerImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (9/9)	100% (22/22)	100% (18/18)
Memento	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
MementoImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (8/8)	100% (0/0)
Originator	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
OriginatorImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (6/6)	100% (19/19)	100% (0/0)
▼ selection	100% (2/2)	100% (8/8)	100% (28/28)	100% (12/12)
Selection	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
SelectionDto	100% (1/1)	100% (1/1)	100% (1/1)	100% (0/0)
SelectionImpl	100% (1/1)	100% (7/7)	100% (27/27)	100% (12/12)
Editor	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	100% (0/0)