

# Towards the Algorithmic Molecular Self-Assembly of Fractals by Cotranscriptional Folding<sup>\*</sup>

Yusei Masuda, Shinnosuke Seki<sup>\*\*</sup>, and Yuki Ubukata

Department of Computer and Network Engineering, The University of  
Electro-Communications, 1-5-1, Chofugaoka, Chofu, Tokyo, 1828585, Japan  
s.seki@uec.ac.jp

**Abstract.**

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of types of abstract molecules, or *beads*, and  $\Sigma^*$  be the set of finite sequences of beads. A bead of type  $a \in \Sigma$  is called an  $a$ -bead. Let  $w = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n \in \Sigma^*$  be a string of length  $n$  for some integer  $n$  and bead types  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Sigma$ . The *length* of  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|$ , that is,  $|w| = n$ . For two indices  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , we let  $w[i..j]$  refer to the subsequence  $b_i b_{i+1} \cdots b_{j-1} b_j$ ; if  $i = j$ , then we simplify  $w[i..i]$  as  $w[i]$ . For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $w[1..k]$  is called a *prefix* of  $w$ .

Oritatami systems fold their transcript, a sequence of beads, over the triangular grid graph  $\mathbb{T} = (V, E)$  as suggested in Fig. ?? cotranscriptionally based on hydrogen-bond-based interactions (*h-interactions* for short) which the rule set of the system allow for between adjacent beads of particular types. A directed path  $P = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  is a sequence of *pairwise-distinct* points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in V$  such that  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\} \in E$  for all  $1 \leq i < n$ . Its  $i$ -th point is referred to as  $P[i]$ . A *rule set*  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma$  is a symmetric relation over the set of pairs of bead types, that is, for all bead types  $a, b \in \Sigma$ ,  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H}$  implies  $(b, a) \in \mathcal{H}$ .

A *conformation*  $C$  is a triple  $(P, w, H)$  of a directed path  $P$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $w \in \Sigma^*$  of the same length as  $P$ , and a set of h-interactions  $H \subseteq \{\{i, j\} \mid 1 \leq i, i+2 \leq j, \{P[i], P[j]\} \in E\}$ . This is to be interpreted as the sequence  $w$  being folded in such a manner that its  $i$ -th bead  $w[i]$  is placed on the  $i$ -th point  $P[i]$  along the path and there is an h-interaction between the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th beads if and only if  $\{i, j\} \in H$ . The condition  $i+2 \leq j$  represents the topological restriction that two consecutive beads along the path cannot form an h-interaction between them. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a rule set. An h-interaction  $(i, j) \in H$  is *valid with respect to*  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

---

<sup>\*</sup> This work is in part supported by JST Program to Disseminate Tenure Tracking System, MEXT, Japan, No. 6F36 and by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) No. 16H05854 to S. S.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author

or simply  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid, if  $(w[i], w[j]) \in \mathcal{H}$ . This conformation  $C$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid if all of its h-interactions are  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid. For an integer  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $C$  is of *arity*  $\alpha$  if  $C$  contains a bead that forms  $\alpha$  h-interactions and no bead of  $C$  forms more. By  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \alpha}$ , we denote the set of all conformations of arity at most  $\alpha$ .

Oritatami systems grow conformations by elongating them under their own rule set. Given a rule set  $\mathcal{H}$  and an  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid finite conformation  $C_1 = (P, w, H)$ , we say that another conformation  $C_2$  is an *elongation of  $C_1$  by a bead  $b \in \Sigma$* , written as  $C_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}_b C_2$ , if  $C_2 = (Pp, wb, H \cup H')$  for some point  $p$  not along the path  $P$  and set of h-interactions  $H' \subseteq \{\{i, |w| + 1\} \mid 1 \leq i < |w|, \{P[i], p\} \in E, (w[i], b) \in \mathcal{H}\}$ , which can be empty. Note that  $C_2$  is also  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid. This operation is recursively extended to the elongation by a finite sequence of beads as: for any conformation  $C$ ,  $C \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}_{\lambda}^* C$ ; and for a finite sequence of beads  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and a bead  $b \in \Sigma$ , a conformation  $C_1$  is elongated to a conformation  $C_2$  by  $wb$ , written as  $C_1 \xrightarrow{wb}_{\mathcal{H}}^* C_2$ , if there is a conformation  $C'$  that satisfies  $C_1 \xrightarrow{w}_{\mathcal{H}}^* C'$  and  $C' \xrightarrow{b}_{\mathcal{H}} C_2$ .

A finite *oritatami system* (OS) is a 5-tuple  $\Xi = (\mathcal{H}, \alpha, \delta, \sigma, w)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a rule set,  $\alpha$  is an arity,  $\delta \geq 1$  is a parameter called the *delay*,  $\sigma$  is an initial  $\mathcal{H}$ -valid conformation of arity  $\alpha$  called the *seed*, upon which its finite *transcript*  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is to be folded by stabilizing beads of  $w$  one at a time so as to minimize energy collaboratively with the succeeding  $\delta - 1$  nascent beads. The energy of a conformation  $C = (P, w, H)$ , denoted by  $\Delta G(C)$ , is defined to be  $-|H|$ ; the more h-interactions a conformation has, the more stable it gets. The set  $\mathcal{F}(\Xi)$  of conformations *foldable* by this system is recursively defined as: the seed  $\sigma$  is in  $\mathcal{F}(\Xi)$ ; and provided that an elongation  $C_i$  of  $\sigma$  by the prefix  $w[1..i]$  be foldable (i.e.,  $C_0 = \sigma$ ), its further elongation  $C_{i+1}$  by the next bead  $w[i+1]$  is foldable if

$$C_{i+1} \in \arg \min_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq \alpha} \text{ s.t. } C_i \xrightarrow{w[i+1]}_{\mathcal{H}} C} \min \left\{ \Delta G(C') \mid C \xrightarrow{w[i+2..i+k]}_{\mathcal{H}}^* C', k \leq \delta, C' \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq \alpha} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

We say that the bead  $w[i+1]$  and the h-interactions it forms are *stabilized* according to  $C_{i+1}$ . Note that an arity- $\alpha$  OS cannot fold any conformation of arity larger than  $\alpha$ . The OS  $\Xi$  is *deterministic* if for all  $i \geq 0$ , there exists at most one  $C_{i+1}$  that satisfies (1).

### 3 Folding the $n$ -bit Heighway dragon

We propose a generic design of deterministic cyclic OS that allows us to fold an arbitrary finite portion  $P[j_1..j_2]$  of the slanted Heighway dragon. Independently of  $j_1, j_2$ , the design sets both delay and arity to 3 and employs 567 bead types with a fixed rule set  $\mathcal{H}$  (some of the bead types might be saved but not easily due to the NP-hardness of minimizing the number of bead types [2]).

One period is semantically divided into six parts (*modules*) as  $CF_vTCF_hT$ , where

- $C$  is called a *counter module*, which increments  $i$  by 1 and propagates it;

**Fig. 1.** The seed conformation for the 3-bit Highway dragon encoding the three bits 000 as the initial count.

- $F_v, F_h$  are called a *DFAO module*, which computes  $P[i]$  and interprets it properly as A(cute) or O(btuse);
- $T$  is called a *turning module*, which makes a turn according to A/O.

The first  $C$  and  $F_v$  modules fold into a vertical line segment, while the second  $C$  and  $F_h$  fold into a horizontal one. The DFAO modules  $F_v, F_h$  are almost identical but differ in their way to interpret their output L/R. The slanted dragon involves two types of left turn as well as two types of right turn: acute and obtuse. Observe that after (slanted) vertical line segments, it turns left obtusely and right acutely, whereas after horizontal ones, it turns left acutely and right obtusely. Moreover, it alternates vertical and horizontal segments. Therefore, it suffices for  $F_v$  and  $F_h$  to compute  $P[i] \in \{L, R\}$  in the same way and interpret it as  $A(\text{cute})/O(\text{btuse})$  in an opposite fashion as  $F_v$  converts  $L$  into  $O$  and  $R$  into  $A$ , while  $F_h$  converts  $L$  into  $A$  and  $R$  into  $O$ .

*Seed conformation* encodes the initial count  $j_1$ , whose binary representation is  $b_n b_{n-1} \cdots b_1$ , as

$$427 \rightarrow (426 \rightarrow 427 \rightarrow)^2 \bigcirc_{k=n}^1 (\mathbf{w}_{t,b_k} \rightarrow (426 \rightarrow 427 \rightarrow)^6) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{t,0} = 390 \rightarrow 391 \rightarrow 396 \rightarrow 397 \rightarrow 402 \rightarrow 403$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{t,1} = 398 \rightarrow 399 \rightarrow 400 \rightarrow 401 \rightarrow 402 \rightarrow 403$ .

*Counter module* is implemented essentially in the same manner as in [1]. It takes the current count  $i$  encoded in the format (2), which is fed either by the seed or by the previous turner module, and outputs  $i + 1$ , which is represented as  $a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1$  in binary, in the following format:

$$44 \rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 46 \rightarrow 75 \rightarrow 76 \rightarrow \bigcirc_{k=n}^1 (\mathbf{w}_{c,a_k} \rightarrow (69 \rightarrow 70 \rightarrow)^5 75 \rightarrow 76 \rightarrow) \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{c,0} = 51 \rightarrow 52 \rightarrow 57 \rightarrow 58 \rightarrow 63 \rightarrow 64$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{c,1} = 59 \rightarrow 60 \rightarrow 61 \rightarrow 62 \rightarrow 63 \rightarrow 64$ .

*DFAO module*

*Turner module*

## References

1. C. Geary, P.-É. Meunier, N. Schabanel, and S. Seki. Programming biomolecules that fold greedily during transcription. In *Proc. MFCS2016*, LIPIcs 58, pages 43:1–43:14, 2016.
2. Y-S. Han and H. Kim. Ruleset optimization on isomorphic oritadami systems. In *Proc. DNA23*, LNCS 10467, pages 33–45. Springer, 2017.

## A Transcript