SOLUTIONS TO CS 354 MIDTERM, SPRING 2013 (PARK)

P1(a) 12 pts

Disabling interrupts guarantees that a system call runs uninterrupted which ensures integrity of shared kernel data structures through mutual exclusion.

4 pts

create() has to update the kernel's process table. Without interrupt
disabling, the process table may get corrupted if two processes executing
create() try to access it concurrently.
4 pts

Interrupt disabling is a drastic action that can prevent other important events, such as handling packets arriving at a network interface card and clock interrupts, from being processed by the kernel in a timely manner. 4 pts

P1(b) 12 pts

Three hardware features: privileged/non-privileged instruction set, kernel/user mode, memory protection.
6 pts

System calls (i) change a process's status from user mode to kernel mode, (ii) branch to a specific kernel function to handle the user request in kernel space. The kernel function may include privileged instructions for accessing shared hardware resources which can now be executed without triggering a fault. When a system call returns the process is switched back to user mode.

6 pts

P1(c) 12 pts

Four pieces: the caller's frame pointer (ebp), flags register bits, 8 general purpose registers, stack pointer.
6 pts

The stack pointer of a context-switched out process needs to be easily accessible when context-switching in the process at a later time. The process table serves this purpose well.

3 pts

Accessing the process table entails several instructions for memory indirection. Using hardware supplied instructions (push/pop variety for stack manipulation) is more efficient.

3 pts

P2(a) 16 pts

Processes that make blocking system calls and give up CPU voluntarily are treated as IO-intensive and their priority is increased while their time slice is decreased. Processes that deplete their time slice and are preempted by the clock interrupt handler are treated as CPU-intensive processes and their priority is decreased and their time slice increased. 6 pts

The rationale is to give I/O-intensive processes preference over CPU-intensive processes when they are in ready state, since by their nature, they consume less CPU cycles. A small time slice is given to I/O-intensive processes, just in case a CPU-intensive process was misclassified.

6 pts

A potential issue is that for real-world processes that are a mix — exhibit both CPU— and I/O—intensive behavior over time — how they fare relative to CPU— and I/O—intensive processes is less clear.

2 pts

Solaris monitors how long a ready process has not received CPU cycles, and if this time period exceeds a threshold, its priority is increased. 2 pts

P2(b) 16 pts

Pro: Thread creation and management does not entail system calls which tend to be slow. $\bf 6~pts$

Cons: (i) User space threads cannot make blocking system calls (e.g., for I/O) since a single thread blocking ends up blocking all user space threads. (ii) Multiple CPUs (or cores) cannot be utilized. 8 pts

Due to (ii), multithreading with kernel support is more suited for multicore processors. 2 pts

P3(a) 16 pts

Xinu's default scheduler requires linear over head (i.e., 0(n) where n is the number of processes) since inserting a process into a priority queue, in the worst case, requires traversing the whole list. 6 pts

With k priority levels, where k is treated as a constant: (i) Dequeue requires finding the highest priority level at which there are one or more ready processes enqueued. At worst, this requires k comparisons. After finding the highest non-empty priority level, we can pick the first process (constant cost) since all processes at the same level are serviced round-robin. (ii) Enqueue requires going to a process's priority level (constant since multi-level feedback queue is an array of linked lists indexed by priority), which is constant, then inserting at the end of the list (also constant) by round-robin property.

P3(b) 16 pts

tset does not disable interrupts, thus important events such as packet arrivals can continue to be processed by a kernel's interrupt handling routines.
6 pts

tset wastes CPU cycles by waiting on tset to return false in an infinite loop (busy waiting). A process that uses semaphores does not busy wait but gets blocked (and context-switched out) by the kernel which avoids wasting CPU cycles.
6 pts

Yes, semaphore calls wait() and signal(), internally, must disable interrupts to ensure that their code is run atomically. Since the codes of wait() and signal() are relatively short, the disruption to kernel due to interrupt disabling is kept small.

4 pts

Bonus 10 pts

Without a kernel stack, two processes A and B that share memory where their run—time stacks reside can collude and run their own code in kernel mode. For example, A makes a blocking system call, say, read(). read() may entail internal kernel function calls which are managed (push/pop) using A's user space run—time stack. read() blocks and A is context switched out while in kernel mode. Process B is scheduled next, B has access to A's run—time stack, overwrites one of the return addresses (EIP) of read()'s callees so that it points to B's own code. When A

unblocks and is context switched in again, returning from read()'s nested function calls will cause a jump to B's code while A is still in kernel mode

