DRAFT: Clarity contract basics

Part 2

Phillip G. Bradford*

January 3, 2024

Abstract

Clarity is a lisp-like language that does not allow recursion or unbounded iteration. These notes explore the expressive power of Clarity smart contracts given these restrictions.

1 Basic Clarity

Clarity does not allow recursion or unbounded iteration. This restriction was made so that all Clarity contracts are decidable.

Kurt Gödel famously showed there are undecidable logical statements. He used logical statements from first-order logic that encode the integers.

Definition 1 (Decidable and undecidable) A first order logical expression E, capturing the integers, is *decidable* iff the validity of E can be mechanically proven.

A first order logical expression E is *undecidable* iff the validity of E cannot be mechanically proven.

Church's thesis is the hypothesis that all formal notions of computation are captured by Turing machines or modern computers. A programming language is *Turing complete* iff it captures all formal notions of computation. Many programming languages are Turing complete. For example, Lisp, Python, C++, Rust, Java, are all Turing complete.

Turing complete languages are very expressive. In fact, assuming the Church-Turing thesis, Turing complete languages are as expressive as possible in some sense.

^{*}phillip.bradford@uconn.edu, phillip.g.bradford@gmail.com, University of Connecticut, School of Computing, Stamford, CT USA

Definition 2 (Church's thesis) A Turing machine embodies all methods of computation.

Alonzo Church and Alan Turing showed there are problems that are uncomputable. Uncomputable problems cannot be solved by any Turing machine. That is, assuming the Church's thesis, these uncomputable problems cannot be solved by any computer.

This indicates all programs in Turing complete languages cannot be validated in the worst case. Thus, Turing complete smart contract languages must allow contracts that cannot be validated.

Intuitively, uncomputability is an algorithmic view of undecidability. Uncomputability has the same foundations as undecidability. Undecidable questions are often framed as logic statements or statements about integers. Of course, programs are logic statements and may even be viewed as integers. Though, we generally view programs differently. We often view programs with additional features of memory models, implementation details, and execution semantics.

Clarity does not allow recursion or unbounded iteration. Thus, Clarity cannot express uncomputable problems. Moreover, Clarity contracts are decidable.

2 The power of Clarity contracts

Create a new contract c2. In c2.clar put,

```
(define-public (cube (x uint)) (ok (* x x x)))
```

Running this contract

```
>> (contract-call? .c2 cube u3)
(ok u27)
```

Clarity strongly and statically typed. In particular, all types must be defined in advance. Next is an example of an *error* for passing an **int** where a **uint** is expected:

```
>> (contract-call? .c2 cube 3)
<stdin>:1:26: error : expecting expression of type 'uint',
   found 'int'
```

```
(contract-call? .c2 cube 3)
```

Also, Clarity does not allow the next two functions to be defined in the same contract,

```
(define-public (cube (x uint)) (ok (* x x x)))
(define-public (cube (x int)) (ok (* x x x)))
```

Clarity is *not recursive*. Clarity functions can call themselves. For example, (square u2)).

This is not general recursive since the number of recursive calls is fixed.

```
>> (fourth u2)
u16
```

Of course

$$(u2)^4 = u4 \cdot u4$$
$$= u16.$$

Two return types are: some and none. Sometimes functions do not return anything, hence none.

For example,

```
>> (unwrap-panic (some u10))
u10
```

Also,

3 Satisfiability

Consider a list of clauses in conjunctive normal form

$$B = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3 \lor \neg x_4) \land (\neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4 \lor \neg x_5) \land \cdots$$
 (1)

Given truth assignments for each x_i , then evaluating B is relatively easy. For example, an expression B with 10^6 or 1 million variables and a total of 10^9 or 1 billion symbols, can be evaluated by a basic modern computer in a few minutes.

However, in the worst-case, searching for truth/false assignments that make such an expression B true appears to requite 2^{10^6} Boolean assignments. For each assignment is followed by an evaluation.

Definition 3 (Satisfiability problem) Consider a Boolean expression as given in Equation 1, then finding truth/false assignments that make B true is the *satisfiability problem*.

The 3SAT problem is all satisfiability instances where each clause has at most three literals. A literal is a variable or the NOT of a variable.

It is easy to write a Clarity program to solve an instance of the 3SAT problem. However, in the worst-case, it appears to take an exponentially sized contract to solve such an instance of the 3SAT problem.

In VS Code in https://platform.hiro.so, open a terminal.

In VS Code or a native command-line. In the terminal go to the proj1/contracts directory.

```
windows > cd proj1\contracts\
```

Create a new contract c1.clar. Edit the c1.clar file and in the *public function* section, add the code in Listing 1.

Listing 1: Basic functions on Clarity

```
(define-data-var x1 int 0)
(define-data-var x2 int 0)
(define-data-var x3 int 0)
(define-data-var x4 int 0)
(define-data-var x5 int 0)
(define-data-var Nx1 int 1)
```

```
(define-data-var Nx2 int 1)
(define-data-var Nx3 int 1)
(define-data-var Nx4 int 1)
(define-data-var Nx5 int 1)
(define-public (eval-me)
        (if (is-eq (three-sat1 (flip (var-get x1)) (flip
           (var-get x2)) (var-get Nx3)) true)
                (ok "yes")
                (err "No")))
;;
(define-private (three-sat1 (x int) (y int) (z int))
        (and (is-eq x 1)
                 (is-eq y 1)
                 (is-eq z 1)))
(define-private (flip (x int))
        (if (is-eq x 1)
                1))
```

4 Notes

David Hilbert and Wilhelm Ackermann gave the Entscheidungsproblem. The Entscheidungsproblem is problem of determining if there are unsolvable problems. The Entscheidungsproblem was itself solved by Alonzo Church and Alan Turing. They showed there are problems that are unsolvable.

5 Exercises

1. A combinatorial logic expression can be expressed as a DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph). So, a combinatorial logic expression has no cycles or loops.

Prove that Clarity can only express combinatorial logic expressions.

2. This SAT expressions here are not evaluated with loops or recursion. So, these

3.

References

- [1] Marvin Janssen with contributions of lnow, Mike Cohen and Albert Catama: Clarity of Mind, https://book.clarity-lang.org/ 2023-04-11.
- [2] John H. Conway: Regular algebra and finite machines, Dover, 2012.
- [3] https://clarity-lang.org/ 2023-12-15.