

# Problem Set 3: Quantitative Economics (ECON 8185-002)

Teerat Wongrattanapiboon

30 November 2021

## Exogenous labor supply

Assume utility function, its first derivative, and the inverse of the first derivative are

$$\begin{aligned} U(c) &= \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \\ Uc(c) &= c^{-\gamma}, \\ Uc^{-1}(x) &= x^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Household's budget constraint and the skill process are

$$\begin{aligned} c_t + a_{t+1} &\leq e_i w + (1+r)a_t. \\ a_{t+1} &\geq \underline{a} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The skill process is

$$\log(e_{i,t}) = \rho \log(e_{i,t-1}) + \sigma \epsilon_{i,t}.$$

The production function is

$$Y_t = F(Kt, N_t) = AK_t^\theta N_t^{1-\theta}$$

It is useful to set  $A$  such that steady state  $Y = 1$ . We will use endogenous grid method to solve for stationary equilibrium for this economy. The algorithm is as follows:

- 1) Guess  $r$
- 2) Solve for  $w$  with

$$w(r) = (1-\theta) \left( \frac{r+\delta}{\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

- 3) Given a grid for  $(a, \epsilon)$ , we first guess  $c^j(a, \epsilon) = ra + w\epsilon$ .

- 4) Then, for all  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ , we substitute a guess for the consumption tomorrow,  $c^j(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ , in the Euler equation to solve for current consumption

$$\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) = U_c^{-1} \left[ \beta(1+r) \sum_{\epsilon'} P(\epsilon'|\epsilon_j) \cdot U_c [c^j(a'_k, \epsilon')] \right].$$

We then obtain current assets given consumption today defined on asset grid tomorrow as follows:

$$\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) = \frac{\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) + a'_k - w\epsilon_j}{1+r}.$$

- 5) Then we update  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) &= (1+r)a + w\epsilon \text{ for all } a \leq \bar{a}(a'_1, \epsilon) \\ c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) &= \text{Interpolate } [\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{c}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)] \text{ when } a \in [\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{a}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)] \end{aligned}$$

Note here that  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  are on the same grid as  $(a, \epsilon)$ . The first case is when the borrowing constraint is binding.

6) Repeat step 4 and 5 until  $c^j(a, \epsilon)$  converged. Observe that we no longer need a root finding procedure, but still need to interpolate the optimal policy on our defined grids in this EGM algorithm.

## Endogenous labor supply

We now incorporate endogenous labor supply in our utility function as follows:

$$U(c, l) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} - \phi \frac{l^{1+\eta}}{1+\eta}$$

$$Uc(c) = c^{-\gamma}$$

$$Uc^{-1}(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

$$Ul(l) = \phi l^\eta$$

$$Ul^{-1}(x) = (x/\phi)^{1/\eta}$$

where  $l$  represents labor. The budget constraint now becomes

$$c + a' \leq \epsilon w l + (1+r)a.$$

To implement endogenous grid method, as in the case of exogenous labor supply, we start by

1) Guess  $r$

2) Solve for  $w$  with

$$w(r) = A(1-\theta) \left( \frac{r+\delta}{A\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

3) Then we guess  $c^j(a, \epsilon) = ra + w\epsilon$  as before.

4) Then, for all  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ , we use  $c^j(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  to solve for

$$\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) = U_c^{-1} \left[ \beta(1+r) \sum_{\epsilon'} P(\epsilon'|\epsilon_j) \cdot U_c [c^j(a'_k, \epsilon')] \right]$$

5) Use  $\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  to solve for  $\bar{l}(a_k, \epsilon_j)$  from

$$\frac{u_l(l)}{u_c(\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j))} = \frac{\phi l^\eta}{\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)^{-\gamma}} = w\epsilon_j.$$

Note that above is an equation of only one unknown,  $l$ , given  $\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ .

6) Then, for all  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ , we use  $\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  and  $\bar{l}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  to solve for

$$\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) = \frac{\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) + a'_k - w\epsilon_j \bar{l}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)}{1+r}.$$

Note here that  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  are on the same grid as  $(a, \epsilon)$ .

7) Then we update  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  as follows:

$$c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) = (1+r)a + w\epsilon \bar{l}(a, \epsilon) \text{ for all } a \leq \bar{a}(a'_1, \epsilon)$$

$$c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) = \text{Interpolate} [\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{c}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)] \text{ when } a \in [\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{a}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)]$$

8) Check whether  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  and  $c^j(a, \epsilon)$  are close enough. If no, repeat step (4) down again with  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$ . If yes, use the updated  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  to back out

$$l(a_i, \epsilon_j) = Ul^{-1}(w \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot Uc(c^{j+1}(a_i, \epsilon_j)))$$

$$a'(a_i, \epsilon_j) = (1+r)a_i + w\epsilon_j l - c^{j+1}(a_i, \epsilon_j)$$

Then we solve for stationary distribution  $\lambda$ . With this, we then solve for equilibrium  $r$ .

- 1) Guess  $r_0$ .
- 2) Solve for policy functions  $a'(a, \epsilon)$ ,  $c(a, \epsilon)$  and  $l(a, \epsilon)$ .
- 3) Use  $a'(a, \epsilon)$  to compute  $\lambda(a, \epsilon)$ .
- 4) Compute aggregate supply of capital (savings)  $K_s(r_0) = \int a_i di$  and aggregate labor supply  $N(r_0) = \int \epsilon_i l_i di$ .
- 5) Compute  $r_s = A\theta \left( \frac{K_s(r_0)}{N(r_0)} \right)^{\theta-1} - \delta$ . This is an interest rate as implied by aggregate supply of capital.
- 6) Compare  $r_0$  and  $r_s$ . If they are close enough, then we solve for an equilibrium interest rate  $r = \frac{r_0 + r_s}{2}$ . Otherwise, set

$$r_0 = 0.8 \cdot r_0 + 0.2 \cdot r_s$$

and repeat from (1) through (6) until  $r$  converged.

## Add Government

We assume  $\tau = 0.4$ ,  $T/Y = 0.13$  and  $G/Y = 0.20$ , and the budget constraint now becomes

$$c + a' \leq (1 - \tau)\epsilon w l + T + (1 + (1 - \tau)r)a.$$

Note that we also have government budget constraint as follows:

$$G + T + rB = B' - B + \tau(wN + rA),$$

where  $N$  is the aggregate labor supply and  $A$  is the aggregate asset supply. For EGM, steps (1),(2),(3),(4) are the same (or change (3) as well) ???:

- 5) Use  $\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  to solve for  $\bar{l}(a_k, \epsilon_j)$  from

$$\frac{u_l(l)}{u_c(\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j))} = \frac{\phi l^\eta}{\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)^{-\gamma}} = (1 - \tau)w\epsilon_j.$$

- 6) Then, for all  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$ , we use  $\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  and  $\bar{l}(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  to solve for

$$\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) = \frac{\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) + a'_k - (1 - \tau)w\epsilon_j \bar{l}(a'_k, \epsilon_j) + T}{1 + (1 - \tau)r}.$$

Note here that  $(a'_k, \epsilon_j)$  are on the same grid as  $(a, \epsilon)$ .

- 7) Then we update  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) &= (1 - \tau)w\epsilon \bar{l}(a, \epsilon) + T + (1 + (1 - \tau)r)a \text{ for all } a \leq \bar{a}(a'_1, \epsilon) \\ c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon) &= \text{Interpolate } [\bar{c}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{c}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)] \text{ when } a \in [\bar{a}(a'_k, \epsilon), \bar{a}(a'_{k+1}, \epsilon)] \end{aligned}$$

- 8) Check whether  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  and  $c^j(a, \epsilon)$  are close enough. If no, repeat step (4) down again with  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$ . If yes, use the updated  $c^{j+1}(a, \epsilon)$  to back out

$$\begin{aligned} l(a_i, \epsilon_j) &= U l^{-1}((1 - \tau) \cdot w \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot U c(c^{j+1}(a_i, \epsilon_j))) \\ a'(a_i, \epsilon_j) &= (1 - \tau)w\epsilon_j l + T + (1 + (1 - \tau)r)a_i - c^{j+1}(a_i, \epsilon_j) \end{aligned}$$