

Compiler Optimization

Compiler optimizations transform code

- ❑ Code optimization transforms code to equivalent code
 - ... but with better performance

- ❑ The code transformation can involve either
 - **Replacing** code with more efficient code
 - **Deleting** redundant code
 - **Moving** code to a position where it is more efficient
 - **Inserting** new code to improve performance

The four categories of code transformations

- Replacing code (e.g. **strength reduction**)

$A = 2 * a;$ \equiv $A = a \ll 1;$

- Deleting code (e.g. **dead code elimination**)

$A = 2; A = y;$ \equiv $A = y;$

- Moving code (e.g. **loop invariant code motion**)

$\text{for } (i = 0; i < 100; i++) \{ \text{sum} += i + x * y; \}$

\equiv

$t = x * y;$

$\text{for } (i = 0; i < 100; i++) \{ \text{sum} += i + t; \}$

- Inserting code (e.g. **data prefetching**)

$\text{for } (p = \text{head}; p \neq \text{NULL}; p = p \rightarrow \text{next})$
 $\{ /* \text{do work on node } p */ \}$

\equiv

$\text{for } (p = \text{head}; p \neq \text{NULL}; p = p \rightarrow \text{next})$
 $\{ \text{prefetch}(p \rightarrow \text{next}); /* \text{do work on node } p */ \}$

Compiler optimization categories according to range

- ❑ How much code does the compiler view while optimizing?
 - The wider the view, the more powerful the optimization

- ❑ Axis 1: optimize across control flow?
 - **Local optimization**: optimizes only within straight line code
 - **Global optimization**: optimizes across control flow (if,for,...)

- ❑ Axis 2: optimize across function calls?
 - **Intra-procedural optimization**: only within function
 - **Inter-procedural optimization**: across function calls

- ❑ The two axes are orthogonal (any combination is possible)

Local vs. Global Constant Propagation

Constant propagation

- Optimization: if $x = y \text{ op } z$ and y and z are constants then compute at compile time and replace


Local Constant Propagation

```
X = 3;
X = X+1;
A = X*2;
```




```
X = 3;
X = X+1;
A = 8;
```

Global Constant Propagation




Intra- vs. Inter-procedural Constant Propagation

□ Intra-procedural Constant Propagation



□ Inter-procedural Constant Propagation



➤ Assuming all other calls to foo always pass in constant 3

Control Flow Analysis

Basic Block


- ❑ A function body is composed of one or more **basic blocks**.
- ❑ **Basic block**: a maximal sequence of instructions that
 - Has no jumps into the block other than the first instruction
 - Has no jumps out of the block other than the last instruction
- ❑ That means:
 - No instruction other than the first is a jump target
 - No instruction other than the last is a jump or branch
- ❑ Either all instructions in basic block execute or none
 - Smallest unit of execution in control flow analysis
 - Hence the descriptor "basic" in the name

Control Flow Graph

- ❑ A **Control Flow Graph (CFG)** is a directed graph in which
 - Nodes are basic blocks
 - Edges represent flows of execution between basic blocks
- ❑ CFGs are widely used to represent a program for analysis
- ❑ CFGs are especially essential for global optimizations

Control Flow Graph Example

```
L1; t = 2 * x;  
    w = t + y;  
    if (w<0) goto L3  
L2: ...  
    ...  
L3: w = -w  
    ...
```




Construction of CFG

- ❑ Step 1: partition code into basic blocks
 - Identify **leader** instructions, where a leader is either:
 - the first instruction of a program, or
 - the target of any jump/branch, or
 - an instruction immediately following a jump/branch
 - Create a basic block out of each leader instruction
 - Expand basic block by adding subsequent instructions (Stopping when the next leader instruction is encountered)

- ❑ Step 2: add edge between two basic blocks B1 and B2 if
 - there exist a jump/branch from B1 to B2, or
 - B2 follows B1, and B1 does not end with unconditional jump


Example

```
01.    A=4
02.    T1=A*B
03. L1: T2=T1/C
04.    if (T2<W) goto L2
05.    M=T1*K
06.    T3=M+1
07. L2: H=I
08.    M=T3-H
09.    if (T3>0) goto L3
10.    goto L1
11. L3: halt
```



Example

```
01.    A=4
02.    T1=A*B
03. L1: T2=T1/C
04:    if (T2<W) goto L2
05:    M=T1*K
06:    T3=M+1
07: L2: H=I
08:    M=T3-H
09:    if (T3>0) goto L3
10:    goto L1
11: L3: halt
```




Data Flow Analysis

Global Optimizations

Extends optimizations across control flows, i.e. CFG


Like in this example Global Constant Propagation (GCP):



How do we know it is OK to globally propagate constants?

Correctness criteria for GCP

- There are situations that prohibit GCP:



- To replace `X` by a constant `C` **correctly**, we must know
 - **Along all paths**, the last assignment to `X` is "`X = C`"
- Paths may go through loops and/or branches
 - When two paths **meet**, need to make **conservative** choice

Global Optimizations need to be Conservative

- ❑ Many compiler optimizations depend on knowing some property X at a particular point in program execution
 - Need to prove at that point property X holds along all paths

- ❑ To ensure correctness, optimization must be **conservative**
 - An optimization is enabled only when X is definitely true
 - If not sure, be conservative and say **don't know**
 - **Don't know** typically disables the optimization

Dataflow Analysis Framework

❏ **Dataflow analysis:** discovering properties about values at each statement of the program

- E.g. discovering a value is constant before a statement
- Done by observing the flow of data through the CFG

❏ **Dataflow analysis framework:**

- A framework for implementing various dataflow analyses
- 4 parameters defining analysis is passed into framework:

$\{ \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{V}, \wedge: (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{F}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \}$

- **D:** direction of dataflow (forward or backward)
- **V:** domain of values denoting property
- \wedge : **meet operator** that merges values when paths meet
- **F:** **flow propagation function** that propagates values through a basic block

Global Constant Propagation


Global Constant Propagation (GCP)

- ❑ Let's use **GCP** to study dataflow analysis framework


- ❑ We will define each component one by one for GCP
 - **D**: direction of dataflow for constant property
 - **V**: domain of values denoting constant property
 - \wedge : **meet operator** that merges values when paths meet
 - **F**: **flow propagation function** for GCP

Direction D for GCP

Is GCP a forward or backward analysis?



Forward Analysis



Backward Analysis

Forward, since "constantness" of a variable flows forward to subsequent instructions starting from assignment

V and meet operator \wedge for GCP

- Given an integer variable x , domain V is the set:


$x=\#$ /* not defined yet */

..., $x=-1$, $x=0$, $x=1$, ... /* a constant */

$x=*$ /* not a constant */

- Meet operator \wedge is given by this **semi-lattice**:


➤ $a \wedge b$ = greatest lower bound (glb) in the below graph



- $x=\#$ is called the **top** value denoted as \top
- $x=*$ is called the **bottom** value denoted as \perp

Properties of the Semi-lattice

Some results of meets \wedge given by this **semi-lattice**:




- $x=\# \wedge x=1 \equiv \text{glb}(x=\#, x=1) \equiv x=1$
 - Meet of undefined value and a constant $\rightarrow x$ is that constant
- $x=0 \wedge x=1 \equiv \text{glb}(x=0, x=1) \equiv x=*$
 - Meet on different constants $\rightarrow x$ is no longer constant
- $x=* \wedge x=1 \equiv \text{glb}(x=*, x=1) \equiv x=*$
 - Meet of not a constant and a constant $\rightarrow x$ is not constant

Greatest lower bound finds the maximal conservative value

Dataflow Equations for GCP

- There are two types of flow functions



- Flow transfer function $F: V \rightarrow V$
 - Computes data flow within basic blocks
 - Remove those that become variables, add new constants
- Meet operator $\wedge: (V, V) \rightarrow V$
 - Computes data flow across basic blocks
 - Merge values from two paths using the previous semi-lattice

Flow Transfer Function F for GCP

□ **X(i):** dataflow property X of basic block i

➤ **X_{in}(i):** at the entry of basic block i

➤ **X_{out}(i):** at the exit of basic block i


□ F for Global constant propagation (GCP)

$$\mathbf{GCP}_{out}(i) = (\mathbf{GCP}_{in}(i) - \mathbf{DEF}_v(i)) \cup \mathbf{DEF}_c(i)$$


where $\mathbf{DEF}_v(i)$ contains variable definitions in basic block i

$\mathbf{DEF}_c(i)$ contains constant definitions in basic block i


GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops



GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation without loops




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution




GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution



GCP Propagation with loops

- Iterate until there are no changes to values
 - This is called the **maximum fixed point** solution



Analysis Algorithm for GCP

□ GCP Algorithm

- (1). Set $\{x=\#\}$ at all the points in the procedure
- (2). Propagate the dataflow property along the control flow
- (3). Repeat step (2) until there are no changes

□ Will GCP eventually stop?

- If there are loops, we may propagate the loop many times
- Is there a possibility to run into an endless loop?

Termination Guarantee


- ❑ **Greatest lower bound** ensures termination
 - Values start from $\#$, the top \top value
 - Values can only get reduced in the semi-lattice
 - Values can change at most twice when it hits the bottom \perp
... from $\#$ to C , and from C to $*$

- ❑ Complexity = $O(\text{numer_of_statements} \times \text{lattice_height})$
 - There are `numer_of_statements` values in dataflow analysis
 - Each value can change `lattice_height` times

Liveness Analysis


Another Analysis: Liveness Analysis

■ After GCP, we would like to eliminate the dead code




Another Analysis: Liveness Analysis

After GCP, we would like to eliminate the dead code



Another Analysis: Liveness Analysis


After GCP, we would like to eliminate the dead code



Live/Dead Statement

- ❑ A **dead statement** assigns a value that is not used later
- ❑ Otherwise, it is a **live statement**

In the example,
the 1st statement is dead,
the 2nd statement is live




- Assuming inter-procedural analysis says $f(\dots)$ is internally free of assignments used later (e.g. global variables).

Global Liveness Analysis (GLA)


- ❑ Again, let's use the dataflow analysis framework
- ❑ Here are the 4 components of the framework
 - **D**: direction of dataflow for liveness property
 - **V**: domain of values denoting liveness property
 - \wedge : **meet operator** that merges values when paths meet
 - **F**: **flow propagation function** for liveness
- ❑ This time, liveness property is the set of live variables
 - $\{\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \dots$
- ❑ Meet operator works differently from GCP
 - Meet operator for GCP is an intersection:
x is a constant only if x is same constant along both paths
 - Meet operator for Liveness Analysis is a union:
x is live if x is live along at least one path

Direction D for GLA

Is Liveness a forward or backward analysis?



Forward Analysis




Backward Analysis

Backward, since liveness of a variable flows backward to preceding definitions starting from use

V and meet operator \wedge for GLA


- Given variables a, b, c , domain V is the set:
 - { } /* no variables are live */
 - {a}, {b}, {c} /* one variable is live */
 - {a,b}, {a,c}, {b,c} /* two variables are live */
 - {a,b,c} /* all variables are live */
- Meet operator \wedge is given by this **semi-lattice**:



- $\{a\} \wedge \{b\} = \text{glb}(\{a\}, \{b\}) = \{a,b\}$
- $\{b\} \wedge \{a,c\} = \text{glb}(\{b\}, \{a,c\}) = \{a,b,c\}$

Dataflow Equations for GLA

- There are two types of flow functions



- Flow transfer function $F: V \rightarrow V$
 - Now F computes P_{in} from P_{out} since it is backward analysis
 - Remove variable definitions, add variable uses to live set
- Meet operator $\wedge: (V, V) \rightarrow V$
 - Merge values from two paths using the previous semi-lattice
 - $LIVE_{out}(i) = \bigcup LIVE_{in}(k)$ where k is successor of i

Flow Transfer Function F for GLA

□ **X(i)**: dataflow property X of basic block i

➤ **X_{in}(i)**: at the entry of basic block i


➤ **X_{out}(i)**: at the exit of basic block i

□ F for Global Liveness Analysis (GLA)


$$\mathbf{LIVE}_{in}(i) = (\mathbf{LIVE}_{out}(i) - \mathbf{DEF}(i)) \cup \mathbf{USE}(i)$$

where DEF(i) is the set of defined variables in basic block i
USE(i) is the set of used variables in basic block i


Liveness Example




Liveness Example



Liveness Example




Liveness Example




Two sets:
 $DEF=\{a\}$
 $LV_{out}(B3)=\{a,b\}$ $USE=\{d\}$


Liveness Example



Liveness Example



Liveness Example




Applications of Global Liveness Analysis

- ❑ Global Dead Code Elimination is based on GLA
 - A statement $x = \dots$ is dead code if x not used
 - Dead statements can be deleted from the program

- ❑ Global register allocation is also based on GLA
 - Ideally, all Live variables should be placed in registers
 - If live variables at any point overflow CPU registers, some variables have to be stored in stack memory
 - This is called **register spilling**.


Register Allocation: Compute Register Interference

- At each point P, compute live variables and interference



Register Allocation: Register Interference Graph


- Construct **Register Interference Graph (RIG)** such that
 - Nodes represent variables
 - Edges between variables represent interference



- Two variables can be allocated in same register if no edge
- Otherwise, they cannot be allocated in the same register

Register Allocation: Allocation using Graph Coloring

- Each color represents a CPU register
 - There are 4 colors in the coloring result
 - No register spilling occurs with 4 or more CPU registers



Summary of Dataflow Analysis

- ❑ A dataflow analysis framework is defined as:
 $\{ \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{V}, \wedge: (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{F}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \}$
 - **D**: direction of dataflow
 - **V**: domain of values denoting property
 - \wedge : **meet operator** that merges values when paths meet
 - **F**: **flow propagation function** within a basic block

- ❑ Other analyses can be expressed using this framework:
 - Reaching Definitions for Loop Invariant Code Motion (LICM)
 - Available Expressions for
Common Subexpression Elimination (CSE)
 - Partial Redundancy Elimination (PRE)

- ❑ Please refer to the textbook on how these are formulated.

The END !