

[ECDL3-Unit3] Series RLC Band-pass Filter and Parallel RLC Band-rejection Filter

Sewon Kim


Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Seoul

2024.03.21

Contents


- Series RLC Band-pass Filter
 - Series RLC circuit
 - Frequency response of admittance I/V_s ($RL = 1T \text{ Ohm}$)
 - Frequency response of voltage gain V_0/V_s ($RL = 1T \text{ Ohm}$)
 - Frequency response of voltage gain V_0/V_s ($RL = 1T \text{ Ohm or } 50 \text{ Ohm}$)
 - Analysis table
- Parallel RLC Band-rejection Filter
 - Parallel RLC circuit
 - Frequency response of impedance V_s/I ($RL = 0$)
 - Frequency response of voltage gain V_0/V_s ($RL = 50 \text{ Ohm or } 2K \text{ Ohm}$)
 - Analysis table

Series RLC Band-pass Filter (a)




- $Q = 0.4$, $f_0 = 5000/\pi (= 1591.55)$ Hz ($R_L = \infty$)
- $Q = \frac{1}{R} \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}}$, $\omega_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}} \rightarrow R = 250\Omega$, $C = 1\mu\text{F}$ (series RLC circuit)

Series RLC Band-pass Filter (b)




- $20 \log(10^{-47.96/20}/\sqrt{2}) = -50.97 \text{ dB}$

Series RLC Band-pass Filter (c)



- I/V_s and V_o/V_s have the same resonance frequency and the same cutoff frequencies.
- I/V_s and V_o/V_s have consistent phase shifts with frequency.
- $20 \log(1/\sqrt{2}) = -3.01$ dB

Series RLC Band-pass Filter (d)



- As the load resistance R_L increases, the bandwidth increases.

Series RLC Band-pass Filter (e)


RL [Ω]	50		INF (1T)	
Cutoff frequency [Hz]	1294.2	1958.84	558.47	4539.42
Bandwidth [Hz]	664.64		3980.95	
Central frequency [Hz]	1592.21		1592.21	
Quality factor	2.40		0.40	

- As the load resistance R_L increases, the quality factor decreases.

$$R_{eq} = \frac{R \cdot R_L}{R + R_L} = R - \frac{R^2}{R + R_L}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{R_{eq}} \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \quad (\text{series RLC circuit})$$


- The simulated resonant frequency (1592.21 Hz) matches the theoretical resonant frequency (1591.55 Hz) within 0.04%.
- That simulated quality factor (0.4) matches correctly with the theoretical quality factor (0.4) when $R_L = \text{INF}(1\text{T}\Omega\text{m})$.
- $Q := \frac{(\text{Central frequency})}{(\text{Bandwidth})} = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{LO}\omega_{HI}}}{\omega_{HI} - \omega_{LO}}$

Parallel RLC Band-rejection Filter (a)





- Quality factor $Q = R\sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} = 2.5$ (Parallel RLC circuit)
- Resonance frequency $f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}} = 1591.55 \text{ Hz}$ ($R_L = 0$)

Parallel RLC Band-rejection Filter (b)



- The simulated resonant frequency (1592.21 Hz) matches the theoretical resonant frequency (1591.55 Hz) within 0.04% when $RL = 0$
- That simulated quality factor (2.51) matches correctly with the theoretical quality factor (2.5) when $RL = 0$.

Series RLC Band-rejection Filter (c)



- As the load resistance R_L increases, the bandwidth decreases and the minimum value increases.
- V_s/I and V_o/V_s have the same resonance frequency regardless of R_L and different phase shifts with frequency.
- $1 - (1 - 0.17)/\sqrt{2} = 0.41$, $1 - (1 - 0.89)/\sqrt{2} = 0.92$

Parallel RLC Band-rejection Filter (d)

RL [Ω]	50		2000	
Cutoff frequency [Hz]	783.43	3235.94	1380.38	1836.54
Bandwidth [Hz]	2452.51		456.16	
Central frequency [Hz]	1592.21		1592.21	
Quality factor	0.65		3.49	

- As the load resistance R_L increases, the quality factor increases.
- The simulated resonant frequency (1592.21 Hz) matches the theoretical resonant frequency (1591.55 Hz) within 0.04% regardless of R_L