Summary

In today's blog posting you have seen the difference between the ROWS and RANGE option when you define your window frame for analytic calculations. With the ROWS option you define on a physical level how many rows are included in your window frame. With the RANGE option how many rows are included in the window frame depends on the ORDER BY values. There are also huge differences regarding the performance when you use the RANGE option. I will talk about these side-effects in a subsequent blog posting.

	OrderYear	OrderMonth	TotalDue	RunningTotal
1	2005	8	23130,2957	23130,2957
2	2005	9	2297,0332	25427,3289
3	2005	11	4723,1073	32567,9155
4	2005	11	2417,4793	32567,9155

Let's try to understand why the **RANGE** option gives you here a different result than the **ROWS** option.

With the **ROWS** option you define a fixed number of rows preceding and following the current row.

Which rows you see here through your window frame depends on the **ORDER BY** clause of the window frame. You can also say that you define your window frame on a physical level.

Things change when you use the RANGE option.

The RANGE option includes all the rows within the window frame that have the same ORDER BY values as the current row. As you can see from the previous picture, for the 2 records of November 2005 you get the same sum, because both rows have the same ORDER BY values (November 2005). With the RANGE option you define your window frame on a logical level. If more rows have the same ORDER BY value, your window frame consists of more rows than when you use the ROWS option.