



Modern Systems Analysis and Design

Seventh Edition

Jeffrey A. Hoffer
Joey F. George
Joseph S. Valacich

Chapter 6 Determining System Requirements



Learning Objectives

- ✓ Describe options for designing and conducting interviews and develop a plan for conducting an interview to determine system requirements.
- ✓ Explain the advantages and pitfalls of observing workers and analyzing business documents to determine system requirements.
- ✓ Explain how computing can provide support for requirements determination.
- ✓ Participate in and help plan a Joint Application Design session.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

2



Learning Objectives (Cont.)

- ✓ Use prototyping during requirements determination.
- ✓ Describe contemporary approaches to requirements determination.
- ✓ Understand how requirements determination techniques apply to the development of electronic commerce applications.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

3



Performing Requirements Determination




FIGURE 6-1
Systems development life cycle with
analysis phase highlighted



The Process of Determining Requirements

- Good Systems Analyst Characteristics:
 - Impertinence—question everything
 - Impartiality—consider all issues to find the best organizational solution
 - Relax constraints—assume anything is possible
 - Attention to details—every fact must fit
 - Reframing—challenge yourself to new ways



Deliverables and Outcomes

- Deliverables for Requirements Determination:
 - From interviews and observations
 - interview transcripts, observation notes, meeting minutes
 - From existing written documents
 - mission and strategy statements, business forms, procedure manuals, job descriptions, training manuals, system documentation, flowcharts
 - From computerized sources
 - Joint Application Design session results, CASE repositories, reports from existing systems, displays and reports from system prototype



Traditional Methods for Determining Requirements

- Interviewing individuals
- Interviewing groups
- Observing workers
- Studying business documents

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

7



Interviewing and Listening

- One of the primary ways analysts gather information about an information systems project
- An **interview guide** is a document for developing, planning and conducting an interview.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

8



Guidelines for Effective Interviewing

- Plan the interview.
 - Prepare interviewee: appointment, priming questions.
 - Prepare agenda, checklist, questions.
- Listen carefully and take notes (tape record if permitted).
- Review notes within 48 hours.
- Be neutral.
- Seek diverse views.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

9



Interviewing and Listening (Cont.)

Interview Outline	
Interviewee: Name of person being interviewed	Interviewer: Name of person leading interview
Location/Medium: Office, conference room, or phone number	Appointment Date: Start Time: End Time:
Objectives: What data to collect On what to gain agreement What areas to explore	Reminders: Background/experience of interviewee Known opinions of interviewee
Agenda: Introduction Background on Project Overview of Interview Topics to Be Covered Permission to Record Topic 1 Questions Topic 2 Questions ... Summary of Major Points Questions from Interviewee Closing	Approximate Time: 1 minute 2 minutes 1 minute 5 minutes 7 minutes ... 2 minutes 5 minutes 1 minute

FIGURE 6-2 Typical interview guide

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

10



Interviewing and Listening (Cont.)

General Observations: <i>Interviewee seemed busy; probably need to call in a few days for follow-up questions because he gave very short answers. PC was turned off; probably not a regular PC user.</i>	
Unresolved Issues, Topics Not Covered: <i>He needs to look up sales figures from 1999. He raised the issue of how to handle returned goods, but we did not have time to discuss.</i>	
Interviewee:	Date:
Questions:	Notes:
When to ask question, if conditional Question: 1 <i>Have you used the current sales tracking system? If so, how often?</i>	Answer <i>Yes, I call for a report on my product line weekly.</i> Observations <i>Salesperson may be overestimating usage frequency.</i>
If yes, go to Question 2	
Question: 2 <i>What do you like least about the system?</i>	Answer <i>Sales are shown in units, not dollars.</i> Observations <i>System can show sales in dollars, but user does not know this.</i>

FIGURE 6-2 Typical interview guide (cont.)

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

11



Choosing Interview Questions

- Each question in an interview guide can include both verbal and non-verbal information.
 - **Open-ended questions:** questions that have no prespecified answers
 - **Closed-ended questions:** questions that ask those responding to choose from among a set of specified responses

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

12



Interviewing Guidelines

- Don't phrase a question in a way that implies a right or wrong answer.
- Listen very carefully.
- Type interview notes within 48 hours after the interview.
- Don't set expectations about the new system unless you know these will be deliverables.
- Seek a variety of perspectives from the interviews.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

13



Interviewing Groups

- Drawbacks to individual interviews:
 - Contradictions and inconsistencies between interviewees
 - Follow-up discussions are time consuming
 - New interviews may reveal new questions that require additional interviews with those interviewed earlier

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

14



Interviewing Groups (Cont.)

- Interviewing several key people together
 - Advantages
 - More effective use of time
 - Can hear agreements and disagreements at once
 - Opportunity for synergies
 - Disadvantages
 - More difficult to schedule than individual interviews

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

15



Nominal Group Technique (NGT)

- A facilitated process that supports idea generation by groups
- Process
 - Members come together as a group, but initially work separately.
 - Each person writes ideas.
 - Facilitator reads ideas out loud, and they are written on a blackboard or flipchart.
 - Group openly discusses the ideas for clarification.
 - Ideas are prioritized, combined, selected, reduced.
- Used to complement group meetings or as part of JAD effort

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

16



Directly Observing Users

- **Direct Observation**
 - Watching users do their jobs
 - Used to obtain more firsthand and objective measures of employee interaction with information systems
 - Can cause people to change their normal operating behavior
 - Time-consuming and limited time to observe

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

17



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents

- **Document Analysis**
 - Review of existing business documents
 - Can give a historical and “formal” view of system requirements

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

18



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- Types of information to be discovered:
 - Problems with existing system
 - Opportunity to meet new need
 - Organizational direction
 - Names of key individuals
 - Values of organization
 - Special information processing circumstances
 - Reasons for current system design
 - Rules for processing data

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

19



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- **Useful document: Written work procedure**
 - For an individual or work group
 - Describes how a particular job or task is performed
 - Includes data and information used and created in the process

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

20



Analyzing Procedures (Cont.)

<p style="text-align: center;">GUIDE FOR PREPARATION OF INVENTION DISCLOSURE (See FACULTY and STAFF MANUALS for Detailed Patent Policy and Routing Procedures.)</p> <p>(1) DISCLOSE ONLY ONE INVENTION PER FORM.</p> <p>(2) PREPARE COMPLETE DISCLOSURE.</p> <p>The disclosure of your invention is adequate for patent purposes ONLY if it enables a person skilled in the art to understand the invention.</p> <p>(3) CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING IN PREPARING A COMPLETE DISCLOSURE:</p> <p>(a) All essential elements of the invention, their relationship to one another, and their mode of operation.</p> <p>(b) Equivalents that can be substituted for any elements.</p> <p>(c) List of features believed to be new.</p> <p>(d) Advantages this invention has over the prior art.</p> <p>(e) Whether the invention has been built and/or tested.</p>

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

21



Analyzing Procedures (Cont.)

(4) PROVIDE APPROPRIATE ADDITIONAL MATERIAL.

Drawings and descriptive material should be provided as needed to clarify the disclosure. Each page of this material must be signed and dated by each inventor and properly witnessed. A copy of any current and/or planned publication relating to the invention should be included.

(5) INDICATE PRIOR KNOWLEDGE AND INFORMATION.

Pertinent publications, patents or previous devices, and related research or engineering activities should be identified.

(6) HAVE DISCLOSURE WITNESSED.

Persons other than coinventors should serve as witnesses and should sign each sheet of the disclosure only after reading and understanding the disclosure.

(7) FORWARD ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY (two copies if supported by grant/contract) TO VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH VIA DEPARTMENT HEAD AND DEAN.

FIGURE 6-3 Example of a procedure (cont.)



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)


■ Potential Problems with Procedure Documents:

- ☐ May involve duplication of effort
- ☐ May have missing procedures
- ☐ May be out of date
- ☐ May contradict information obtained through interviews




Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- **Formal Systems:** the official way a system works as described in organizational documentation (i.e. work procedure)
- **Informal Systems:** the way a system actually works (i.e. interviews, observations)




- ❑ Used for all types of business functions
- ❑ Explicitly indicates what data flow in and out of a system and data necessary for the system to function
- ❑ Gives crucial information about the nature of the organization

25

[illegible][illegible]

26



- Primary output of current system
- Enables you to work backwards from the report to the data needed to generate it

Useful document: Description of current information system

27

[illegible]



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

TABLE 6-4 Comparison of Observation and Document Analysis

Characteristic	Observation	Document Analysis
Information Richness	High (many channels)	Low (passive) and old
Time Required	Can be extensive	Low to moderate
Expense	Can be high	Low to moderate
Chance for Follow-Up and Probing	Good: probing and clarification questions can be asked during or after observation	Limited: probing possible only if original author is available
Confidentiality	Observer is known to interviewee; interviewee may change behavior when observed	Depends on nature of document; does not change simply by being read
Involvement of Subject	Interviewees may or may not be involved and committed depending on whether they know if they are being observed	None, no clear commitment
Potential Audience	Limited numbers and limited time (snapshot) of each	Potentially biased by which documents were kept or because document was not created for this purpose

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

28



Contemporary Methods for Determining System Requirements

■ Joint Application Design (JAD)

- ☐ Brings together key users, managers, and systems analysts
- ☐ Purpose: collect system requirements simultaneously from key people
- ☐ Conducted off-site

■ Group Support Systems

- ☐ Facilitate sharing of ideas and voicing of opinions about system requirements

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

29



Contemporary Methods for Determining System Requirements (Cont.)

■ CASE tools

- ☐ Used to analyze existing systems
- ☐ Help discover requirements to meet changing business conditions

■ System prototypes

- ☐ Iterative development process
- ☐ Rudimentary working version of system is built
- ☐ Refine understanding of system requirements in concrete terms

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

30



Joint Application Design (JAD)

- Intensive group-oriented requirements determination technique
- Team members meet in isolation for an extended period of time
- Highly focused
- Resource intensive
- Started by IBM in 1970s



JAD (Cont.)




FIGURE 6-6 Illustration of the typical room layout for a JAD
Source: Based on Wood and Silver, 1995.



JAD (Cont.)

- JAD Participants:
 - **Session Leader:** facilitates group process
 - **Users:** active, speaking participants
 - **Managers:** active, speaking participants
 - **Sponsor:** high-level champion, limited participation
 - **Systems Analysts:** should mostly listen
 - **Scribe:** record session activities
 - **IS Staff:** should mostly listen



JAD (Cont.)

- End Result
 - Documentation detailing existing system
 - Features of proposed system

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

34



CASE Tools During JAD

- Upper CASE tools are used
- Enables analysts to enter system models directly into CASE during the JAD session
- Screen designs and prototyping can be done during JAD and shown to users

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

35



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination

- Quickly converts requirements to working version of system
- Once the user sees requirements converted to system, will ask for modifications or will generate additional requests

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

36



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)




Figure 6-7
The prototyping methodology
(Source: Based on
"Prototyping: The New
Paradigm for Systems
Development," by
J. D. Naumann and A.
M. Jenkins, *MIS
Quarterly* 6(3): 29-44.)



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)

- Most useful when:
 - ☐ User requests are not clear.
 - ☐ Few users are involved in the system.
 - ☐ Designs are complex and require concrete form.
 - ☐ There is a history of communication problems between analysts and users.
 - ☐ Tools are readily available to build prototype.



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)

- Drawbacks
 - ☐ Tendency to avoid formal documentation
 - ☐ Difficult to adapt to more general user audience
 - ☐ Sharing data with other systems is often not considered
 - ☐ Systems Development Life Cycle (SDLC) checks are often bypassed



Radical Methods for Determining System Requirements

- **Business Process Reengineering (BPR):** search for and implementation of radical change in business processes to achieve breakthrough improvements in products and services

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

40



Radical Methods for Determining System Requirements (Cont.)

- **Goals**
 - Reorganize complete flow of data in major sections of an organization.
 - Eliminate unnecessary steps.
 - Combine steps.
 - Become more responsive to future change.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

41



Identifying Processes to Reengineer

- **Key business processes**
 - Structured, measured set of activities designed to produce specific output for a particular customer or market
 - Focused on customers and outcome
 - Same techniques as requirements determination are used

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

42



Disruptive Technologies

- Information technologies must be applied to radically improve business processes.
- **Disruptive technologies** are technologies that enable the breaking of long-held business rules that inhibit organizations from making radical business changes.



Disruptive Technologies (Cont.)

TABLE 6-6 Long-Held Organizational Rules That Are Being Eliminated through Disruptive Technologies

Rule	Disruptive Technology
Information can appear in only one place at a time.	Distributed databases allow the sharing of information.
Businesses must choose between centralization and decentralization.	Advanced telecommunications networks can support dynamic organizational structures.
Managers must make all decisions.	Decision-support tools can aid nonmanagers.
Field personnel need offices where they can receive, store, retrieve, and transmit information.	Wireless data communication and portable computers provide a "virtual" office for workers.
The best contact with a potential buyer is personal contact.	Interactive communication technologies allow complex messaging capabilities.
You have to find out where things are.	Automatic identification and tracking technology knows where things are.
Plans get revised periodically.	High-performance computing can provide real-time updating.



Requirements Determination using Agile Methodologies

- **Continual user involvement**
 - Replace traditional SDLC waterfall with iterative analyze–design–code–test cycle
- **Agile usage-centered design**
 - Focuses on user goals, roles, and tasks
- **The Planning Game**
 - Based on eXtreme programming
 - Exploration, steering, commitment



Continual User Involvement




FIGURE 6-9
The iterative analysis–design–code–test cycle



Agile Usage-Centered Design Steps

- Gather group of programmers, analysts, users, testers, facilitator.
- Document complaints of current system.
- Determine important user roles.
- Determine, prioritize, and describe tasks for each user role.
- Group similar tasks into interaction contexts.
- Associate each interaction context with a user interface for the system, and prototype the interaction context.
- Step through and modify the prototype.



The Planning Game from eXtreme Programming




FIGURE 6-10
eXtreme Programming's Planning Game



Electronic Commerce Applications: Determining System Requirements

- Determining system requirements for Pine Valley furniture's WebStore
 - System layout and navigation characteristics
 - WebStore and site management system capabilities
 - Customer and inventory information
 - System prototype evolution

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

49



Summary

- In this chapter you learned how to:
 - ✓ Describe interviewing options and develop interview plan.
 - ✓ Explain advantages and pitfalls of worker observation and document analysis.
 - ✓ Explain how computing can support requirements determination.
 - ✓ Participate in and help plan Joint Application Design sessions.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

50



Summary (Cont.)

- ✓ Use prototyping during requirements determination.
- ✓ Describe contemporary approaches to requirements determination.
- ✓ Understand how requirements determination techniques apply to the development of electronic commerce applications.

Chapter 6

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc. Publishing as Prentice Hall

51



This work is protected by United States copyright laws and is provided solely for the use of instructors in teaching their courses and assessing student learning. Dissemination or sale of any part of this work (including on the World Wide Web) will destroy the integrity of the work and is not permitted. The work and materials from it should never be made available to students except by instructors using the accompanying text in their classes. All recipients of this work are expected to abide by these restrictions and to honor the intended pedagogical purposes and the needs of other instructors who rely on these materials.

Copyright © 2014 Pearson Education, Inc.
Publishing as Prentice Hall
