

## 0.a. Goal

Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

## 0.b. Target

Target 2.a: Increase investment, including through enhanced international cooperation, in rural infrastructure, agricultural research and extension services, technology development and plant and livestock gene banks in order to enhance agricultural productive capacity in developing countries, in particular least developed countries

## 0.c. Indicator

Indicator 2.a.1: The agriculture orientation index for government expenditures

## 0.d. Series

Primary series: Agriculture orientation index for government expenditures

Complementary series: Agriculture value added share of GDP (%)

Complementary series: Agriculture share of Government Expenditure (%).

## 0.e. Metadata update

March 2021

## 0.f. Related indicators

Indicators 17.1.1 and 17.1.2 also apply IMF GFS methodology.

## 0.g. International organisations(s) responsible for global monitoring

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)

## 1.a. Organisation

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)

## 2.a. Definition and concepts

**Definition:**

The Agriculture Orientation Index (AOI) for Government Expenditures is defined as the Agriculture share of Government Expenditure, divided by the Agriculture value added share of GDP, where Agriculture refers to the agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting sector. The measure is a currency-free index, calculated as the ratio of these two shares. National governments are requested to compile Government Expenditures according to the Government Finance Statistics (GFS) and the Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), and Agriculture value added share of GDP according to the System of National Accounts (SNA).

**Concepts:**

Agriculture refers to the agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting sector, or Division A of ISIC Rev 4 (equal to Division A+B of ISIC Rev 3.2).

Government Expenditure are all expense and acquisition of non-financial assets associated with supporting a particular sector, as defined in the Government Finance Statistics Manual (GFSM) 2014 developed by the International Monetary Fund (IMF). NOTE: Transactions in assets and liabilities, such as loans by general government units (disbursement and repayment), are excluded when compiling COFOG data for GFS reporting purposes.

Government Expenditure are classified according to the Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), a classification developed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and published by the United Nations Statistical Division (UNSD).

Agriculture value-added and GDP are based on the System of National Accounts (SNA).

## 2.b. Unit of measure

---

Ratio

See 4.c. Method of computation, below.

## 2.c. Classifications

---

The Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG) is a detailed classification of the functions, or socioeconomic objectives, that general government units aim to achieve through various kinds of expenditure. Functions are classified using a three level scheme, consistent with the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC), Rev.4. In particular, the scheme includes:

1. 10 first-level, or two digit, categories, referred to as divisions, including Economic Affairs (04) and Environmental Protection (05);
2. 2) within each division, 2 or more 3-digit three-digit categories, referred to as groups, such as Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting (042) and Protection of Biodiversity and Landscapes (054); and
3. 3) within each group, one or more four-digit categories, referred to as classes, such as Agriculture (0421), Forestry (0422) and Fishing and hunting (0423).

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) questionnaire on Government Finance Statistics (GFS) collects data on the first two levels. The FAO questionnaire aims at collecting information on classes, as well as a breakdown of the related expenditure in recurrent and capital expenditures. The three

classification levels and the contents of each class are described in the GFSM 2014, accessible at <https://www.imf.org/external/np/sta/gfsm/>.

FAOSTAT geographic classification is used to aggregate indicators across country groups (<http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#definitions>).

### 3.a. Data sources

---

Data on government expenditures is collected from countries through an annual questionnaire administered by FAO. These data are not affected by sampling error, given that countries typically compile the questionnaires administered by FAO on the basis of their financial and accounting systems, using administrative information on government expenditures based on the availability and comprehensiveness of source data. For some countries that do not report directly data to FAO, information is obtained either from the IMF GFS database; however in this cases information is not disaggregated of the basis of COFOG 042; or from official national governmental websites.

Data on agriculture value-added and GDP are retrieved from the system of national accounts. THs is normally an analytical framework that includes national data from a mix of survey, census and administrative (e.g. tax) sources. This data is sourced from the UN Statistics Division, which provides national accounts estimates for 220 countries and territories.

### 3.b. Data collection method

---

Data for the denominator are annually collected from countries using the FAO questionnaire on Government Expenditure on Agriculture (GEA), developed in collaboration with the IMF. Data from countries may be supplemented, for countries with missing information, with data collected by the IMF, or published on official national governmental websites. The official counterpart(s) at country level are, depending on the country, from the national statistics office, the ministry of finance (or other central planning agency), or the ministry of agriculture. Validation and consultation were conducted through various FAO commissions and committees, including its two agricultural statistics commissions in Africa and the Asia and Pacific, its Committee on Agriculture and Livestock Statistics in Latin America and the Caribbean, and its Committee on Agriculture.

### 3.c. Data collection calendar

---

The 2020 reference year data collection cycle for Government Expenditure on Agriculture (GEA) will start in March/April 2021. Due to time required to collect, compile and publish national data, countries may experience delays in reporting timely data.

### 3.d. Data release calendar

---

As this data is largely compiled annually, the next release for this indicator is planned for November 2021, covering data up to reference year 2020 (for the countries for which data collection, compilation, release is more timely).

### 3.e. Data providers

---

Ministry of Finance, Central Planning Agency, National Statistics Office, and/or Ministry of Agriculture

### 3.f. Data compilers

---

Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO)

### 3.g. Institutional mandate

---

Article I of the FAO Constitution requires the Organization to "collect, analyse, interpret and disseminate information relating to nutrition, food and agriculture." (<http://www.fao.org/docrep/x5584e/x5584e00.htm>). Member countries reaffirmed this mandate in 2000. Within the FAO's statistical program of work, member countries endorsed the development of an investment statistics domain, including ongoing work on government expenditure on agriculture, during meetings of three statutory bodies: the Asia and Pacific Commission on Agricultural Statistics (APCAS) held in Vietnam in February 2014; the African Commission on Agricultural Statistics (AFCAS) held in Morocco in December 2013; and the IICA working group on agricultural and livestock statistics for Latin America and the Caribbean, held in Trinidad and Tobago in June 2013.

### 4.a. Rationale

---

An Agriculture Orientation Index (AOI) greater than 1 reflects a higher orientation towards the agriculture sector, which receives a higher share of government spending relative to its contribution to economic value-added. An AOI less than 1 reflects a lower orientation to agriculture, while an AOI equal to 1 reflects neutrality in a government's orientation to the agriculture sector.

Government spending in agriculture includes spending on sector policies and programs; soil improvement and soil degradation control; irrigation and reservoirs for agricultural use; animal health management, livestock research and training in animal husbandry; marine/freshwater biological research; afforestation and other forestry projects; etc.

Spending in these agricultural activities helps to increase sector efficiency, productivity and income growth by increasing physical or human capital and /or reducing inter-temporal budget constraints.

However, the private sector typically under-invests in these activities due to the presence of market failure (e.g. the public good nature of research and development; the positive externalities from improved soil and water conditions; lack of access to competitive credit due to asymmetric information between producers and financial institutions, etc.). Similarly, the high risk faced by agricultural producers, particular smallholders unable to hedge against risk, often requires government intervention in terms of income redistribution to support smallholders in distress following crop failures and livestock loss from pests, droughts, floods, infrastructure failure, or severe price changes.

Government spending in agriculture is essential to address these market failures and the periodic need for income redistribution. This leads to several potential indicators for the SDGs, which include: a) the level of Government Expenditure on Agriculture (GEA); b) the Agriculture share of Government Expenditure, and c) the AOI for Government Expenditures.

An indicator that measures GEA levels fails to take into account the size of an economy. If two countries, A and B, have the same level of GEA, and the same agriculture contribution to GDP, but country A's economy is 10 times that of country B, setting the same target levels for GEA fails to take economic size into account.

An indicator that measures the Agriculture share of Government Expenditure fails to take into account the relative contributions of the agricultural sector to a country's GDP. Consider two countries with the same economic size, C and D, where agriculture contributes 2 per cent to C's GDP, and 10 per cent to country D's GDP. If total Government Expenditures were equal in both countries, C would experience greater relative investment in Agriculture than D. If total Government Expenditures differed, the result could be magnified or diluted.

The AOI index takes into account a country's economic size, Agriculture's contribution to GDP, and the total amount of Government Expenditure. While the indicator does not allow setting of a universal and achievable target, it is useful to interpret the AOI in combination with its numerator and denominator separately: the Agriculture share of Government Expenditure and the Agriculture value-added Share of GDP

## 4.b. Comment and limitations

---

Since the numerator of this data is based on financial and accounting systems and administrative sources, there is no confidence interval or standard error associated with government expenditure data. For the denominator, national accounts data typically do not provide any standard error or confidence interval information.

The key limitation with this indicator is that Consolidated General Government expenditure – the best measure for cross-country comparisons – is not available for all reporting countries. While most advanced economies – and many emerging market economies – do report these data, many smaller and/or low income economies either do not have significant fiscal interventions in agriculture at the state/provincial and local/municipal levels; or do not have adequate source data to compile meaningful general government estimates for each subsector, as relevant. Given that in several countries, significant intervention in agriculture is implemented by sub-national governments, the Indicator 2.a.1 is calculated using the highest level of government available for the reporting country. For some countries, such as India, where the general government sector is defined for fiscal policy purposes as budgetary central government plus state government, the Indicator will take this into account.

Annex I lists the reporting countries, their M49 code, the latest year for which data are available and the level of government for which data has been reported. The level of government notation used is as follows: GG: Consolidated General Government; CG Consolidated Central Government (excluding Social Security Funds); CGI: Consolidated Central Government (including Social Security Funds); BA: Budgetary Central Government.

## 4.c. Method of computation

---

$$AOI = \frac{\text{Agriculture Share of Government Expenditures}}{\text{Agriculture value added Share of GDP}}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Agriculture Share of Government Expenditure} &= \\ &= \frac{\text{Government Expenditure on Agriculture}}{\text{Total Government Expenditure}} * 100 \end{aligned}$$

Agriculture refers to COFOG category 042 (agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting); and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Agriculture value added Share of GDP} &= \\ &= \frac{\text{Agriculture value added}}{\text{GDP}} * 100 \end{aligned}$$

Agriculture refers to the Division A of ISIC Rev 4 (agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting), equal to Division A+B of ISIC Rev 3.2.

## 4.d. Validation

---

Countries are asked to validate and update historical questionnaire data that pre-populates their questionnaire. FAO validates data against historical series, as well as data submitted to IMF and from country's websites.

## 4.e. Adjustments

---

FAO revises data only when historical revisions or missing historical data are provided by countries, the IMF or when they become available through the national authorities' websites. For example, prefilled questionnaires are sent out with reported data for n-2 through n-5, which countries are asked to review, revise where needed, and - to the extent possible – fill-in missing information. Conversion of values into millions is done as well.

## 4.f. Treatment of missing values (i) at country level and (ii) at regional level

---

### At country level

Missing values of -2019 government expenditure in agriculture were forecasted using trends in GDP and 3 to 5 year moving averages of the share of agriculture in total expenditure. Forecasted values are employed to compute regional and global aggregates, but not presented at the national level.

### At regional and global levels

Regional and global aggregates of 2019 were based on a mixture of data directly reported by countries (to FAO or IMF) and forecasts of missing values. For the 2001-2018 period, regional and global

aggregates are computed on the basis of based on available data countries and interpolations of missing.

## 4.g. Regional aggregations

---

Global and regional estimates are compiled by first separately summing across countries the four individual components of the index: government expenditure on agriculture, total government expenditure, agriculture value-added, and GDP. These are added only for those countries in a region (or globally) for which all components are available, and the index is then calculated for this larger region.

## 4.h. Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level

---

Countries are requested to reference the IMF's Government Finance Statistics Methodology (GFSM 2014), particularly Chapter 6 - Annex: Classification of the Functions of Government and Chapter 2 – Institutional Units and Sectors, available at <https://www.imf.org/external/np/sta/gfsm>.

## 4.i. Quality management

---

Comparisons of key aggregates reported in both the FAO GEA and IMF GFS questionnaires are periodically conducted in order to ensure consistency.

## 4.j. Quality assurance

---

The FAO Statistics Quality Assurance Framework is available at: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/019/i3664e/i3664e.pdf>

## 4.k. Quality assessment

---

The quality of the data may vary considerably among countries, as not all of them apply the COFOG classification. In such cases, FAO seeks to validate reported aggregates against fiscal data published by national authorities' websites. Since 2012, the FAO Statistics Division also fields a detailed annual questionnaire on Government Expenditure on Agriculture that is pre-populated with key major aggregates reported to the IMF or identified by FAO. Where reported details diverge significantly from the pre-populated aggregates, queries are sent to national counterparts, to ensure the methodological quality, objectivity and reliability of the data submitted by countries.

## 5. Data availability and disaggregation

---

### Data availability:

Data are reported for the highest level of government available (Consolidated general government, consolidated central government or budgetary central government) and are available for about 100 countries on a regular basis. In some cases (for example, India and Pakistan), data may reflect the

general government sector as per national norm. That is, budgetary central government combined with state government.

**Time series:**

From 2001 to 2019

**Disaggregation:**

Since this indicator is based on national accounts data and total government expenditures, it does not allow for disaggregation by demographic characteristics or geographic location.

## 6. Comparability/deviation from international standards

---

**Sources of discrepancies:**

When in-country compilation errors are identified and FAO has modified government expenditure data reported by countries, or where errors are found in comparison with the IMF GFS COFOG data or fiscal data published on national authorities' websites after querying to national respondents, there may be some difference between data reported by FAO and unrevised national figures.

## 7. References and Documentation

---

**URL:**

[www.fao.org](http://www.fao.org)

**References:**

- FAOSTAT domain of Government Expenditure on Agriculture  
<http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/IG> ;
- IMF Government Finance Statistics Manual 2014  
<https://www.imf.org/external/np/sta/gfsm/>.

2019	51	Armenia	GG
2019	4	Afghanistan	GG
2019	8	Albania	GG
2009	12	Algeria	BA
2019	24	Angola	GG



2019	28	Antigua and Barbuda	BA
2019	32	Argentina	CG
2019	36	Australia	GG
2019	40	Austria	GG
2019	44	Bahamas	BA
2019	48	Bahrain	BA
2019	50	Bangladesh	BA
2019	64	Bhutan	BA
2019	72	Botswana	BA
2019	76	Brazil	GG
2019	90	Solomon Islands	BA
2019	100	Bulgaria	GG
2019	104	Myanmar	GG
2019	108	Burundi	BA

2019	124	Canada	GG
2019	132	Cabo Verde	CG
2010	140	Central African Republic	BA
2019	144	Sri Lanka	BA
2019	152	Chile	GG
2019	156	China, mainland	GG
2019	170	Colombia	GG
2012	178	Congo	BA
2019	184	Cook Islands	BA
2019	188	Costa Rica	GG
2019	192	Cuba	CG
2019	196	Cyprus	GG
2019	31	Azerbaijan	GG
2019	208	Denmark	GG
2019	214	Dominican Republic	BA

2019	112	Belarus	GG
2019	218	Ecuador	BA
2019	818	Egypt	GG
2019	222	El Salvador	GG
2009	226	Equatorial Guinea	BA
2019	233	Estonia	GG
2019	242	Fiji	BA
2019	246	Finland	GG
2019	250	France	GG
2019	268	Georgia	CG
2019	276	Germany	GG
2019	288	Ghana	BA
2019	300	Greece	GG
2019	308	Grenada	CG

2019	320	Guatemala	CG
2019	324	Guinea	BA
2019	328	Guyana	BA
2019	348	Hungary	GG
2019	191	Croatia	GG
2019	352	Iceland	GG
2019	356	India	GG
2019	360	Indonesia	CG
2009	364	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	CG
2019	372	Ireland	GG
2019	376	Israel	GG
2019	380	Italy	GG
2018	384	Côte d'Ivoire	BA
2019	398	Kazakhstan	GG

2019	388	Jamaica	BA
2019	392	Japan	GG
2019	400	Jordan	BA
2018	417	Kyrgyzstan	GG
2019	404	Kenya	BA
2019	410	Republic of Korea	CG
2019	414	Kuwait	CG
2019	428	Latvia	GG
2019	422	Lebanon	BA
2019	426	Lesotho	BA
2012	430	Liberia	CG
2018	440	Lithuania	GG
2018	584	Marshall Islands	BA
2019	450	Madagascar	BA

2019	454	Malawi	BA
2019	458	Malaysia	BA
2019	462	Maldives	CG
2019	466	Mali	BA
2019	470	Malta	GG
2019	480	Mauritius	GG
2019	484	Mexico	CG
2018	496	Mongolia	GG
2019	508	Mozambique	BA
2018	583	Micronesia (Fed. States of)	BA
2019	498	Republic of Moldova	GG
2019	516	Namibia	BA
2019	524	Nepal	BA
2019	528	Netherlands	GG

2012	548	Vanuatu	GG
2019	554	New Zealand	CGINCL
2016	562	Niger	BA
2018	566	Nigeria	GG
2019	578	Norway	GG
2019	586	Pakistan	GG
2019	591	Panama	BA
2019	203	Czechia	GG
2019	598	Papua New Guinea	BA
2018	600	Paraguay	GG
2019	604	Peru	GG
2019	608	Philippines	BA
2019	616	Poland	GG
2019	620	Portugal	GG
2015	624	Guinea-Bissau	BA

2019	626	Timor-Leste	GG
2005	634	Qatar	BA
2011	716	Zimbabwe	BA
2019	642	Romania	GG
2019	646	Rwanda	GG
2018	643	Russian Federation	GG
2019	659	Saint Kitts and Nevis	BA
2019	662	Saint Lucia	BA
2019	670	Saint Vincent & Grenadines	BA
2019	678	Sao Tome and Principe	BA
2018	690	Seychelles	GG
2018	694	Sierra Leone	BA
2018	703	Slovakia	GG
2019	702	Singapore	GG



2019	706	Somalia	CG
2018	710	South Africa	GG
2019	724	Spain	GG
2019	748	Eswatini	BA
2019	752	Sweden	GG
2019	756	Switzerland	GG
2019	834	United Republic of Tanzania	BA
2019	764	Thailand	GG
2019	768	Togo	GG
2018	780	Trinidad and Tobago	CGINCL
2019	512	Oman	GG
2012	788	Tunisia	BA
2019	792	Turkey	GG
2018	784	United Arab Emirates	CG

2019	800	Uganda	GG
2019	826	United Kingdom	GG
2019	804	Ukraine	GG
2019	840	United States of America	GG
2018	854	Burkina Faso	BA
2019	860	Uzbekistan	GG
2014	704	Viet Nam	GG
2019	231	Ethiopia	BA
2019	882	Samoa	BA
2014	887	Yemen	GG
2019	180	Dem. Rep. of the Congo	BA
2019	894	Zambia	BA
2019	56	Belgium	GG
2019	442	Luxembourg	GG

2012	688	Serbia	GG
2015	499	Montenegro	BA
2019	412	Kosovo	GG
2018	729	Sudan	CG
2019	275	State of Palestine	CG