

Goal 4: Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all

Target 4.1: By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes

Indicator 4.1.1: Proportion of children and young people: (a) in grades 2/3; (b) at the end of primary; and (c) at the end of lower secondary achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex

## Institutional information

---

### Organization(s):

UNESCO Institute of Statistics (UIS)

## Concepts and definitions

---

### Definition:

Percentage of children and young people achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics during primary education (Grade 2 or 3), at the end of primary education, and at the end of lower secondary education. The minimum proficiency level will be measured relative to new common reading and mathematics scales currently in development.

### Concepts:

*Minimum proficiency level (MPL)* is the benchmark of basic knowledge in a domain (mathematics, reading, etc.) measured through learning assessments. In September 2018, an agreement was reached on a verbal definition of the global minimum proficiency level of reference for each of the areas and domains of Indicator 4.1.1 as described in the [Minimum Proficiency Levels \(MPLs\): Outcomes of the consensus building meeting](#).

*Minimum proficiency levels defined by each learning assessment*

To ensure comparability across learning assessments, a verbal definition of MPL for each domain and levels between cross-national assessments (CNAs) was established by conducting an analysis of the performance level descriptors (PLDs)<sup>[1]</sup> of cross-national, regional, and community-led tests in reading and mathematics. The analysis was led and completed by the UIS and a consensus among experts on the proposed methodology was deemed adequate and pragmatic.

The global MPL definitions for the domains of reading and mathematics are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Minimum proficiency levels defined by each learning assessment

<b>Reading</b>	
<b>Educational Level</b>	<b>Descriptor</b>
<b>Grade 2</b>	<b>They read and comprehend most of written words, particularly familiar ones, and extract explicit information from sentences.</b>
<b>Grade 3</b>	<b>Students read aloud written words accurately and fluently. They understand the overall meaning of sentences and short texts. Students identify the texts' topic</b>
<b>Grades 4 &amp; 6</b>	<b>Students interpret and give some explanations about the main and secondary ideas in different types of texts. They establish connections between main ideas on a text and their personal experiences as well as general knowledge.</b>
<b>Grades 8 &amp; 9</b>	<b>Students establish connections between main ideas on different text types and the author's intentions. They reflect and draw conclusions based on the text.</b>
<b>Mathematics</b>	
<b>Educational Level</b>	<b>Descriptor</b>
<b>Grades 2-3</b>	<b>Students demonstrate skills in number sense and computation, shape recognition and spatial orientation.</b>
<b>Grades 4-6</b>	<b>Students demonstrate skills in number sense and computation, basic measurement, reading, interpreting, and constructing graphs, spatial orientation, and number patterns.</b>
<b>Grades 8 &amp; 9</b>	<b>Students demonstrate skills in computation, application problems, matching tables and graphs, and making use of algebraic representations.</b>

---

<sup>1</sup> PLD: Performance level descriptors are descriptions of the performance levels to express the knowledge and skills required to achieve each performance level, by domain. [1](#)

---

## Rationale:

The indicator aims to measure the percentage of children and young people who have achieved the minimum learning outcomes in reading and mathematics during or at the end of the relevant stages of education.

The higher the figure the higher the proportion of children and/or young people reaching at least minimum proficiency in the respective domain (reading or mathematics) with the limitations indicated under the “Comments and limitations” section.

## Comments and limitations:

Learning outcomes from cross-national learning assessment are directly comparable for all countries which participated in the same cross-national learning assessments. However, these outcomes are not comparable across different cross-national learning assessments or with national learning assessments. A level of comparability of learning outcomes across assessments could be achieved by using different methodologies, each with varying standard errors. The period of 2020-2021 will shed light on the standard errors’ size for these methodologies.

The comparability of learning outcomes over time has additional complications, which require, ideally, to design and implement a set of comparable items as anchors in advance. Methodological developments are underway to address comparability of assessments outcomes over time.

## Methodology

---

### Computation method:

The number of children and/or young people at the relevant stage of education  $n$  in year  $t$  achieving or exceeding the pre-defined proficiency level in subject  $s$  expressed as a percentage of the number of children and/or young people at stage of education  $n$ , in year  $t$ , in any proficiency level in subject  $s$ .

$$MPL_{t,n,s} = MP_{t,n,s} / P_{t,n}$$

where:

$MP_{t,n,s}$  = the number of children and young people at stage of education  $n$ , in year  $t$ , who have achieved or exceeded the minimum proficiency level in subject  $s$ .

$P_{t,n}$  = the number of children and young people at stage of education  $n$ , in year  $t$ , in any proficiency level in subject  $s$ .

$n$  = the stage of education that was assessed

$s$  = the subject that was assessed (reading or mathematics).

## Harmonize various data sources

To address the challenges posed by the limited capacity of some countries to implement cross-national, regional, and national assessments, actions have been taken by the UIS and its partners. The strategies are used according to its level of precision and following a [reporting protocol](#) that includes the national assessments under specific circumstances.

## Out-of-school children

In 2016, 263 million children, adolescents and youth were out of school, representing nearly one-fifth of the global population of this age group. 63 million, or 24% of the total, are children of primary school age (typically 6 to 11 years old); 61 million, or 23% of the total, are adolescents of lower secondary school age (typically 12 to 14 years old); and 139 million, or 53% of the total, are youth of upper secondary school age (about 15 to 17 years old). Not all these kids will be permanently outside school, some will re-join the educational system and, eventually, complete late, while some of them will enter late. The quantity varies per country and region and demands some adjustment in the estimate of Indicator 4.1.1. There is currently a discussion on how to implement these adjustments to reflect all the population. In 2017, the [UIS proposed to make adjustments using the out-of-school children \(OOSC\)](#)<sup>[2]</sup> and the completion rates.

---

<sup>2</sup> UIS (2017a). More than one-half of children and adolescents are not learning worldwide. Montreal and UIS (2017b). Counting the number of children not learning: Methodology for a global composite indicator for education. Montreal. [1](#)

---

## Treatment of missing values:

- *At country level:*

Missing values are not imputed.

- *At regional and global levels:*

Missing values are not imputed.

## Regional aggregates:

Not yet applicable. Data are reported at the national level only. Population weighted average by region to be reported in 2020.

## Sources of discrepancies:

Not yet applicable. Data are reported at the national level only.

## Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level:

Information not available.

## Quality assurance:

Information not available.

## Data sources

### Description:

Type of data sources: In school and population-based learning assessments.

**Table 2. How interim reporting is structured?**

	In-school based	Household Based Surveys	Grade
Cross-national	National		
Grade 2 or 3	LLECE	Yes	MICS6
	PASEC		EGRA
	TIMSS		EGMA
	PIRLS		PAL network
End of primary	LLECE	Yes	PAL network
	PASEC		
	TIMSS		
			2/3 plus one year when primary lasts more than 4 years according to ISCED level of the country
			plus or minus one year of last year of primary according to ISCED level of the country

	In-school based		Household Based Surveys	Grade
	PIRLS			
	PILNA			
	SEAMEO			
	SACMEQ			
End of lower secondary	PISA	Yes	<a href="#">Young Lives</a>	plus two or minus one of last year of lower secondary according to ISCED level of the country
	PISA-D			
	TIMSS			
Definition of minimum level until 2018 release		Those defined by each assessment by point of measurement and domain		
Definition of minimum level from 2019		According to alignment as adopted by Global Alliance to Monitoring Learning ( <a href="#">GAML</a> ) and Technical Cooperation Group ( <a href="#">TCG</a> )		
Grade for end of primary and end of lower secondary		As defined by the ISCED levels in each country		
Validation		Sent from UIS for countries’ approval		

## Collection process:

Information not available.

# Data availability

---

## Time series:

Data available since 2000. The indicator will be reported annually.

## Disaggregation:

Indicator is published disaggregated by sex. Other disaggregation such as location, socio-economic status, immigrant status, ethnicity and language of the test at home are based on data produced by international organizations administering cross learning assessment detailed in the [expanded metadata document](#) and validated by countries. Parity indexes are estimated in the reporting of Indicator 4.5.1. Information on the disaggregation of variable for Indicator 4.1.1 are presented in the following tables.

# Calendar

---

## Data collection:

Data collection is ongoing.

## Data release:

February 2020

# Data providers

---

## School Based assessments

- International Large Scale Assessments are reported to the UIS by cross-national organisations (LLECE, PASEC, TIMSS, and PIRLS). Typically, Cross National Large Scale Assessment, either regional or international, define various performance levels, and report as well the mean and standard deviation. They choose as well one level as the cut-off point that defines what children/youth are below or above level.
- Regional assessments: PASEC, SACMEQ, ERCE, PILNA, SEAMEO.
- National Large-Scale Assessments either sample- or census- based. Countries should report the proportion of students by level of competency for each domain indicating as well the minimum proficiency level, when it is defined by the national assessment. EGRA and EGMA as reported by USAID or individual countries.

## Household-Based survey

- MICS6: reported to the UIS by UNICEF
- Pal Network: reported to the UIS by Pal Network

# Data compilers

---

UNESCO Institute of Statistics (UIS)

## References

---

Minimum Proficiency Levels [http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/07/MPLs\\_revised\\_doc\\_20190506\\_v2.pdf](http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/07/MPLs_revised_doc_20190506_v2.pdf)

Costs and Benefits of Different Approaches to Measuring the Learning Proficiency of Students (SDG Indicator 4.1.1)

<http://uis.unesco.org/sites/default/files/documents/ip53-costs-benefits-approaches-measuring-proficiency-2019-en.pdf>

Protocol for Reporting on SDG Global Indicator 4.1.1

[http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/GAML6-WD-2-Protocol-for-reporting-4.1.1\\_v1.pdf](http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/GAML6-WD-2-Protocol-for-reporting-4.1.1_v1.pdf)

Global Proficiency Framework for Reading and Mathematics - Grade 2 to 6

[http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/Global-Proficiency-Framework-18Oct2019\\_KD.pdf](http://gaml.uis.unesco.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/Global-Proficiency-Framework-18Oct2019_KD.pdf)

## Related indicators

---

The parity indices for this indicator are reported in SDG indicator 4.5.1.