MICROARCHITECTURE

Chapter 7

Digital Design and Computer Architecture, 2nd Edition

David Money Harris and Sarah L. Harris

© *Digital Design and Computer Architecture*, 2nd Edition, 2012

ELSEVIER

Chapter 7 :: Topics

- Introduction
- Performance Analysis
- Single-Cycle Processor
- Multicycle Processor
- Pipelined Processor
- Exceptions
- Advanced Microarchitecture



Introduction

- **Microarchitecture:** how to implement an architecture in hardware
- Processor:
  - **Datapath:** functional blocks
  - **Control:** control signals



Microarchitecture

- Multiple implementations for a single architecture:
  - **Single-cycle:** Each instruction executes in a single cycle
  - **Multicycle:** Each instruction is broken into series of shorter steps
  - **Pipelined:** Each instruction broken up into series of steps & multiple instructions execute at once

Processor Performance

- Program execution time

Execution Time = (#instructions)(cycles/instruction)(seconds/cycle)

- Definitions:
  - CPI: Cycles/instruction
  - clock period: seconds/cycle
  - IPC: instructions/cycle = IPC
- Challenge is to satisfy constraints of:
  - Cost
  - Power
  - Performance

MIPS Processor

- Consider subset of MIPS instructions:
  - R-type instructions: `and`, `or`, `add`, `sub`, `slt`
  - Memory instructions: `lw`, `sw`
  - Branch instructions: `beq`

Architectural State

- Determines everything about a processor:
  - PC
  - 32 registers
  - Memory

MIPS State Elements



Single-Cycle MIPS Processor

- Datapath
- Control

Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` fetch

STEP 1: Fetch instruction



Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` Register Read

STEP 2: Read source operands from RF



Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` Immediate

STEP 3: Sign-extend the immediate



Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` address

STEP 4: Compute the memory address



Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` Memory Read

- **STEP 5:** Read data from memory and write it back to register file



Single-Cycle Datapath: `lw` PC Increment

STEP 6: Determine address of next instruction



ELSEVIER

Single-Cycle Datapath: `sw`

Write data in `rt` to memory



Single-Cycle Datapath: R-Type

- Read from `rs` and `rt`
- Write *ALUResult* to register file
- Write to `rd` (instead of `rt`)



Single-Cycle Datapath: beq

- Determine whether values in rs and rt are equal
- Calculate branch target address:

  BTA = (sign-extended immediate << 2) + (PC+4)



Single-Cycle Processor



MICROARCHITECTURE
ELSEVIER

Single-Cycle Control



Review: ALU



$F_{2:0}$	Function
000	A & B
001	A | B
010	A + B
011	not used
100	A & ~B
101	A | ~B
110	A - B
111	SLT

Review: ALU



Control Unit: ALU Decoder

$ALUOp_{1:0}$	Meaning
00	Add
01	Subtract
10	Look at Funct
11	Not Used

$ALUOp_{1:0}$	Funct	$ALUControl_{2:0}$
00	X	010 (Add)
X1	X	110 (Subtract)
1X	100000 (`add`)	010 (Add)
1X	100010 (`sub`)	110 (Subtract)
1X	100100 (`and`)	000 (And)
1X	100101 (`or`)	001 (Or)
1X	101010 (`slt`)	111 (SLT)

Control Unit Main Decoder

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$
R-type	000000							
`lw`	100011							
`sw`	101011							
`beq`	000100							



ELSEVIER

Control Unit: Main Decoder

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$
R-type	000000	1	1	0	0	0	0	10
`lw`	100011	1	0	1	0	0	0	00
`sw`	101011	0	X	1	0	1	X	00
`beq`	000100	0	X	0	1	0	X	01

Single-Cycle Datapath: or



Extended Functionality: addi



No change to datapath

ELSEVIER

Control Unit: addi

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$
R-type	000000	1	1	0	0	0	0	10
lw	100011	1	0	1	0	0	1	00
sw	101011	0	X	1	0	1	X	00
beq	000100	0	X	0	1	0	X	01
addi	**001000**							

Control Unit: addi

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$
R-type	000000	1	1	0	0	0	0	10
lw	100011	1	0	1	0	0	1	00
sw	101011	0	X	1	0	1	X	00
beq	000100	0	X	0	1	0	X	01
addi	**001000**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**00**

Extended Functionality: j



Control Unit: Main Decoder

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$	Jump
R-type	000000	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	0
`lw`	100011	1	0	1	0	0	1	00	0
`sw`	101011	0	X	1	0	1	X	00	0
`beq`	000100	0	X	0	1	0	X	01	0
`j`	000010								

Control Unit: Main Decoder

Instruction	$Op_{5:0}$	RegWrite	RegDst	AluSrc	Branch	MemWrite	MemtoReg	$ALUOp_{1:0}$	Jump
R-type	000000	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	0
`lw`	100011	1	0	1	0	0	1	00	0
`sw`	101011	0	X	1	0	1	X	00	0
`beq`	000100	0	X	0	1	0	X	01	0
`j`	000010	0	X	X	X	0	X	XX	1

Review: Processor Performance

Program Execution Time

= (#instructions)(cycles/instruction)(seconds/cycle)

= # instructions x CPI x T_C

Single-Cycle Performance



T_C limited by critical path (`lw`)

Single-Cycle Performance

- Single-cycle critical path:

$$T_c = t_{pcq_PC} + t_{\text{mem}} + \max(t_{RF\text{read}}, t_{sext} + t_{\text{mux}}) + t_{\text{ALU}} + t_{\text{mem}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{RF\text{setup}}$$

- Typically, limiting paths are:
  - memory, ALU, register file
  - $T_c = t_{pcq_PC} + 2t_{\text{mem}} + t_{RF\text{read}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{ALU}} + t_{RF\text{setup}}$

Single-Cycle Performance Example

Element	Parameter	Delay (ps)
Register clock-to-Q	t_{pcq_PC}	30
Register setup	t_{setup}	20
Multiplexer	t_{mux}	25
ALU	t_{ALU}	200
Memory read	t_{mem}	250
Register file read	t_{RFread}	150
Register file setup	$t_{RFsetup}$	20

$$T_c = ?$$

Single-Cycle Performance Example

Element	Parameter	Delay (ps)
Register clock-to-Q	t_{pcq_PC}	30
Register setup	t_{setup}	20
Multiplexer	t_{mux}	25
ALU	t_{ALU}	200
Memory read	t_{mem}	250
Register file read	t_{RFread}	150
Register file setup	$t_{RFsetup}$	20

$$T_c = t_{pcq_PC} + 2t_{mem} + t_{RFread} + t_{mux} + t_{ALU} + t_{RFsetup}$$
$$= [30 + 2(250) + 150 + 25 + 200 + 20] \text{ ps}$$
$$= 925 \text{ ps}$$

Single-Cycle Performance Example

Program with 100 billion instructions:

Execution Time = # instructions x CPI x T_C

$= (100 \times 10^9)(1)(925 \times 10^{-12}\ \text{s})$

$=$ **92.5 seconds**

Multicycle MIPS Processor

- **Single-cycle:**
  + simple
  - cycle time limited by longest instruction (`lw`)
  - 2 adders/ALUs & 2 memories
- **Multicycle:**
  + higher clock speed
  + simpler instructions run faster
  + reuse expensive hardware on multiple cycles
  - sequencing overhead paid many times
- **Same design steps: datapath & control**

Multicycle State Elements

- Replace Instruction and Data memories with a single unified memory – more realistic



Multicycle Datapath: Instruction Fetch

STEP 1: Fetch instruction



Multicycle Datapath: `lw` Register Read

STEP 2a: Read source operands from RF



Multicycle Datapath: `lw` Immediate

STEP 2b: Sign-extend the immediate



Multicycle Datapath: `lw` Address

STEP 3: Compute the memory address



Multicycle Datapath: `lw` Memory Read

STEP 4: Read data from memory



Multicycle Datapath: `lw` Write Register

STEP 5: Write data back to register file



Multicycle Datapath: Increment PC

STEP 6: Increment PC



Multicycle Datapath: `sw`

Write data in `rt` to memory



Multicycle Datapath: R-Type

- Read from `rs` and `rt`
- Write *ALUResult* to register file
- Write to `rd` (instead of `rt`)



Multicycle Datapath: `beq`

- `rs == rt`?
- BTA = (sign-extended immediate << 2) + (PC+4)



Multicycle Processor



Multicycle Control



Main Controller FSM: Fetch





Main Controller FSM: Fetch





ELSEVIER

Main Controller FSM: Decode





© Digital Design and Computer Architecture, 2nd Edition, 2012

Main Controller FSM: Address



Main Controller FSM: Address



Main Controller FSM: `lw`



Main Controller FSM: `SW`



Main Controller FSM: R-Type



Main Controller FSM: beq



Multicycle Controller FSM



Extended Functionality: addi



Main Controller FSM: addi



Extended Functionality: j



ELSEVIER

Main Controller FSM: j



Main Controller FSM: j



Multicycle Processor Performance

- Instructions take different number of cycles:
  - 3 cycles: `beq`, `j`
  - 4 cycles: R-Type, `sw`, `addi`
  - 5 cycles: `lw`
- CPI is weighted average
- SPECINT2000 benchmark:
  - 25% loads
  - 10% stores
  - 11% branches
  - 2% jumps
  - 52% R-type

Average CPI = (0.11 + 0.02)(3) + (0.52 + 0.10)(4) + (0.25)(5) = **4.12**

Multicycle Processor Performance

Multicycle critical path:

$$T_c = t_{pcq} + t_{\text{mux}} + \max(t_{\text{ALU}} + t_{\text{mux}}, t_{\text{mem}}) + t_{\text{setup}}$$



Multicycle Performance Example

Element	Parameter	Delay (ps)
Register clock-to-Q	t_{pcq_PC}	30
Register setup	t_{setup}	20
Multiplexer	t_{mux}	25
ALU	t_{ALU}	200
Memory read	t_{mem}	250
Register file read	t_{RFread}	150
Register file setup	$t_{RFsetup}$	20

$T_c = ?$

Multicycle Performance Example

Element	Parameter	Delay (ps)
Register clock-to-Q	t_{pcq_PC}	30
Register setup	t_{setup}	20
Multiplexer	t_{mux}	25
ALU	t_{ALU}	200
Memory read	t_{mem}	250
Register file read	t_{RFread}	150
Register file setup	$t_{RFsetup}$	20

$$T_c = t_{pcq_PC} + t_{mux} + \max(t_{ALU} + t_{mux}, t_{mem}) + t_{setup}$$
$$= t_{pcq_PC} + t_{mux} + t_{mem} + t_{setup}$$
$$= [30 + 25 + 250 + 20] \text{ ps}$$
$$= \mathbf{325 \text{ ps}}$$

Multicycle Performance Example

Program with 100 billion instructions

Execution Time = ?

Multicycle Performance Example

Program with 100 billion instructions

Execution Time = (# instructions) × CPI × T_c

$= (100 \times 10^9)(4.12)(325 \times 10^{-12})$

$=$ **133.9 seconds**

This is **slower** than the single-cycle processor (92.5 seconds). Why?

Multicycle Performance Example

Program with 100 billion instructions

Execution Time = (# instructions) × CPI × T_c

$= (100 \times 10^9)(4.12)(325 \times 10^{-12})$

$=$ **133.9 seconds**

This is **slower** than the single-cycle processor (92.5 seconds). Why?

- Not all steps same length
- Sequencing overhead for each step ($t_{pcq} + t_{setup} = 50$ ps)

Review: Single-Cycle Processor



ELSEVIER

Review: Multicycle Processor



ELSEVIER

Pipelined MIPS Processor

- Temporal parallelism
- Divide single-cycle processor into 5 stages:
  - Fetch
  - Decode
  - Execute
  - Memory
  - Writeback
- Add pipeline registers between stages

Single-Cycle vs. Pipelined

Single-Cycle



Pipelined



Pipelined Processor Abstraction



Single-Cycle & Pipelined Datapath



ELSEVIER

Corrected Pipelined Datapath



WriteReg* must arrive at same time as *Result

ELSEVIER

Pipelined Processor Control



- **Same control unit as single-cycle processor**
- **Control delayed to proper pipeline stage**

ELSEVIER

Pipeline Hazards

- When an instruction depends on result from instruction that hasn't completed
- Types:
  - **Data hazard:** register value not yet written back to register file
  - **Control hazard:** next instruction not decided yet (caused by branches)

Data Hazard


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
Time (cycles)
add $s0, $s2, $s3
and $t0, $s0, $s1
or $t1, $s4, $s0
sub $t2, $s0, $s5
IM
add
RF
$s2
$s3
+
DM
$s0
RF
IM
and
RF
$s0
$s1
&
DM
$t0
RF
IM
or
RF
$s4
$s0
|
DM
$t1
RF
IM
sub
RF
$s0
$s5
-
DM
$t2
RF

Handling Data Hazards

- Insert `nop`s in code at compile time
- Rearrange code at compile time
- Forward data at run time
- Stall the processor at run time

Compile-Time Hazard Elimination

- Insert enough `nop`s for result to be ready
- Or move independent useful instructions forward



Data Forwarding



Data Forwarding



ELSEVIER

Data Forwarding

- Forward to Execute stage from either:
  - Memory stage or
  - Writeback stage
- Forwarding logic for *ForwardAE*:

```
if      ((rsE != 0) AND (rsE == WriteRegM) AND RegWriteM)
     then   ForwardAE = 10
else if ((rsE != 0) AND (rsE == WriteRegW) AND RegWriteW)
     then   ForwardAE = 01
else        ForwardAE = 00
```

Forwarding logic for *ForwardBE* same, but replace *rsE* with *rtE*

Stalling

```
lw $s0, 40($0)
and $t0, $s0, $s1
or  $t1, $s4, $s0
sub $t2, $s0, $s5
```



Stalling

```
lw $s0, 40($0)
and $t0, $s0, $s1
or  $t1, $s4, $s0
sub $t2, $s0, $s5
```



Stalling Hardware



Stalling Logic

```
lwstall =
   ((rsD==rtE) OR (rtD==rtE)) AND MemtoRegE

StallF = StallD = FlushE = lwstall
```

Control Hazards

- **`beq`:**
  - branch not determined until 4th stage of pipeline
  - Instructions after branch fetched before branch occurs
  - These instructions must be flushed if branch happens
- **Branch misprediction penalty**
  - number of instruction flushed when branch is taken
  - May be reduced by determining branch earlier

Control Hazards: Original Pipeline



ELSEVIER

Control Hazards



Early Branch Resolution



Introduced another data hazard in Decode stage

ELSEVIER

Early Branch Resolution



Handling Data & Control Hazards



ELSEVIER

Control Forwarding & Stalling Logic

- **Forwarding logic:**

ForwardAD = (*rsD* !=0) AND (*rsD* == *WriteRegM*) AND *RegWriteM*

ForwardBD = (*rtD* !=0) AND (*rtD* == WriteRegM) AND *RegWriteM*

- **Stalling logic:**

branchstall = *BranchD* AND
[*RegWriteE* AND ((*WriteRegE* == *rsD*) OR (*WriteRegE* == *rtD*))
OR
[*MemtoRegM* AND ((*WriteRegM* == *rsD*) OR (*WriteRegM* == *rtD*))]

StallF = *StallD* = *FlushE* = *lwstall* OR *branchstall*

Branch Prediction

- Guess whether branch will be taken
  - Backward branches are usually taken (loops)
  - Consider history to improve guess
- Good prediction reduces fraction of branches requiring a flush

Pipelined Performance Example

- SPECINT2000 benchmark:
  - 25% loads
  - 10% stores
  - 11% branches
  - 2% jumps
  - 52% R-type
- Suppose:
  - 40% of loads used by next instruction
  - 25% of branches mispredicted
  - All jumps flush next instruction
- **What is the average CPI?**

Pipelined Performance Example

- SPECINT2000 benchmark:
  - 25% loads
  - 10% stores
  - 11% branches
  - 2% jumps
  - 52% R-type
- Suppose:
  - 40% of loads used by next instruction
  - 25% of branches mispredicted
  - All jumps flush next instruction
- **What is the average CPI?**
  - Load/Branch CPI = 1 when no stalling, 2 when stalling
  - $CPI_{lw} = 1(0.6) + 2(0.4) = 1.4$
  - $CPI_{beq} = 1(0.75) + 2(0.25) = 1.25$

Average CPI = (0.25)(1.4) + (0.1)(1) + (0.11)(1.25) + (0.02)(2) + (0.52)(1)

= 1.15

Pipelined Performance

- Pipelined processor critical path:

$$T_c = \max \{$$
$$t_{pcq} + t_{\text{mem}} + t_{\text{setup}}$$
$$2(t_{\text{RFread}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{eq}} + t_{\text{AND}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{setup}})$$
$$t_{pcq} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{ALU}} + t_{\text{setup}}$$
$$t_{pcq} + t_{\text{memwrite}} + t_{\text{setup}}$$
$$2(t_{pcq} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{RFwrite}}) \}$$

Pipelined Performance Example

Element	Parameter	Delay (ps)
Register clock-to-Q	t_{pcq_PC}	30
Register setup	t_{setup}	20
Multiplexer	t_{mux}	25
ALU	t_{ALU}	200
Memory read	t_{mem}	250
Register file read	t_{RFread}	150
Register file setup	$t_{RFsetup}$	20
Equality comparator	t_{eq}	40
AND gate	t_{AND}	15
Memory write	$t_{memwrite}$	220
Register file write	$t_{RFwrite}$	100

$$T_c = 2(t_{\text{RFread}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{eq}} + t_{\text{AND}} + t_{\text{mux}} + t_{\text{setup}})$$
$$= 2[150 + 25 + 40 + 15 + 25 + 20]\ \text{ps} = \mathbf{550\ ps}$$

Pipelined Performance Example

Program with 100 billion instructions

Execution Time = (# instructions) × CPI × T_c

$= (100 \times 10^9)(1.15)(550 \times 10^{-12})$

= 63 seconds

Processor Performance Comparison

Processor	Execution Time (seconds)	Speedup (single-cycle as baseline)
Single-cycle	92.5	1
Multicycle	133	0.70
Pipelined	63	1.47

Review: Exceptions

- Unscheduled function call to *exception handler*
- Caused by:
  - Hardware, also called an *interrupt*, e.g. keyboard
  - Software, also called *traps*, e.g. undefined instruction
- When exception occurs, the processor:
  - Records cause of exception (`Cause` register)
  - Jumps to exception handler (0x80000180)
  - Returns to program (`EPC` register)

Example Exception

Exception Registers

- Not part of register file
  - `Cause`
    - Records cause of exception
    - Coprocessor 0 register 13
  - `EPC` (Exception PC)
    - Records PC where exception occurred
    - Coprocessor 0 register 14
- Move from Coprocessor 0
  - `mfc0 $t0, Cause`
  - Moves contents of `Cause` into `$t0`

mfc0



Exception Causes

Exception	Cause
Hardware Interrupt	0x00000000
System Call	0x00000020
Breakpoint / Divide by 0	0x00000024
Undefined Instruction	0x00000028
Arithmetic Overflow	0x00000030

Extend multicycle MIPS processor to handle last two types of exceptions

Exception Hardware: EPC & Cause



Control FSM with Exceptions



Exception Hardware: `mfc0`



ELSEVIER

Advanced Microarchitecture

- Deep Pipelining
- Branch Prediction
- Superscalar Processors
- Out of Order Processors
- Register Renaming
- SIMD
- Multithreading
- Multiprocessors

Deep Pipelining

- 10-20 stages typical
- Number of stages limited by:
  - Pipeline hazards
  - Sequencing overhead
  - Power
  - Cost

Branch Prediction

- Ideal pipelined processor: CPI = 1
- Branch misprediction increases CPI
- **Static branch prediction:**
  - Check direction of branch (forward or backward)
  - If backward, predict taken
  - Else, predict not taken
- **Dynamic branch prediction:**
  - Keep history of last (several hundred) branches in *branch target buffer*, record:
    - Branch destination
    - Whether branch was taken

Branch Prediction Example

```
    add  $s1, $0, $0       # sum = 0
    add  $s0, $0, $0       # i   = 0
    addi $t0, $0, 10       # $t0 = 10
for:
    beq  $s0, $t0, done    # if i == 10, branch
    add  $s1, $s1, $s0     # sum = sum + i
    addi $s0, $s0, 1       # increment i
    j    for
done:
```

1-Bit Branch Predictor

- Remembers whether branch was taken the last time and does the same thing
- Mispredicts first and last branch of loop

2-Bit Branch Predictor



Only mispredicts last branch of loop

Superscalar

- Multiple copies of datapath execute multiple instructions at once
- Dependencies make it tricky to issue multiple instructions at once



Superscalar Example

```
lw   $t0, 40($s0)
add  $t1, $t0, $s1
sub  $t0, $s2, $s3
and  $t2, $s4, $t0
or   $t3, $s5, $s6
sw   $s7, 80($t3)
```

Ideal IPC: 2

Actual IPC: 2



ELSEVIER

Superscalar with Dependencies

```
lw   $t0, 40($s0)
add  $t1, $t0, $s1
sub  $t0, $s2, $s3
and  $t2, $s4, $t0
or   $t3, $s5, $s6
sw   $s7, 80($t3)
```

Ideal IPC: **2**

Actual IPC: **6/5 = 1.2**



Out of Order Processor

- Looks ahead across multiple instructions
- Issues as many instructions as possible at once
- Issues instructions out of order (as long as no dependencies)
- **Dependencies:**
  - **RAW** (read after write): one instruction writes, later instruction reads a register
  - **WAR** (write after read): one instruction reads, later instruction writes a register
  - **WAW** (write after write): one instruction writes, later instruction writes a register

Out of Order Processor

- **Instruction level parallelism (ILP):** number of instruction that can be issued simultaneously (average < 3)
- **Scoreboard:** table that keeps track of:
  - Instructions waiting to issue
  - Available functional units
  - Dependencies

Out of Order Processor Example

```
lw  $t0, 40($s0)
add $t1, $t0, $s1
sub $t0, $s2, $s3
and $t2, $s4, $t0
or  $t3, $s5, $s6
sw  $s7, 80($t3)
```



Ideal IPC: **2**

Actual IPC: **6/4 = 1.5**



ELSEVIER

Register Renaming

```
lw   $t0, 40($s0)
add  $t1, $t0, $s1
sub  $t0, $s2, $s3
and  $t2, $s4, $t0
or   $t3, $s5, $s6
sw   $s7, 80($t3)
```

Ideal IPC: 2

Actual IPC: 6/3 = 2





ELSEVIER

SIMD

- Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD)
  - Single instruction acts on multiple pieces of data at once
  - Common application: graphics
  - Perform short arithmetic operations (also called *packed arithmetic*)
- For example, add four 8-bit elements



Advanced Architecture Techniques

- **Multithreading**
  - Wordprocessor: thread for typing, spell checking, printing
- **Multiprocessors**
  - Multiple processors (cores) on a single chip

Threading: Definitions

- **Process:** program running on a computer
  - Multiple processes can run at once: e.g., surfing Web, playing music, writing a paper
- **Thread:** part of a program
  - Each process has multiple threads: e.g., a word processor may have threads for typing, spell checking, printing

Threads in Conventional Processor

- One thread runs at once
- When one thread stalls (for example, waiting for memory):
  - Architectural state of that thread stored
  - Architectural state of waiting thread loaded into processor and it runs
  - Called **context switching**
- Appears to user like all threads running simultaneously

Multithreading

- Multiple copies of architectural state
- Multiple threads **active** at once:
  - When one thread stalls, another runs immediately
  - If one thread can't keep all execution units busy, another thread can use them
- Does not increase instruction-level parallelism (ILP) of single thread, but increases throughput

Intel calls this "hyperthreading"

Multiprocessors

- Multiple processors (cores) with a method of communication between them
- Types:
  - **Homogeneous**: multiple cores with shared memory
  - **Heterogeneous:** separate cores for different tasks (for example, DSP and CPU in cell phone)
  - **Clusters:** each core has own memory system

Other Resources

- Patterson & Hennessy's: *Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach*
- Conferences:
  - www.cs.wisc.edu/~arch/www/
  - ISCA (International Symposium on Computer Architecture)
  - HPCA (International Symposium on High Performance Computer Architecture)