

## 1 Hypothesis Testing & Inference

### 1.1 Null-Hypotheses

Null-hypothesis ( $H_0$ ), usually  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ , where  $\beta$  = population parameter of interest. Alternative hypothesis ( $H_a$ ), can be two-sided ( $H_a : \beta \neq 0$ ), one-sided ( $H_a : \beta > 0$  or  $H_a : \beta < 0$ ).

### 1.2 T-Test

The  $T$ -statistic compares  $\hat{\beta}$  to its estimated sampling variability,  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})$ . In OLS,

$$\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{(n - k - 1) \cdot \sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}}$$

given a  $\beta_0$  (under the null), the  $T$ -statistic is then (and always) calculated by

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta_0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})}$$

Usually,  $\beta_0 = 0$ .

### 1.3 p-values

In OLS, we compare  $T$  the  $T$ -distribution w/  $df = n - k - 1$  to get a  $p$ -value, which gives us the  $Pr(|\hat{\beta}| \geq \hat{\beta} \mid \beta = 0)$ . For a two-sided test,  $-|T| < T(\frac{\alpha}{2}, df)$  for us to reject the null-hypothesis at  $\alpha$  significance level. For multiple comparisons  $p$ -values have to be adjusted. Bonferroni correction

$$p_{Adj.} = p \cdot n_{tests}$$

### 1.4 Confidence Intervals

Confidence way of inverting  $p$ -values to get range of plausible values of  $\beta$ .

$$\hat{\beta} \pm |T(\frac{\alpha}{2}, df)| \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})$$

Valid interpretations of 95% CIs: “At the 95% confidence level we estimate that the population parameter lies between [...]”, or “Interval contains the parameter with 95% confidence.”

## 2 Ordinary Least Squares

With independent and identically distributed (iid) observations  $Y_i$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  linear model is

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki} + \epsilon_i$$

### 2.1 Model Assumptions

1.  $Y_i \perp\!\!\!\perp Y_j \forall i \neq j$
2.  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$  with  $\sigma^2 < \infty$
3.  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i]$  is linear in all  $X$
4.  $Cov[\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j] = 0 \forall i \neq j$

### 2.2 Simple Linear Regression

In SLR, can estimate the  $T$ -statistic of  $\hat{\beta}$  using  $R^2$  by the following formula

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{R^2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1-R^2}{n-2}}}$$

In SLR,  $R^2 = r_{XY}^2$ , where  $r_{XY}$  correlation of  $X, Y$ .

### 2.3 Interaction Effects

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 (X_1 \cdot X_2) + \epsilon$$

Partial association of  $X_1, Y$  has to be interpreted using  $\beta_1 + \beta_3 X_2$ . I.e., if  $\beta_3 > 0$  as  $X_2$  increases, partial relationship between  $X_1, Y$  gets **stronger**. If  $\beta_3 < 0$  relationship gets **weaker**. Relationship between  $X_1, Y$  always has to be interpreted **conditional** on  $X_2$ .

### 2.4 $R^2$ and Adjusted $R^2$

Residual standard deviation is estimated by

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n - k - 1}}$$

95% of observations fall within  $\pm 1.96 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$  of the regression line. Goodness of fit measure

$$R^2 = \frac{TSS - SSE}{TSS} = \frac{\sum(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 - \sum(Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{\sum(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}$$

Adjusted  $R^2$  is given by

$$R_{adj}^2 = \frac{\frac{TSS}{n-1} - \frac{SSE}{n-(k+1)}}{\frac{TSS}{n-1}} = \frac{(n-1)R^2 - k}{n - (k+1)}$$

Whether  $R_{adj}^2 << R^2$  depends on whether  $\frac{k}{n}$  is large.

## 2.5 F-Test

To test whether a set of coefficient estimates are all zero,  $F$ -test. Null-hypothesis of  $F$ -test:

$$H_0 : \beta_{g+1} = \beta_{g+2} = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$

$$H_a : \text{at least one of } \beta_{g+1}, \beta_{g+2}, \dots, \beta_k \text{ is not } 0$$

In the context of OLS, usually a model  $M_0$  with  $k_0$  independent variables (IVs), and  $M_a$  with  $k_a$  IVs.  $M_0$  is nested in  $M_a$ .

$$F = \frac{\frac{SSE_0 - SSE_a}{k_a - k_0}}{\frac{SSE_a}{n - (k_a + 1)}} = \frac{\frac{R_a^2 - R_0^2}{k_a - k_0}}{\frac{1 - R_a^2}{n - k_a - 1}} = \frac{\frac{\Delta R^2}{\Delta df}}{\frac{1 - R_a^2}{n - (k_a + 1)}}$$

Sampling distribution of  $F$ -statistic under the null hypothesis is the  $F$ -distribution with  $k_a - k_0$  and  $n - (k_a + 1)$  degrees of freedom. If  $M_a$  has an additional coefficient, than  $F = T^2$ . If  $M_0$  has no explanatory variables ( $k_0 = 0$ ,  $R_0^2 = 0$ ,  $SSE_0 = TSS$ ),  $F$ -statistic becomes

$$F = \frac{\frac{R^2}{k}}{\frac{1 - R^2}{n - (k + 1)}} = \frac{\frac{SSM}{k}}{\frac{SSE}{n - (k + 1)}}$$

## 2.6 Residual Diagnostics

### 3 Binary Logistic Regression

Because  $0 \leq Pr(Y_i = 1) = \pi_i \leq 1$ , need to model transformation of  $\pi$ . To expand domain to  $(0, \infty)$ , we use Odds =  $\frac{\pi}{1 - \pi}$ , then take the  $\log_e$ , to expand domain to  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . With iid observations  $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  binary logistic regression (BLR) model is

$$\text{logit} = \log_e\left(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}\right) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}$$

where  $\pi_i = P(Y_i = 1)$ . Underlying distribution is Bernoulli (special case of binomial), with  $E[Y] = \pi$ , and  $Var[Y] = \pi(1 - \pi)$ . Fitted probabilities/odds

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i}\right) &= \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki} \\ \text{Odds} &= \frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i} = e^{\alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}} \\ \pi_i &= \frac{e^{\alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}}}{1 + e^{\alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}}} \end{aligned}$$

## 3.1 $p$ -values and CIs for BLR

BLR coefficients tested for significance using

$$z = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})}$$

$p$ -values from the standard normal distribution ( $t$ -distribution with  $df = \infty$ ). For 2-tailed test with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $z_\alpha \approx 1.96$ . Wald test statistic

$$W = z^2 = \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})}\right)^2$$

is compared to  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $df = 1$ . Confidence intervals in log-odds are calculated by

$$\hat{\beta} \pm z_\alpha \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})$$

and in odds ratios by

$$e^{\hat{\beta} \pm z_\alpha \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\beta})}$$

## 3.2 Likelihood Ratio Test

Likelihood  $L \propto$  to probability of obtaining observed pattern of results in sample if, model were true. Likelihood ratio test compares models for improvements in fit. Consider  $L_0$  of simpler  $M_0$ ,  $L_a$  of complex  $M_a$  with additional  $\beta_k$  ( $M_0$  nested in  $M_a$ ). Likelihood ratio test  $H_0 : \beta_k = 0$ , for as many additional  $\beta_k$ 's as needed. Likelihood ratio test statistic is calculated by:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2 &= \log\left(\frac{L_a}{L_0}\right)^2 = -2\log\left(\frac{L_a}{L_0}\right) \\ &= -2(\log(L_0) - \log(L_a)) \\ &= 2\log(L_a) - 2\log(L_0) \end{aligned}$$

Compare to  $\chi^2$ -distribution with  $df =$  extra parameters in  $M_a$ .

## 3.3 Fit Statistics

MacFadden's Pseudo- $R^2$  given by

$$\frac{-\log L_N - (-\log L_1)}{-\log L_1}$$

where  $L_N$  = likelihood of null (intercept only) model. Interpretation: proportional improvement in fit, **not** explained variance.

Deviance is "distance" between model of interest and "saturated" model with  $n$  parameters.  $L_S$  = likelihood of

saturated model.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Null deviance} \\ \underbrace{2\log(L_S) - 2\log(L_N)}_{\text{Residual deviance}} \text{ with } df = df_S - df_N \\ \underbrace{2\log(L_S) - 2\log(L_1)}_{\text{Residual deviance}} \text{ with } df = df_S - df_1 \end{array}$$

Information criteria (IC), e.g. Akaike's IC (AIC)  $AIC = -2\log L_1 + 2k$ , with  $k$  = number of model parameters, including intercept (and in case of Negative-Binomial underdispersion parameter. Smaller AIC's are better.

## 4 Multinomial Logistic Regression

Odds generalise from something not happening/happening to something happening/something else happening.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Odds}(Y = 1) &= \frac{Pr(Y = 1)}{Pr(Y = 0)} = \frac{Pr(Y = 1)}{1 - Pr(Y = 1)} \\ \text{Odds}_{k'}(k) &= \frac{Pr(Y = k)}{Pr(Y = k')} \end{aligned}$$

The multinomial logistic regression (MLR) model uses this property. Consider  $Y_i, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, \dots, X_{ki} \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $Y_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, C-1\}$  ( $C$  = number of categories). Again  $Y_i$ 's are **iid** from multinomial distribution with probabilities  $\pi_i^{(0)}, \pi_i^{(1)}, \dots, \pi_i^{(C-1)}$ . MLR model is then defined as

$$\log \left( \frac{\pi_i^{(j)}}{\pi_i^{(0)}} \right) = \alpha^{(j)} + \beta_1^{(j)} X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k^{(j)} X_{ki}$$

$\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, C-1\}$ . Interpretation to the reference category are analogous to BLR. For non-reference categories, i.e. from  $j$  to 1,  $e^{\beta^{(j)} - \beta^{(1)}}$ .

$$\log \left( \frac{\pi_i^{(j)}}{\pi_i^{(1)}} \right) = (\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(1)}) + \sum_{l=1}^k (\beta_l^{(j)} - \beta_l^{(1)}) X_{li}$$

for each  $j \in \{2, \dots, C-1\}$ .

### 4.1 Fitted Probabilities

Let

$$L(j) = \log \left( \frac{\pi_i^{(j)}}{\pi_i^{(0)}} \right) = \alpha^{(j)} + \beta_1^{(j)} X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k^{(j)} X_{ki}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(j)} &= P(Y = j) = \frac{e^{L(j)}}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{C-1} e^{L(l)}} \\ \pi^{(0)} &= P(Y = 0) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{C-1} e^{L(l)}} \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2 p-values and CIs

As with BLR, i.e. using  $z$ -statistic for individual coefficients, and likelihood ratio test for multiples coefficients.

### 4.3 Model Assumption

MLR has relies on **independence of irrelevant alternatives** (IIA), implies that presence or absence of alternative has no effect on relative proportion of individuals choosing among remaining alternatives. Unlikely to be sensible in applications of MLR.

## 5 Ordinal Logistic Regression

Consider **iid ordinal** outcome variable  $Y_i$  with  $C$  categories, such that  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\}$ . Then

$$Pr(Y = j) = \pi^{(j)}$$

$\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\}$ , and

$$Pr(Y \leq j) = \gamma^{(j)} = \sum_{l=1}^j \pi^{(l)}$$

$\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, C-1\}$  and

$$Pr(Y \leq C) = \gamma^{(C)} = 1$$

The ordinal logistic regression (OLR) model considers a model for each comparison of all categories below a threshold to all categories above

$$\frac{Pr(Y \leq j)}{Pr(Y > j)} = \frac{\gamma^{(j)}}{1 - \gamma^{(j)}} \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, C-1\}$$

The OLR model with  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  explanatory variables is then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left( \frac{Pr(Y_i \leq j)}{Pr(Y_i > j)} \right) &= \log \left( \frac{\gamma_i^{(j)}}{1 - \gamma_i^{(j)}} \right) \\ &= \alpha^{(j)} - (\beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}) \\ Pr(Y_i \leq j) &= \gamma_i^{(j)} = \frac{e^{\alpha^{(j)} - (\beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki})}}{1 + e^{\alpha^{(j)} - (\beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki})}} \end{aligned}$$

Properties of coefficients are that  $\alpha^{(1)} < \dots < \alpha^{(C-1)}$ , to guarantee that  $\gamma^{(1)} < \dots < \gamma^{(C-1)}$ .  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$  are the same  $\forall j$ . Finally,

$$Pr(Y_i = j) = Pr(Y_i \leq j) - Pr(Y_i \leq j-1) = \gamma^{(j)} - \gamma^{(j-1)}$$

## 5.1 Fitted Probabilities

Fitted probabilities for individual categories  $j$  are

$$P(Y = 1) = \gamma^{(1)} = \frac{e^{\alpha^{(1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}{1 + e^{\alpha^{(1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}$$

$$P(Y = j) = \gamma^{(j)} - \gamma^{(j-1)} = \frac{e^{\alpha^{(j)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}{1 + e^{\alpha^{(j)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}} - \frac{e^{\alpha^{(j-1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}{1 + e^{\alpha^{(j-1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}$$

for  $j \in \{2, \dots, C-1\}$ , and

$$P(Y = C) = 1 - \gamma^{(C-1)} = 1 - \frac{e^{\alpha^{(C-1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}{1 + e^{\alpha^{(C-1)} - (\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k)}}$$

## 5.2 $p$ -values and CIs

Same as with BLR

## 5.3 Proportional Odds Assumption

The OLR model assumes same coefficients  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \forall j$ .

The increase in the odds of going from  $Y \leq j$  to  $Y > j$  associated with a increase in  $X$ , is the same  $\forall j$ .

## 6 Count Regression Models

### 6.1 Poisson Regression

Can use poisson distribution for count data, models probability of observing a number  $y$  of events per unit of observation.

$$p(y|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[y|\lambda] = \lambda$$

$$Var[y|\lambda] = \lambda$$

with  $y \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Poisson regression model is then

$$\log(\lambda_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}$$

$$\lambda_i = e^{\alpha + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k X_{ki}}$$

Assumption that for given  $\mathbb{E}[y] = \lambda$ ,  $Var[y] = \lambda$  too is very strong. When there is overdispersion, Poisson will tend to yield SEs that are too small.

### 6.2 Negative-Binomial Regression

The negative-binomial relaxes this interpretation, allows for overdispersion (not for underdispersion). Following

$$\mathbb{E}[y|\lambda] = \lambda$$

$$Var[y|\lambda] = \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{\theta}$$

Estimates model of similar form as Poisson +  $\theta$ .

### 6.3 $p$ -values and CIs

Same as with BLR.

## 7 Properties of log and e

$$\log(e) = 1$$

$$\log(1) = 0$$

$$\log(x^r) = r \cdot \log(x)$$

$$\log(e^A) = A$$

$$e^{\log(A)} = A$$

$$\log(AB) = \log(A) + \log(B)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) = \log(A) - \log(B)$$

$$e^{AB} = (e^A)^B$$

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$$

$$e^{A-B} = \frac{e^A}{e^B}$$