## Functional Programming – Series 7

In this series of exercises we will write a type checker for expressions.

**Exercise 1.** Given are embedded languages for *expressions* and for *types*:

$$\mathbf{data} \ Type = IntType \\ | FunType \ Type \ Type$$

The meaning of these constructions is as follows:

Define a function

$$typeOf :: Env \rightarrow Expr \rightarrow Type$$

that determines the type of an expression given an *environment*, i.e., given the types of elementary variables and functions, defined as follows:

**type** 
$$Env = [(String, Type)]$$

The environment should contain types for at least the operations +, \*, -, where these operations are indicated as strings "+", etcetera.

*Hint*: Haskell has a function *lookup* (note that the result type is a *Maybe* value):

$$lookup :: Eq \ a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow [(a,b)] \Rightarrow Maybe \ b$$

**Exercise 2.** Extend the embedded languages above with an expression for if-the-else, and adapt the types where approprite. The environment now should contain operations && and  $|\cdot|$  for booleans as well.

**Exercise 3.** Extend the expressions and types with 2-tuples and 3-tuples.

**Exercise 4.** Add lambda expressions to your language, and extend the function *typeOf* for them. A lambda expression contains the types of the formal parameters, in the following form:

where a is a type. Thus, such lambda expressions are slightly different from lambda expressions in Haskell: the formal parameter x is explicitly annotated with its type. Thus, if t is the type of expr, then the type of this lambda term should be

$$Fun Type \ a \ t$$

Note that you'll have to choose an appropriate constructor to represent lambda expressions.

**Remark.** Note that above no *type variables* are requested. That would require *substitution* and *unification*, which is at this moment not requested.