

## Lecture 4: January 24

*Lecturer: Ramesh Sridharan and George Chen**Notes by: William Li*

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

## 4.1 More Regression

If testing  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$ :

- construct 95% CI
- ask if 0 is in the CI
- if 0 not in the CI, then p-value is  $\leq 0.05$

## 4.2 Residual Analysis

Residual for  $i$ th point:  $\hat{y} - y_i$

Intuitively,  $\hat{y} - y_i$  versus  $\hat{y}$  should look like noise if the model is good

$$\hat{\epsilon} = y - \hat{y} \tag{4.1}$$

$$= y - X\hat{\beta} \tag{4.2}$$

$$= y - [X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T]y = (I - H)y \tag{4.3}$$

$$= (I - H)X\beta + (I - H)\epsilon \tag{4.4}$$

$$= (I - H)\epsilon \tag{4.5}$$

Standardized residuals:  $\frac{-\hat{\epsilon}_i}{\sqrt{1-H_{ii}}}$

Standardized residuals will have variance  $\sigma^2$

Model says that the standardized residuals should each have variance  $\sigma^2$

Fact: Under the model,  $\hat{y} - y_i$  is uncorrelated with  $\hat{y}_i$

## 4.3 Outliers

Informally:

Outlier: point far away from the rest of the points

Leverage: How far point is from the rest of the points along the  $x$  axis

Influential Point: point (typically with high leverage) that substantially affects the estimated slope  $\beta_1$

Leverage for  $i$ th point is defined  $H_{ii}$

In 1D case:  $H_{ii} = \frac{x_i^2}{\sum x_j^2}$

### 4.3.1 Influence

Measured using Cook's distance:

For the  $i$ th point:

$$D_i = \frac{1}{p \cdot \text{MSE}} \frac{H_{ii}}{(1-H_{ii})^2} \hat{\epsilon}^2$$

Higher leverage means higher influence ( $\frac{H_{ii}}{(1-H_{ii})^2}$ )

Higher fitting error means higher influence ( $\hat{\epsilon}^2$ )

## 4.4 Robust Regression

Can we be resilient to outliers without manually removing them beforehand?

Recall: We are trying to minimize a cost function:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i \beta)^2$$

$(Y_i - X_i \beta)^2$  (squared "loss")

As the squared loss gets bigger, the loss function will become enormous, so the

Squared loss:  $\rho(r) = r^2$

### 4.4.1 Least absolute deviation (LAD)

$$\rho(r) = |r|$$

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i \beta)$$

Large deviations don't hurt us as much

However, not stable: a change in  $x$  may dramatically impact  $\beta$

### 4.4.2 Huber Loss

Close to the origin: squared loss

Further away: grow linearly

### 4.4.3 Bisquare

When your points are really far away, may not even consider them

### 4.4.4 Probabilistic Interpretations

Different cost functions correspond to different distributions used (for  $\epsilon$ )

$\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  corresponds to squared loss (98% of the probability mass is within 3 standard deviations)

$\epsilon \sim \text{Laplace}$  corresponds to LAD (more probability mass further out)

### 4.4.5 RANSAC

Previous approaches we've talked about so far solve optimization problems

RANSAC (Random Sample Consensus) – widely used in practice

Randomly choose two points, fit a line, find and count inliers

- for iteration  $t=1 \dots T$ :
  - choose random subset of points,  $I_t$  as "inliers"
  - fit model to points  $I_t$
  - find all points that are within some  $\alpha$  of the model and add to  $I_t$
  - (Optional:) Refit model to points  $I_t$
  - Compute score for model
- Choose model with the highest score

## 4.5 Sparse Regression

Imagine  $p$  (the number of predictors) is huge (e.g.  $p \approx 10^6$ )

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + \epsilon$$

Want to figure out some small subset of predictors relevant to predicting  $y$  (want most  $\hat{\beta}_k$ 's to be 0)

Why?

## 4.6 Logistic Regression