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**Abstract**

Quantification of forest structure is necessary for forest inventory, growth prediction, and carbon stock estimates. Since 2018, the ICESat-2 mission has estimated ground and canopy heights in 100-meter data segments across the globe. In this research, we use five years of ICESat-2 ATL08 data to identify canopy height growth in a coastal region of North Carolina, a site selected for its high industrial forest activity. ATL08 canopy height estimations demonstrate strong (R2 = 0.88, RMSE = 2.64 meters) alignment with coincident airborne laser scanning. Because ICESat-2 covers different locations each cycle, equivalence tests were used to show that ICESat-2 coverage locations are equivalent within a margin of 2 meters of canopy height. U.S. Forest Service plots within our study area provide a reference canopy height growth rate of 0.34 meters per year, and a net growth of 1.68 meters over a five-year period. Multiple statistical approaches reveal that canopy height growth is detectable within five years of ATL08 data. However, stratifying growth trends by forest cover type and disturbance history yields nuanced results, as these factors are likely to influence each other. Ultimately, this research aims to serve as a proof-of-concept for using multiple years of spaceborne LiDAR data to identify canopy height growth. Future research should use spaceborne LiDAR to identify growth in other regions of the globe, as solid results could solidify the use of the ATL08 product in global forest growth monitoring.
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**Highlights**

* ICESat-2 ATL08 data are used to identify canopy height growth
* ATL08-derived growth rates align well with reference data
* Forest cover type & disturbance history have complex influence on growth rates
* Recommendations for tracking forest growth with ATL08 data are provided

**Graphical Abstract**

1. **Introduction**

Supporting forest ecosystems is critical to combating climate change as they are the backbone of the land carbon sink, covering ~31% of terrestrial land area and sequestering twice as much carbon as they emit (FAO and UNEP, 2020; Harris and Gibbs, 2021; Psistaki et al., 2024). However, climatic changes can change tree regeneration capacity and result in stress-induced mortality, altering the species composition of forests (Khaine and Woo, 2015). Additionally, forest disturbance regimes are sensitive to climatic changes, with warming temperatures likely to increase the frequency of fire, insect, and pathogen-based disturbances in coming years (Seidl et al., 2017). To effectively assess forest resilience in the face of evolving disturbance regimes, dynamic ecosystem models require information on forest structural diversity over large scales (Mitchell et al., 2023).

Light detection and ranging (LiDAR) has seen growing use in forest remote sensing. LiDAR can penetrate the canopy layer to describe the subcanopy vegetation and topography of a forest ecosystem, which have strong influence on drought response and wildfire susceptibility (Jarron et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2022). LiDAR is also used for estimating aboveground biomass of forest ecosystems—an essential task for quantifying the global carbon budget and upholding international climate emissions agreements—more accurately than optical sensors (Neuenschwander et al., 2023; Zolkos et al., 2013). Commonly, LiDAR data is used to describe the structural complexity of forests to infer ecosystem functions by quantifying traits like canopy cover, foliage height diversity, top rugosity, leaf area index, and leaf area density. This study uses canopy height as the primary forest structural characteristic, as tree height data is fundamental to forest management for estimating stem volume, describing stand health, and modeling forest biomass (Chen et al., 2023; Mielcarek et al., 2020).

Airborne laser scanning (ALS) distributes emitted light along an aircraft’s flight path (Maltamo et al., 2014), often used for research at scales larger than individual forest stands. ALS sensor technology can collect data at hundreds or thousands of points per square meter, overcoming the difficulties of traditional sampling techniques in remote or topographically complex areas (Sumnall et al., 2022; Wilkes et al., 2015; Xiang et al., 2024). The spatial coverage of ALS is invaluable for forest research across ecological gradients, with programs like the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 3D Elevation Program and the National Ecological Observatory Network Airborne Observation Platform providing ALS data for a range of forested environments. While the accuracy of tree height estimations from ALS are dependent upon species composition, aircraft flight height, and scanner pulse density, LiDAR sensors often underestimate tree height due to the unlikely nature of laser pulses returning from the top of a tree (Yu et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2018). Multiple studies have found that tree height can be estimated by ALS to within half a meter for pine species (Andersen et al., 2006; Roberts et al., 2005). ALS data from the 3D Elevation Program (3DEP), used in this study, has been used to create high-resolution canopy height models and accurately predict the dominant height and site index of forests (Oh et al., 2022; Ribas-Costa et al., 2024).

Forest height growth is itself heavily influenced by external factors, and estimating year-over-year height growth with ALS could be subject to non-trivial errors due to ALS point density, the method of tree identification in point cloud data, and time interval between measurements (Guerra-Hernández et al., 2021; Socha et al., 2020). Identifying forest height growth with repeat ALS requires ample time between collections for the growth to exceed the noise of single point-in-time measurements. In a study of spruce, pine, and birch, Hyyppä et al. (2003) found stand-level growth errors primarily below 5 centimeters in a 21-month timeframe. Using the same data, Yu et al. (2004) observed that plot-level growth could be determined within 10-15 centimeters. In a study of temperate, mature red pine, Hopkinson et al. (2008) observed an approximate growth rate of 0.3 meters per year, and that the LiDAR-estimated growth falls below an acceptable uncertainty value of 10% after 3 years. Despite impressive performance in estimating forest height and growth ALS data is not without its shortcomings, with collection costs prohibitive to highly repeat or global coverage (Hancock et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2019). Moreover, differences in ALS acquisition parameters even within the same program, namely point density, can yield variability in forest structural estimation (LaRue et al., 2022).

Estimates of aboveground biomass and density are critical to understanding the impacts of land use change on the global carbon cycle (Dubayah et al., 2022). To overcome limitations present in ALS, spaceborne LiDAR missions have been launched facilitating region-to-global scale ecosystem insights (Coops et al., 2021). These missions exchange repeated measurements of small, specific areas for broad spatial coverage, sampling earth’s surface during continued orbit throughout time. When harmonized with other data sources, spaceborne LiDAR data enables the creation of gridded biomass products at regional and global scales (Dubayah et al., 2022; Neuenschwander et al., 2024).

NASA’s Ice, Cloud, and Land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) mission collected global waveform LiDAR data from 2003 to 2009 with a laser altimeter system to quantify changes in polar icesheet elevation (Markus et al., 2017). However, ICESat-derived data products also saw use in efforts measuring land topography, vegetation canopy heights, and atmospheric composition (Schutz et al., 2005). More recently, NASA launched the Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) mission in late 2018 to directly assess land surface carbon balance and biodiversity using waveform LiDAR (Dubayah et al., 2020). Building on the success of the first ICESat mission, NASA also launched the ICESat-2 mission in September 2018 to continue measurements of ice sheet elevation through improvements in beam design, along-track sampling rate, and footprint diameter (Markus et al., 2017).

The ICESat-2 satellite employs the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System (ATLAS), a photon-counting LiDAR instrument, to sample earth’s surface (Carabajal and Boy, 2020). ATLAS operates at a 532 nm (green) laser wavelength, optimized for maximal photon detection with current technology. Prior to exiting ATLAS, the laser is split into six beams arranged into three beam pairs, with each beam pair containing a strong and weak beam with an energy transmission ratio of 4:1 (Neumann et al., 2019).

For greater coverage of Earth’s surface, beams within a pair are separated by 90 meters and beam pairs are separated by ~3 kilometers (Markus et al., 2017). ATLAS’s photon-counting technology allows reduced laser power requirement, and therefore a smaller payload aboard the ICESat-2 bus (Sun et al., 2020). With a spacecraft velocity of ~7 km/s and a laser frequency of 10 kHz, the ATLAS instrument achieves an along-track sampling interval of 70 centimeters (Klotz et al., 2020). This generates strong overlap between shots to determine terrain slope both along and across the orbital track. In contrast to GEDI mission which collects data only within latitudes +51.6, ICESat-2 provides near-global coverage between 88° N and S (Markus et al., 2017; Pronk et al., 2024). Moreover, ICESat-2’s orbital altitude of ~500 km and 91-day repeat cycle facilitate analyses of seasonal variation for its coverage areas (Wang et al., 2024)

The consistency of surface measurements by the ATLAS instrument is influenced by atmospheric conditions and land cover attributes. Over highly reflective surfaces like land ice, up to 10 signal photons may be returned per laser pulse. Over vegetated areas with lower surface reflectance, the energy and detection ratio of ATLAS may drop to ~2.5:1, with only 0 to 4 signal photons returned per laser pulse (Neuenschwander et al., 2024, 2023). Though primarily designed for ice sheet monitoring, products for ICESat-2 data have been developed for characterizing ocean elevation, inland water height, and terrestrial vegetation. This research employs the ICESat-2 ATL08 data product, which estimates ground and canopy heights in 20-meter and 100-meter segments.

Assessing the performance of ATL08 segments in estimating canopy heights requires comparison against reference data, commonly from airborne laser scanning. While studies generally report an underestimation of canopy height by ATL08 segments, the magnitude of error varies with forest conditions. In a well-managed, primarily coniferous region of southern Finland, Neuenschwander et al. (2020) reported a mean bias of 3.05 m between ATL08 and ALS canopy heights. Malambo & Popescu (2021) found a mean bias of -1.71 m between ATL08 and ALS canopy heights across six biomes of the US, with the strongest agreement in temperate conifer forests (percent bias: -9.3%, percent mean absolute error: 26.2%), the lowest agreement in tropical/subtropical regions with scattered trees (percent bias: -7.2%, percent mean absolute error: 81.8%), and variation between sites within the same biome. In a wider study across 40 sites in the US, A. Liu et al. (2021) reported a mean bias of -0.77 m, and a mean absolute error of 4.33 m. Canopy height estimation errors are generally minimized in a range of ~40 to ~80% canopy over: sparse vegetation has an inherently low probability of generating photon returns to accurately describe canopy height, while in dense canopy cover photons may inadequately sample the underlying terrain, introducing error into the resulting canopy height estimation (Liu et al., 2021; Neuenschwander et al., 2023, 2020). ATL08 data collected at nighttime with the strong beam of the sensor consistently yield the lowest height estimation errors due to reduced atmospheric interference and greater energy output, and use of weak beam data is generally discouraged for canopy height estimation (Chen et al., 2023; Guerra-Hernández et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2021; Neuenschwander et al., 2020; Rai et al., 2024; Yu et al., 2022).

Ultimately, the accuracy of ATL08 canopy height estimation is a function of many factors, including acquisition characteristics (e.g., beam strength, time of collection), terrain variability, forest density, geolocation accuracy, atmospheric noise, local disturbance history, and temporal coincidence to reference data (Fernandez-Diaz et al., 2022; Malambo and Popescu, 2021; Neuenschwander et al., 2023; Rai et al., 2024). These challenges notwithstanding, ICESat-2’s near-global coverage and high geolocation accuracy (<6.5 m) offer a unique advantage over GEDI to track vegetation dynamics throughout time (Luthcke et al., 2021; Neuenschwander and Magruder, 2019). Still, the question remains as to whether spaceborne LiDAR can reliably detect forest height growth when and where other data sources are not available (Li et al., 2022; Malambo and Popescu, 2021).

By leveraging ICESat-2’s strengths and effectively handling limitations present in its data quality, this study uses multiple years of spaceborne LiDAR to assess forest height growth. To that end, we pose the following research questions:

1. To what extent can ICESat-2 ATL08 detect forest height growth over time?
2. How is this ability influenced by forest cover type and disturbance history?
3. **Materials and Methods**
   1. **Study Area**

Hurricane Florence, a slow moving category one hurricane, made landfall in coastal North Carolina on September 14th, 2018 (Callaghan, 2020). Florence brought record-breaking levels of rain, surpassing 30 inches of rain in some regions of North Carolina, resulting in 53 fatalities and an estimated $16-44 billion in damages to public and private infrastructure (Griffin et al., 2020; Paul et al., 2019). In response, the USGS 3DEP, under the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act of 2019 (H.R. 2157), collected high-resolution ALS data to quantify the extent of hurricane damage and support infrastructure recovery efforts (Hinck and Stachyra, 2019). The study area of this research is defined as the collective boundaries of the 3DEP Hurricane Florence ALS projects (Figure 1). The study area comprises ~5.1 million hectares in the Eastern region of North Carolina.

**Figure 1 map**

The study area was selected for several factors. Repeat coverage by ICESat-2 is unlikely at the stand or plantation scale, and the collection of data to validate ATL08 canopy height estimations can be financially cumbersome. However, the chosen study area is large enough to ensure repeat coverage by ICESat-2 even after data quality filters, and contains wall-to-wall coverage of high-resolution ALS data. While topography has noticeable effects on ATL08 canopy height estimation (Liu et al., 2021; Malambo and Popescu, 2021), the study area contains low terrain variability, with an elevation standard deviation of **\_\_\_\_\_ meters and an average slope of \_\_\_\_\_ degrees.** As of 2023, the National Land Cover Database characterizes the study area as predominantly Woody Wetlands **(%)**, Ccultivated Crops **(%)**, and Evergreen Forest **(%).** Finally, the study area falls within the historical natural range of *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine). As such, the regular tree spacing, consistent tree heights, and fast tree growth observed in the region’s forest plantations should facilitate canopy height growth identification in ATL08 data (Baker and Langdon, 1990).

* 1. **Data Products**

This research utilizes ICESat-2 ATL08 data to track canopy height growth over time. Land cover data from the Annual National Land Cover Database was used to isolate forested regions within the study area. The disturbance history of these forested regions was derived from the U.S. Forest Service’s Landscape Change Monitoring System. ALS data from the USGS 3DEP was used to validate ATL08 canopy height estimations, and assess spatial biases in the locations of ICESat-2 coverage. Finally, data from the U.S. Forest Service’s Forest Inventory and Analysis program provided local, repeat plot surveys for determining expected canopy height growth patterns within our study area. Furter details on each of these datasets are provided below.

* + 1. **ALS**

Hurricane Florence ALS data is contained in 11 projects of the USGS 3DEP flown between December 7th, 2019, and February 28th, 2020. All projects utilized the Reigl VQ 1560i or 1560ii instruments to collect data, meeting the Quality Level 1 requirements of the 3DEP LiDAR Base Specification. This guarantees an aggregate nominal pulse density of >8 points per square meter, and an absolute vertical accuracy (RMSE) of <10 centimeters in nonvegetated areas (U.S. Geological Survey, 2025). With this strong vertical accuracy and high point density, we considered this 3DEP ALS data to be adequate in serving as a reference for ATL08 canopy height estimations. USGS 3DEP LiDAR Point Clouds were accessed on **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** , 2025 from m https://registry.opendata.aws/usgs-lidar. A custom Python script was created in Python **\_\_\_** to stream the 3DEP data from the Entwine Point Tiles format during data processing (**Python citation; see code appendix**).

* + 1. **ICESat-2 ATL08**

Photon measurements from the ATLAS instrument are aggregated into multiple data products. The Global Geolocated Photons (ATL03) data product records geolocated heights above the WGS84 ellipsoid for all photons downlinked by ATLAS (Neumann et al., 2023). From the geolocated photon data, the L3A Land and Vegetation Height (ATL08) data product is derived through a Differential, Regressive, and Gaussian Adaptive Nearest Neighbor method. This process filters out background noise and estimates land and surface vegetation heights, labelling individual photons as noise, ground, canopy, or top of canopy (Malambo and Popescu, 2024; Neuenschwander et al., 2020; Neuenschwander and Pitts, 2019). The ATL08 product reports several canopy height parameters for segments, including the mean, median, minimum, and maximum of relative and absolute heights for canopy photons. ATL08’s primary canopy height metric, *h\_canopy*, uses a segment’s 98th percentile relative canopy height to represent the top of canopy height, as true maximum canopy height may include background noise (Neuenschwander and Pitts, 2019). For this study, all available ATL08 Version 6 granules collected within the study area extent between October 14th, 2018 and December 31st, 2024 were downloaded from NASA’s Earthdata Search (https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/search) using the Cygwin command-line interface. This provided 740 granules for further analysis.

* + 1. **National Land Cover Database**

To advance research on land surface characteristics within human-environmental systems, the USGS released the Annual National Land Cover Database (NLCD) Collection 1.1 Science Products. This suite of six data products provides annual land cover classification and land change assessments for the Conterminous U.S. for 1985 to 2024. It is created through a framework that leverages geospatial deep learning, continuous change detection, and probability-based post processing against the historical Landsat data record. Within the collection, the Land Cover data product categorizes the earth’s land surface into 16 broad natural and artificial cover types for each mapping year using a modified Anderson Level II classification system (Anderson et al., 1976). While ATL08 segments include native land cover information in the *segment\_landcover* attribute, it is derived from the Copernicus Land cover data product at a 100-meter resolution (Buchhorn et al., 2020). The NLCD Land Cover dataset was chosen for this research due to its higher spatial resolution, and alignment with historical forest disturbance data from the U.S. Forest Service. NLCD data used in this research was accessed from the Muli-Resolution Land Characteristics Consortium data archive (https://www.mrlc.gov/data). Land Cover for the Conterminous U.S was downloaded for **\_\_\_\_**, provided in a single-band TIFF raster at a 30-meter spatial resolution.

* + 1. **Landscape Change Monitoring System**

The Landscape Change Monitoring System (LCMS) is a suite of remotely sensed data products developed by the U.S. Forest Service to monitor landscape dynamics across the United States. LCMS relies on input spectral imagery from Landsat and Sentinel-2. From these inputs, clouds and cloud shadows are masked, imagery is assembled into an annual time series and temporally segmented, and incorporated with USGS 3DEP terrain data in a random forest model to generate products for vegetation cover Change, Land Cover, and Land Use (Housman et al., 2024).

The vegetation cover Change product is comprised of subproducts that categorize change processes into Fast Loss, Slow Loss, and Gain. Fast loss events indicate an abrupt disturbance to vegetation cover (fire, harvesting, etc), slow loss events indicate gradual structural or spectral decline, and gain indicates vegetation growth or recovery. A summary product is created for each of these processes with pixel values identifying the most recent occurrence of the given change between 1985 and 2023. For this research, the Fast Loss Change summary product for the Conterminous U.S. was downloaded from the LCMS Data Explorer (https://apps.fs.usda.gov/lcms-viewer/).

* + 1. **Forest Inventory & Analysis Program**

The Forest Inventory & Analysis Program is an effort by the U.S. Forest Service Research and Development Branch to monitor the distribution and health of forest resources in all 50 states, U.S. territories, and Freely Associated States ( Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Republic of Palau; Renwick, 2023). A core tenet of the FIA is the Nationwide Forest Inventory (NFI), a network of forest plots that receive repeat measurements every 5-10 years. Depending on the plot, the NFI provides data on land use, soil characteristics, down woody material, understory vegetation, and individual tree height measurements. Though precise NFI plot locations are obscured for privacy concerns, the county of each plot record is specific with Census Bureau FIPS codes—a level of spatial accuracy sufficient for this research (Burrill et al., 2024)

* 1. **Data Processing**
     1. **ICESat-2 ATL08**

Careful handling of all potential sources of error in ATL08 data is necessary to optimize its application for given analyses (Feng et al., 2023; Li et al., 2022, 2020; Rai et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; Xi et al., 2022). First, raw ATL08 granules were processed in a custom Python script to extract metrics related to the vegetation conditions, terrain characteristics, and satellite operation for each 100-meter segment (see appendix for code). Segments were geolocated with the GeoPandas library from the *latitude* and *longitude* attributes (**Geopandas citation**), identifying the center coordinates of signal photons for each segment (Neuenschwander et al., 2023). The segments were then clipped to retain only those collected within the study area.

ATL08 segments were then processed in a custom Python script to apply data quality filters and intersect with land cover characteristics (see appendix for code). ATL08 segments with invalid (3.402e+38) canopy height values were removed. To minimize biases introduced by low-lying vegetation or high noise, canopy heights were filtered to values between 2 meters and a global maximum (Cao et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020; Rai et al., 2024). Following the approach of Malambo & Popescu (2024), the global maximum for canopy heights was calculated with *k \* P,* where *k* is a constant factor of 1.2, and *P* is the 98th percentile of all ATL08 canopy heights in the dataset. For our study area the calculated global maximum was (**global maximum)** meters. This was effective in removing erroneously high canopy heights estimated by the ATL08 algorithm. Further filters selected only segments collected by strong beams at nighttime within the months of May to September to minimize the effect of solar noise and vegetation phenology on canopy height estimation (Neuenschwander et al., 2020). As done by Malambo & Popescu (2024), segments with a multiple scattering warning flag greater than zero or a cloud confidence flag greater than one were removed to mitigate atmospheric interference.

Segment points were intersected with annual NLCD land cover to determine the land cover of each segment’s centroid for the year of 2023. Forested segments were identified by selecting only those with NLCD values of 41 (Deciduous Forest), 42 (Evergreen Forest), 43 (Mixed Forest), or 90 (Woody Wetlands). The segments were intersected with the annual LCMS Fast Loss change product to provide insight into the disturbance history of these forests. For segments with a disturbance event identified by the Fast Loss product, the years since the last disturbance was calculated as the difference between the year of ICESat-2 collection and the most recent disturbance year. For this research, segments with disturbances occurring after the year of ICESat-2 collection were removed, as ATL08 canopy heights may no longer reflect the forest conditions present. Additionally, segments with 3 years or less since the last disturbance event were removed to provide a buffer of forest regrowth and recovery from disturbance.

**Figure 2 map of ATL08 tracks**

* + 1. **Extracting ALS Data Within ATL08 Segments**

For this research it is necessary to extract 2020 ALS data within each individual ATL08 segment. To generate the rectangular polygons of the ATL08 segments, a polygon of 100 x 11 meters was created around the centroid coordinates of each segment, and rotated to align with the ICESat-2 track inclination (see appendix for code; Neuenschwander et al., 2020; Rai et al., 2024). The polygons were reprojected to the Web Mercator projection system (EPSG:3857), which the 3DEP ALS data uses in its native storage format (Hobu, Inc., 2025). These polygons serve as cropping geometries for the ALS data.

ALS data was processed in a custom PDAL pipeline (Figure 3; PDAL Contributors, 2025; see appendix for code). For each ATL08 segment, ALS data was streamed from any 3DEP project intersecting the polygon’s extent. The *filters.smrf* function was used to interpolate the ground surface while minimizing Type I errors (Pingel et al., 2013), which could have yielded inaccurate canopy height estimation. Heights above the interpolated ground surface were calculated with a nearest neighbor approach using the *filters.hag\_nn* function (Ribas-Costa et al., 2024). The normalized point cloud was cropped to the geometry of the respective polygon to match the polygon’s along-track inclination (Figure 4).

**Figure 3 of ALS workflow**

**Figure 4 of ALS crop**

For each ATL08 segment, the normalized ALS point cloud was converted to a Pandas DataFrame to facilitate analyses (The pandas development team, 2025). To match the filters applied to ATL08 data, we selected only non-ground returns with heights above ground between 2 and the previously determined global maximum of **(global maximum)** meters. These were deemed as *vegetation* returns, from which the following metrics were calculated for the return heights: mean, median, minimum, maximum, and the 90th, 95th, 98th, and 99th percentiles. The ratio of vegetation returns to total returns provided an approximation of vegetation density (Neuenschwander et al., 2020). This DataFrame of ALS metrics was joined to the corresponding ATL08 segment using a unique identifier. However, due to the overlapping collection scheme of 3DEP projects some ATL08 segments intersected with multiple ALS datasets, resulting in duplicate segments with vegetation metrics calculated for more than one ALS project (Figure)

**Figure 5 of ALS overlap**

In the instance of duplicate segments, only the segment associated with the ALS project providing the highest number of vegetation returns was retained.

Moreover, the 3DEP project boundaries are generalized. Cropping ATL08 segments to the 3DEP Hurricane Florence projects (the study area) yielded some ATL08 segments with no ALS returns within their bounds. These were also removed from analyses, resulting in a final **(working set*)*** of ATL08 segments. In summary, the working set contains 6 years (2019 - 2024) of ICESat-2 ATL08 segments for which vegetation height metrics were also calculated from 2020 airborne laser scanning within each segment’s geometry.

* + 1. **Retrieving Study Area FIA Data**

A copy of the North Carolina Forest Inventory & Analysis database (FIADB) was created in PostgreSQL using a custom repository that provides scripts for manipulating FIA data in a local environment (Radtke, 2025). North Carolina FIA remeasurement plots were accessed using a custom R script **(see code appendix).** This script selected only remeasurement plots in the FIA evaluation group 372023, corresponding to plots in North Carolina (state code 37) remeasured during 2023. These plots were further subset to select only those from the 40 counties within the study area using county code attributes **(see figure)**.

**Figure 6 of study area counties**

Unique plot sequence numbers were extracted from FIA plots within the study area counties. For these sequence numbers, the plot, condition, and tree tables were extracted from the North Carolina FIADB. These tables were joined into an R dataframe to provide *current* measurements.

The current record of a remeasurement plot was matched to the record of the previous inventory using the previous plot sequence number. For these previous sequence numbers, the sample plot, condition, and tree data records were gathered from the FIADB. These tables were joined into an R dataframe to provide *historical* measurements.

Current and historical measurements were joined by matching state, survey unit, county, plot, subplot, and species-specific individual tree records. This provided a single R dataframe of individual tree growth data on FIA remeasurement plots to establish reference tree height growth.

* 1. **Data Analysis**

We provide further information about the statistical approaches used in this research in Appendix A.

* + 1. **Validating ATL08 Canopy Heights with ALS**

Prior to identifying canopy height growth with ATL08 data, it is necessary to establish a strong relationship between ATL08 canopy heights and those from reference data at a single point in time. Doing so allows us to take the reported ATL08 canopy heights at face value. To do so, we use a linear regression to compare ATL08 canopy heigh estimations against ALS-derived canopy heights within the bounds of each ATL08 segment (section 2.3.2).

To align with the timing of ALS data, ATL08 segments were restricted to only those acquired during the year of 2020, resulting in **(validation set)** segments. Though the ALS data was collected in the winter months of late 2019 to early 2020, this was deemed to provide adequate temporal coincidence with ATL08 data. These segments serve as a *validation set* to assess the accuracy of the ATL08 product in canopy height estimation.

For the validation set, the correlation between ATL08 and ALS canopy height metrics was assessed with a Theil-Sen regression model from the *RobustLinearReg* package in R (Hurtado, 2020; see appendix for code). We compared the 98th percentile ATL08 canopy height variable (*h\_canopy*)to the 98th percentile height of ALS vegetation returns. From this regression, we report the R2and RMSE.

* + 1. **Addressing Sampling Bias of ICESat-2 Data Collection**

Using ICESat-2 or GEDI to track forest cover change over time is challenged by the transect sampling patterns of spaceborne LiDAR (Mulverhill et al., 2022). ICESat-2 intentionally employs off-nadir pointing at mid-latitudes to fill gaps between reference ground tracks and obtain dense coverage of terrestrial vegetation—ideal for characterizing the global carbon budget, but prohibitive to repeat measurement of individual forest stands (Markus et al., 2017; Neuenschwander et al., 2023; Neumann et al., 2019). As such, each year of ATL08 segment data is a spatially distinct ‘sample’ of the study area **(Figure 7)**

**Figure 7 of each year’s segments**

Since spatial differences in forest height between these samples may be misinterpreted as change over time, we address two possible sources of bias. To obtain confidence in individual samples, we verify that each sample represents the population distribution of forests throughout the entire study area. To use the samples together to identify changes over time, we also verify that the footprints of each sample are equivalent with each other. By adequately addressing the bias that may exist within ICESat-2 data collection, we can move across space and throughout time to track canopy height growth with the confidence that trends emerge *despite* the samples are distinct, and not *because* the samples are distinct.

* + - 1. **Sample Representation**

To generate the population of forests within our study area, we used NLCD land cover data to randomly select 50,000 pixels from all forest cover types with a custom Python script **(see code appendix)**

For each selected pixel, we create an ATL08 segment at its center and extract the ALS canopy height within the segment **(see appendix for code).** Altogether, the ALS canopy heights extracted within these 50,000 cells comprise the population of forests across the study area.

To establish individual samples as representative, we compare the ALS canopy heights within the footprints of each sample against the population using a kernel density estimate (KDE) plot (**see appendix for code)**. Separate KDE functions were created for each sample. If each sample’s distribution of ALS canopy heights is in agreement with the distribution of the overall population, we can proceed with confidence that ICESat-2 data collection yields representative samples of our study area.

* + - 1. **Sample Equivalence**

To establish the samples as equivalent, we compare the distribution of ALS canopy heights in their footprints against *each other* rather than against the population. We perform equivalence testing with a bootstrapped two one-sided tests technique from the TOSTER package in R (Caldwell, 2022; Lakens, 2017), which is robust to possible violations of the standard t-test (Caldwell, 2025; see appendix for code).   
 For these two one-sided tests, the equivalence margin corresponds to the difference (in meters) of canopy height between the footprints of samples. These tests were performed at equivalence margin values of 2, 1.5, 1, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.1 meters, spanning a range of interpretations for ‘equivalence’ in canopy height. The equivalence tests employ a Bonferroni-corrected significance level of α = *0.05 / 15* or *0.0033.*

Ultimately these tests aim not to demonstrate perfect equivalence among samples, but to identify samples with noticeable divergence from the rest. Samples displaying noticeable divergence in equivalence testing are removed from temporal analyses, under the assumption of spatial difference in forest conditions that would confound detecting change over time.

* + 1. **NFI-Derived Tree Height Growth**

Data from NFI remeasurement plots are used to determine expected tree height growth within the study area. For this, *actual* *height* measurements from FIA plots are used rather than *total height* measurements, as actual height measurements use the canopy surface which would be sampled by LiDAR scanners, while total height measurements may involve subjective estimation for trees with broken tops (Burrill et al., 2024). Moreover, we used measurements only from live trees and by removing dead trees with the FIA tree status code.

From each tree, we calculate the annual increment and net growth in tree height. Increment represents the annual, year-over-year growth for individual trees calculated as:

Net growth scales this annual increment to match the timeframe of our ATL08 segments, as FIA plots have remeasurement periods ranging from 5-10 years (U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, 2025). Net growth is calculated as:

Records with negative net growth—possibly resulting from errors in the NFI sampling protocol or damage from disturbance events—were removed. Importantly, NFI measurements are collected for individual trees. To align with the spatial scale of ATL08 segments, we aggregate individual tree measurements up to the plot level, and report the median value of increment and net growth across all trees within each plot. Distributions of plot-level increment and net growth are summarized into minimum, first quartile, mean, median, 3rd quartile, and maximum values, and visualized with histograms to provide insight into typical annual and cumulative forest growth patterns in the study area **(see appendix for code).** These metrics from NFI plots serve not as ‘targets’ to hit when tracking growth with ATL08 segments, but additional context.

* + 1. **Assessing Canopy Height Growth with ATL08**

We used multiple statistical approaches to identify canopy height growth in ATL08 segment data across the following three factors:

* **Forest cover type:** Deciduous Forest, Evergreen Forest, Mixed Forest, Woody Wetlands
* **Disturbance presence:** Yes, No
* **Time since disturbance:** <10 Years, 10-20 Years, 20-30 Years, 30+ Years

A Wilcoxon Rank-sum test was used to determine net growth in canopy height by comparing the first and last years of ATL08 segments. This one-sided test uses an alternative hypothesis that the canopy heights in the last year are significantly *greater* than those in the first year, indicative of growth over time. For the Wilcoxon test we report the difference in median canopy height between the first and last year of segments as a proxy for net growth, and the W statistic.

We also used a Theil-Sen regression model to estimate incremental growth in canopy height over time, modeling the response of the ATL08 canopy heights (Y) to the year of collection (X). From this model we report the slope, approximating the year-to-year growth rate and the intercept, representing the baseline canopy height at the start of the data timeframe.

Finally, we used a one-sided Mann-Kendall (MK) test to identify monotonic growth in canopy height over time. The MK test is also one-sided, using an alternative hypothesis that later values are significantly *greater* than earlier values, indicative of growth over time. From the MK test results we report the Z and Tau statistics.

Due to the large sample size in our ATL08 segment dataset, all statistical tests were run with a bootstrapping technique **(see Appendix for code)**. Each test was run for 10,000 iterations on an independent sample of 500 observations taken with replacement. This accommodates the unequal sample sizes between years of ATL08 data, and ensures that any ATL08 segment is an independent pull from the dataset. Using 10,000 iterations stabilized the variability inherent in bootstrapping techniques. The results reported from these tests are the median values of the 10,000 iterations.
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