super_q	sub_q	value	label	freq	total_pct	valid_pct	valid_cum_p	total
Access/Setting/Overall_Experience	Accessibility_of_platforms/materials	5	Excellent	20	58.8	58.8	58.8	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	79.4	34
		3	Average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Accessing_the_webinar_(e.g.,_purchasing/registering,_logging_in)	5	Excellent	24	70.6	70.6	70.6	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	91.2	34
		3	Average	3	8.8	8.8	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Clarity_and_timeliness_of_information/materials_received_(e.g.,_emails_from_WPS,_course_materials)	5	Excellent	20	58.8	58.8	58.8	34
		4	Above average	8	23.5	23.5	82.4	34
		3	Average	6	17.6	17.6	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Overall_educational_experience	5	Excellent	22	64.7	64.7	64.7	34
		4	Above average	9	26.5	26.5	91.2	34
		3	Average	3	8.8	8.8	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Technical_quality_(e.g.,_audio/visual_experience)	5	Excellent	22	64.7	64.7	64.7	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	85.3	34
		3	Average	5	14.7	14.7	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
Learning_Objectives	Demonstrate_a_basic_understanding_of_how_to_apply_ADOS-2_codes	5	Strongly agree	18	52.9	52.9	52.9	34
		4	Agree	12	35.3	35.3	88.2	34
		3	Neutral	4	11.8	11.8	100	34
		2	Disagree	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	100	34
	Demonstrate_a_basic_understanding_of_how_to_score_ADOS-2_algorithms	5	Strongly agree	20	58.8	58.8	58.8	34
		4	Agree	11	32.4	32.4	91.2	34
		3	Neutral	3	8.8	8.8	100	34
		2	Disagree	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	100	34
	Explain_issues_in_the_clinical_application_of_ADOS-2_results	5	Strongly agree	16	47.1	47.1	47.1	34
		4	Agree	14	41.2	41.2	88.2	34
		3	Neutral	3	8.8	8.8	97.1	34
		2	Disagree	1	2.9	2.9	100	34
		1	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	100	34
	Identify_administration_procedures_for_the_ADOS-2	5	Strongly agree	18	52.9	52.9	52.9	34
		4	Agree	13	38.2	38.2	91.2	34
		3	Neutral	3	8.8	8.8	100	34
		2	Disagree	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	100	34
	Identify_the_basic_psychometric_support_for_the_ADOS-2	5	Strongly agree	15	44.1	44.1	44.1	34
		4	Agree	15	44.1	44.1	88.2	34
		3	Neutral	4	11.8	11.8	100	34
		2	Disagree	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	100	34
Learning_Self-Evaluation	How_much_did_you_learn_as_a_result_of_this_CE_program?	5	A great deal	19	55.9	55.9	55.9	34
		4	More than average	11	32.4	32.4	88.2	34
		3	Average	4	11.8	11.8	100	34
		2	Less than average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Very little	0	0	0	100	34
Quality_of_Instruction_‚Äì_Course_Features	Demonstrations_(e.g.,_video-based_case_examples)	5	Excellent	22	64.7	64.7	64.7	34
		4	Above average	10	29.4	29.4	94.1	34
		3	Average	2	5.9	5.9	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Interactive_content_(e.g.,_group_discussion_of_administration_and_coding)	5	Excellent	19	55.9	55.9	55.9	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	76.5	34
		3	Average	7	20.6	20.6	97.1	34
		2	Below average	1	2.9	2.9	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Lecture(s)	5	Excellent	21	61.8	61.8	61.8	34

Category	Item	Value	Label	N	%	%	Cum%	Total
		4	Above average	12	35.3	35.3	97.1	34
		3	Average	1	2.9	2.9	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Other_interactive_features_(e.g.,_polling,_chat/Q&A)	5	Excellent	19	55.9	55.9	55.9	34
		4	Above average	8	23.5	23.5	79.4	34
		3	Average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
Quality_of_Instruction_,Äì_Instructor_Ratings_,Äì_So_Hyun_,ÄúSophy,Äù_Kim,_PhD	Clarified_content_in_response_to_questions_from_participants	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	6	17.6	17.6	97.1	34
		3	Average	1	2.9	2.9	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Knowledgeable_in_relevant_content_areas	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Presented_content_consistent_with_the_learning_objectives	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Presented_content_using_methods_appropriate_to_the_content	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Provided_useful_historical_and_current_information_about_autism_spectrum_disorders	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
Quality_of_Instruction_,Äì_Instructor_Ratings_,Äì_Somer_Bishop,_PhD	Clarified_content_in_response_to_questions_from_participants	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Knowledgeable_in_relevant_content_areas	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Presented_content_consistent_with_the_learning_objectives	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Presented_content_using_methods_appropriate_to_the_content	5	Excellent	28	82.4	82.4	82.4	34
		4	Above average	6	17.6	17.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
	Provided_useful_historical_and_current_information_about_autism_spectrum_disorders	5	Excellent	27	79.4	79.4	79.4	34
		4	Above average	7	20.6	20.6	100	34
		3	Average	0	0	0	100	34
		2	Below average	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Poor	0	0	0	100	34
Usefulness_of_Content	How_useful_was_the_content_of_this_CE_program_for_your_practice_or_other_professional_development?	5	Extremely useful	19	55.9	55.9	55.9	34
		4	A good deal useful	15	44.1	44.1	100	34
		3	Somewhat useful	0	0	0	100	34
		2	A little useful	0	0	0	100	34
		1	Not useful	0	0	0	100	34