

# Degree Theory

## 1 Degree theory in finite dimensions

This is adapted from [1] Recall the local inverse thm:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$  function and assume that  $\nabla f(x_0)$  is invertible. Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x_0)$  such that the inverse function  $f^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$  exists and belongs to  $C^1$ .*

Let  $X, Y$  be open, paracompact (separable, all covers admit a locally finite refinement) smooth manifolds of dimensions  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $n \geq k$  respectively. Let  $f$  be a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which is  $C^{n-k+1}$ .

**Definition 1.** *A point  $x_0 \in X$  is a regular point for  $f$  if  $(\nabla f(x_0))$  has maximal rank  $k$ . A point which is not regular is called a critical point. A point  $y \in Y$  is a critical value if the preimage  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  contains a critical point. Otherwise,  $y$  is called a regular value.*

**Theorem 2.** *Sard's theorem: If  $f \in C^{n-k+1}$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  like above, then the set of its critical values has measure zero in  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** “Measure zero” in  $Y$  is well defined in a chart. We only give the proof for  $n = k$ . Enough to prove when  $X$  is a closed cube with sides parallel to the axes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and with side of size  $L$ . We subdivide the cube in small cubes of side  $\frac{L}{N}$ , with sides parallel to the axes. If  $x$  and  $x_0$  belong to the same small cube  $Q$  then

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + (\nabla f(x_0))(x - x_0) + o\left(\frac{L}{N}\right)$$

because the first derivatives of  $f$  are continuous in  $X$ . If the point  $x_0$  is critical for  $f$  then  $\det(\nabla f(x_0)) = 0$ , and therefore the image of  $Q$  lies in a

cylinder of base in a plane of dimension  $n - 1$  and base area  $\leq C \left(\frac{L}{N}\right)^{n-1}$  and height  $o\left(\frac{L}{N}\right)$ . As there are at most  $N^n$  cubes containing critical points, their image under  $f$  is contained in a set whose volume is of the order  $No\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ . This converges to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we recall some notation from differential geometry. If we have an  $n$  form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it is given locally by

$$\mu = f dy$$

where  $dy = dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^n$  is the volume form and  $f$  is a real valued function. The pull back of  $\mu$  under a change of variables  $\phi$  is

$$\phi^*(\mu) = (f \circ \phi)(x) \det J_\phi(x) dx$$

where  $J_\phi$  is the Jacobian of  $\phi$ . By the change of variables formula

$$\int_Y \mu = \text{sgn } J_\phi \int_X \phi^* \mu \quad (1)$$

Let  $X \subset X_0$  where  $X_0$  is a smooth paracompact manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $X$  is an open subset with compact closure  $\bar{X} = X \cup \partial X$  in  $X_0$ . Let  $\phi : \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map which is  $C^1$  in  $X$ , to the smooth  $n$  dimensional paracompact manifold  $Y$ . Let  $y_0 \in Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$ . From the local inverse theorem, the preimage

$$\phi^{-1}(\{y_0\}) = \{x \in \bar{X} \mid \phi(x) = y_0\}$$

is a discrete set (consists of isolated points). Because  $\bar{X}$  is compact, this set is finite.

**Definition 2.** *If  $y_0$  is a regular value of  $\phi$  then*

$$d(y_0) = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{sgn } J_\phi(x_j) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\phi^{-1}(\{y_0\}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$$

We say that a coordinate patch  $\Omega$  of a point  $y_0 \in Y$  is “nice” if there are suitable coordinates  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $g(\Omega)$  is a cube.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mu = f(y)dy$  be a smooth  $n$  form on  $Y$  with support contained in a nice coordinate patch  $\Omega$  of  $y_0 \in Y$ , with  $\Omega \subset Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$  and  $\int_Y \mu = 1$ . Then we set

$$\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = \int_X \phi^* \mu \quad (3)$$

Differential forms of the kind above will be called “admissible”. The fact that  $\deg(\phi, X, y_0)$  is well defined is a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mu = f(y)dy$  be a smooth form on  $Y$  with  $\int_Y \mu = 0$  and with  $\text{supp } \mu$  contained in a nice coordinate patch  $\Omega$ . Then there exists an  $n-1$ -form  $\omega$  whose support is included in  $\Omega$  and such that  $\mu = d\omega$ .

Indeed, given the lemma, if  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are admissible for  $y_0$  and  $\phi$  in  $X$  then, because  $\nu - \mu = d\omega$  and because  $\phi^*(\nu - \mu) = \phi^*(d\omega) = d\phi^*\omega$ , the integrals of  $\phi^*\nu$  and  $\phi^*\mu$  are equal by Green’s theorem

$$\int_X d(\phi^*\omega) = 0.$$

**Proof of Lemma 1** Without loss of generality we may assume that the support of  $\mu$  is included in a cube  $Q$ . We must show that we can find  $g_j$  supported in  $Q$  such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j g_j$$

The proof is by induction. If  $n = 1$ , then  $g_1 = \int_{-\infty}^y f(z)dz$  satisfies  $dg_1 = fdy$ . Now suppose the lemma is true in  $n$  dimensions. Let  $y^{n+1} = t$ ,  $(y, t) = (y^1, \dots, y^n, t)$  and set

$$m(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y, t)dt.$$

Now  $\int m(y)dy = 0$ , so, by induction, there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  such that

$$m(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j g_j(y)$$

and  $g_j$  are supported in the projection of the cube. Let  $\tau(t)$  be a smooth function supported on the corresponding side of the cube, with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(t)dt = 1.$$

Consider  $f(y, t) - \tau(t)\mu(y)$ . Because its integral in  $t$  vanishes,

$$g(y, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (f(y, s) - \tau(s)m(y))ds$$

has support in  $Q$  and obeys

$$\partial_t g(y, t) = f(y, t) - \tau(t)m(y).$$

Thus

$$f(y, y^{n+1}) = \partial_{n+1}g(y, y^{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(g_j(y)\tau(y^{n+1}))$$

which finishes the proof.

## 1.1 Properties of the degree

**Proposition 1.** *For  $y_1$  close to  $y_0$ ,*

$$\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = \deg(\phi, X, y_1).$$

**Proof.** Indeed, if  $\mu$  is admissible for  $\phi$  in  $X$  for  $y_0$ , it is also admissible for  $\phi$  in  $X$  for  $y_1$ . Because the degree is an integer, it is locally constant and therefore is constant on connected components of  $Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $y_0$  is a regular point for  $\phi$  then*

$$\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = d(y_0)$$

**Proof.** There are disjoint neighborhoods  $V_j$  of  $x_j$ , the points which comprise  $\phi^{-1}(\{y_0\})$ , such that  $\phi$  is one-to-one on them. Then if  $N = \cap_{j=1}^k \phi(V_j)$ , then  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $y_0$ , and if  $\mu$  is admissible with support in  $N$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\phi, X, y_0) &= \int \phi^* \mu = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{V_j} \phi^* \mu = \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{sgn} J_\phi(x_j) \int_{\phi(V_j)} \mu \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{sgn} J_\phi(x_j) \int_Y \mu = \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{sgn} J_\phi(x_j) = d(y_0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\deg(\phi, X, y_0)$  is an integer equal to  $d(y)$  for any regular value  $y$  belonging to the same connected component of  $Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$  as  $y_0$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Homotopy invariance. Consider a one parameter family of maps  $\phi_t : \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$ , continuous on  $\bar{X} \times [0, 1]$  and with  $\phi_t \in C^1(X)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Assume that  $y_0 \notin \phi_t(\partial X)$  holds for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\deg(\phi_t, X, y_0)$  does not depend on  $t$ .*

**Proof.** We take a small neighborhood of  $y_0$  which avoids the compact set  $\phi(\partial X \times [0, 1])$ . Let  $\mu$  be admissible for all  $\phi_t$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $y_0$  in  $X$ . Then

$$\deg(\phi_t, X, y_0) = \int \phi_t^*(\mu)$$

is continuous and integer valued, so it is constant.

We can generalize this by allowing  $y_0$  to depend continuously on  $t$  and having a relatively open set  $A \subset X \times [0, 1]$  with compact closure. If  $y_t$  does not belong to  $\phi_t((\partial A)_t)$  where  $A_t = \{x \in X; (x, t) \in A\}$  and  $(\partial A)_t = \{x \in X; (x, t) \in \partial A\}$ , then  $\deg(\phi_t, A_t, y_t)$  is constant.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X_i$  be a sequence of disjoint open sets contained in the interior of  $X$ . Let  $y_0 \notin \phi(\bar{X} \setminus \cup_i X_i)$ . Then  $\deg(\phi, X_i, y_0) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $i$ , and*

$$\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = \sum_i \deg(\phi, X_i, y_0).$$

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be an open neighborhood of  $y_0$  not intersecting  $\phi(\bar{X} \setminus \cup_i X_i)$  (because the latter is compact, hence closed). Then we take a regular value  $y \in N$ . The degrees are computed at  $y$ , and  $y$  has a finite number of preimages. A particular case is

**Proposition 5.** *Excision. Let  $K \subset \bar{X}$  be closed. If  $y_0 \notin \phi(K) \cup \phi(\partial X)$  then*

$$\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = \deg(\phi, X \setminus K, y_0).$$

**Proof.** We apply the previous proposition with  $X_1 = X \setminus K$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $X, Y$  be manifolds of dimension  $n$  and  $X', Y'$  of dimension  $m$  and  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\phi' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  be such that the degrees are defined at  $y$  and  $y'$  respectively. Then*

$$\deg(\phi \times \phi', X \times X', (y, y')) = \deg(\phi, X, y) \times \deg(\phi', X', y')$$

**Proof.** If  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are admissible for  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  and  $y$  and  $y'$  then  $\mu \times \mu'$  is admissible for  $\phi \times \phi'$  and  $(y, y')$  at  $X \times X'$  and

$$\int (\phi \times \phi')^*(\mu \times \mu') = \int \phi^* \mu \cdot \int \phi'^* \mu'$$

A few remarks about the degree. First, if the map  $\phi$  is one-to-one and preserving the orientation and if  $y_0 \in \phi(X) \cap (Y \setminus \phi(\partial X))$  then  $\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = 1$ . If  $y_0 \notin \phi(\bar{X})$  then  $\deg(\phi, X, y_0) = 0$ . If  $\partial X = \emptyset$ ,  $X$  is compact and  $Y$  is connected and not compact, then the degree vanishes at any  $y \in Y$ .

Extension to continuous maps. If  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  uniformly in  $\bar{X}$ , then for large enough  $n$ , the degrees  $\deg(\phi_n, X, y_0)$  are independent of  $n$ . Indeed, the property  $y_0 \notin \phi(\partial X)$  implies that there exists a neighborhood  $N$  of  $y_0$  such that  $\phi_n(\partial X) \cap N = \emptyset$  for large enough  $n$ . If  $\text{dist}(\phi_i(\partial X), y_0) \geq \delta > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(1-t)\phi_1(x) + t\phi_2(x) = \phi_1(x) + t\psi(x)$  with  $\psi(x)$  uniformly small on  $\partial X$ , and therefore the homotopy cannot touch  $\partial X$ . Note that the convergence in  $C^0$  does not imply continuity of the degree, but the homotopy invariance does. Note also that the degree depends only on values of  $\phi$  on  $\partial X$ : all continuous extensions of  $\phi$  to the whole  $\bar{X}$  have the same degree. (same proof: if we have two continuous extensions, then the homotopy described above does not touch the boundary).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\phi \in C(\bar{X})$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a connected component of  $Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$  and  $\mu$  a smooth  $n$ -form in  $Y$  with compact support in  $\Omega$  and with  $\int_Y \mu \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\deg(\phi, X, \Omega) = \frac{\int_X \phi^* \mu}{\int_Y \mu}$$

The proof follows by establishing the relation first for measures supported in nice coordinate patches, then using a partition of unity, cross multiplying (using Lemma 1) and summing.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\phi : \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  be continuous. Let  $\Omega_i$  be the connected components of  $Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$  having compact closure in  $Y$ . Then, for  $z \notin \psi \circ \phi(\partial X)$  we have*

$$\deg(\psi \circ \phi, X, z) = \sum_i \deg(\phi, X, \Omega_i) \deg(\psi, \Omega_i, z)$$

and the sum on the right hand side is finite.

**Proof.** WLOG:  $\phi, \psi \in C^1$  and  $z$  is a regular value for both  $\psi \circ \phi$  and for  $\psi$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\psi \circ \phi, X, z) &= \sum_{\psi \circ \phi(x)=z} \text{sign } J_{\psi \circ \phi}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\psi(\phi(x))=z} \text{sign } J_{\psi(\phi(x))} \text{sign } J_{\phi(x)} \\ &= \sum_{\psi(y)=z} \text{sign } J_{\psi(y)} \sum_{\phi(x)=y} \text{sign } J_{\phi}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\psi(y)=z} \text{sign } J_{\psi(y)} \deg(\phi, X, y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $y$  belongs to a connected component of  $Y \setminus \phi(\partial X)$  whose closure is not compact, then  $\deg(\phi, X, y) = 0$  so the sum is restricted to the connected components whose closure is compact. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\psi \circ \phi, X, z) &= \sum_{\psi(y)=z} \text{sign } J_{\psi(y)} \sum_i \deg(\phi, X, \Omega_i) \\ &= \sum_i \deg(\phi, X, \Omega_i) \deg(\psi, \Omega_i, z). \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Applications

Let  $B$  be the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuous and such that  $\phi(x)$  never points opposite to  $x$  on  $\partial B$ , i.e.,*

$$\phi(x) + tx \neq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, x \in \partial B.$$

*Then  $\phi(x) = 0$  has a solution inside  $B$ .*

**Proof.** Indeed  $t\phi(x) + (1-t)x$  does not vanish for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \partial B$ . Therefore  $\deg(\phi, B, 0) = 1$ .

Note that the same result holds for  $-\phi$ , i.e. if  $\phi(x)$  never points in the same direction as  $x$  on  $\partial B$ . In particular, if  $(\phi(x), x) \leq 0$  on  $\partial B$  then  $\phi$  has a fixed point in  $B$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuous and satisfy*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\phi(x), x)}{|x|} = \infty.$$

*Then  $\phi$  is onto.*

Indeed, because  $\phi(x) - y$  still satisfies the assumption, it is enough to prove that  $\exists x, \phi(x) = 0$ . But because  $(\phi(x), x) \geq 0$  for  $|x| \geq R$  we see that, if  $\phi(x) \neq 0$  on  $|x| = R$ , we obtain a function which never points in the opposite direction of  $\phi(x)$  on  $|x| = R$ , and we may use Proposition 7.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $F : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous and  $F(\partial B) \subset B$  then  $F$  has a fixed point.*

**Proof.** Assume that there is no fixed point on the boundary. Let  $\phi = x - F(x)$ . Then,  $0 = \phi(x) + tx = (1+t)x - F(x)$  is impossible for  $x \in \partial X$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . (If  $t > 0$  this would send  $F(x)$  outside  $B$ .) We apply Prop 7.

A variant of Brouwer's fixed point:

**Theorem 6.** (*Brouwer fixed point*) *A continuous map  $f$  from a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself has a fixed point.*

**Proof.** We first prove the result in the case when  $K$  is the closure of an open bounded convex set  $\Omega$ . In that case, WLOG 0 is in the interior of the open set. We consider  $\phi(x) = x - f(x)$ . If we assume that  $0 \notin \phi(\partial\Omega)$  then  $0 \notin \phi_t(\partial\Omega)$  where  $\phi_t(x) = x - tf(x)$ . Indeed, if  $x = tf(x)$  for  $0 \leq t < 1$  and  $x \in \partial\Omega$  then  $tf(x)$  is on one hand in  $\partial\Omega$  and on the other hand  $(1-t)0 + tf(x) \in \Omega$  for  $t < 1$  because 0 is in the interior and  $f(x) \in K$ . This is easily seen by taking a tiny ball  $B_r$  around zero so that its dilate by  $\frac{1}{t}$  is still included in  $\Omega$ . That produces  $tf(x) + z \in K$  for  $|z| < r$ . We conclude by degree theory  $\phi$  has a zero in  $\Omega$ .

The general case is done by considering convolution with a mollifier  $\phi_\epsilon$ . The function  $f_\epsilon = \mathbf{1}_K f * \phi_\epsilon$  is supported in  $K_\epsilon = \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, K) \leq \epsilon\}$  which is the closure of the open bounded convex set  $\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, K) < \epsilon\}$ , and  $f_\epsilon$  maps  $K_\epsilon$  to itself. A convergent subsequence of fixed points of  $f_\epsilon$  converges as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  to a fixed point of  $f$  in  $K$ .

**Theorem 7.** *There is no continuous function  $f : B \rightarrow \partial B$  so that  $f|_{\partial B} = I$*

Indeed, if there were such a function, then  $f_t(x) = (1-t)f(x) + tx$  would be a homotopy to  $I$  such that  $0 \notin f_t(\partial B)$ . Therefore  $\deg(f, B, 0) = 1$ , but that is impossible because  $0 \notin f(B)$ , so  $\deg(f, B, 0) = 0$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Borsuk's Theorem. Let  $X$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  symmetric about the origin and such that  $0 \in X$ . Let  $\psi : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be continuous and odd ( $\psi(-x) = -\psi(x)$ ). Then the  $\deg(\psi, X, 0)$  is odd.*

Proof in [1].

The next result is needed for the Leray-Schauder degree.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a direct sum  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  with  $n = n_1 + n_2$  so that any  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a unique decomposition  $x = x_1 + x_2$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We consider a map of the form  $f = x + \phi(x)$ , with  $\phi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ . Suppose that  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $y \notin f(\partial\Omega)$ . Then*

$$\deg(f, \Omega, y) = \deg(f|_{\Omega_1}, \Omega_1, y)$$

where  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ .



**Proof.** We may assume that  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $y = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ . Let  $\psi_j(x_j)$  be smooth compactly supported functions in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_j}$  supported near the origin for  $j = 1, 2$  and with normalized integrals  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_j}} \psi_j(x_j) dx_j = 1$ . Then

$$\deg(f, \Omega, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(x_2)dx).$$

Now  $J_f(x) = \det(I + \nabla_{x_1}\phi(x_1 + x_2))$  so that

$$\deg(f, \Omega, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \psi_1(x_1 + \phi(x_1))\psi_2(x_2) \det(I + \nabla_{x_1}\phi(x_1 + x_2)) dx_1 dx_2$$

We replace  $\psi_2$  by a sequence of functions tending to the delta function, without changing the equality. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(f, \Omega, 0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \psi_1(x_1 + \phi(x_1)) \det(I + \nabla_{x_1}\phi(x_1)) dx_1 \\ &= \deg(f|_{\Omega_1}, \Omega_1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] L. Nirenberg, Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, CIMS, 1973-1974.

# Degree in Infinite Dimensions

## 1 Schauder fixed point

Warning: Brouwer's Thm is false in infinite dimensions. Example:  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ , with unit closed ball  $B$ . Then

$$f : B \rightarrow \partial B, \quad f(x) = (\|x\|^2 - 1, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

is continuous, and if it had a fixed point, the fixed point equations would be  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} = x_n$ , so the fixed point would be 0, but it had to have norm equal to 1.

**Definition 1.** A continuous function  $F : S \subset X \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a Banach space, is compact if it maps bounded closed sets to relatively compact sets (sets whose closure is compact)

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  where  $S$  is closed and bounded in the Banach space  $X$ . Then  $f$  is compact iff it is a uniform limit of continuous finite range maps.

**Proof.** If  $f$  is compact then  $K = \overline{f(S)}$  is compact. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $x_1 \dots x_{j(\epsilon)} \in K$  such that the balls  $B_i$  of centers  $x_i$  and radii  $\epsilon$  cover  $K$ . Let  $\psi_i$  be a partition of unity for  $K$  subordinated to the cover, i.e  $\psi_i \geq 0$  is supported in  $B_i$  and  $\sum_i \psi_i = 1$  on  $K$ . Let

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{j(\epsilon)} \psi_i(f(x)) x_i$$

Then  $f_\epsilon(x)$  belongs to the convex hull of  $x_i$  and

$$\|f(x) - f_\epsilon(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j(\epsilon)} \psi_i(f(x)) \|f(x) - x_i\| \leq \epsilon$$

The argument in the other direction is an exercise.

**Theorem 2.** (*Schauder fixed point*). *Let  $S$  be a closed, convex, bounded subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and let  $f : S \rightarrow S$  be a compact map. Then  $f$  has a fixed point.*

**Proof.** Consider  $f_\epsilon(x)$  defined above, and let  $X_\epsilon$  be the finite dimensional linear space spanned by  $x_i, i = 1, \dots, j(\epsilon)$ . Since  $S$  is convex and  $f_\epsilon(S)$  is contained in the convex hull of  $f(S)$  we have  $f_\epsilon : S \rightarrow S \cap X_\epsilon$ . Therefore  $f_\epsilon$  maps the closed bounded set  $S \cap X_\epsilon$  to itself. This is a subset of  $X_\epsilon$  so we may apply the finite dimensional Brouwer fixed point theorem, and find  $x_\epsilon \in X_\epsilon \cap S$  such that  $x_\epsilon = f_\epsilon(x_\epsilon)$ . Now  $f_\epsilon(x_\epsilon)$  has a convergent subsequence by the relative compactness of  $f(S)$ . Passing to the limit and using  $x_\epsilon - f(x_\epsilon) = f_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) - f(x_\epsilon)$ , we finish the proof.

## 2 Leray-Schauder Degree

If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\phi = I - K$  where  $K : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  is a compact transformation, then the image under  $\phi(S)$  of a closed bounded set is closed. Indeed, if  $y_n = \phi(x_n)$  with  $x_n \in S$  converges to  $y \in X$  then, because  $S$  is bounded and  $K$  is compact we may extract a subsequence, relabeled  $x_n$ , such that  $Kx_n \rightarrow z$ , and then  $x_n = \phi(x_n) + Kx_n$  converges to  $x = y + Kz$ . By continuity,  $y = x - Kz$ .

If  $y_0 \notin \phi(\partial\Omega)$ , then it is at positive distance  $\delta$  from  $\partial\Omega$ . We take an  $\epsilon$ -approximation  $K_\epsilon$  of  $K$  with range in  $X_\epsilon$ , a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  such that  $y_0 \in X_\epsilon$ . If  $\epsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$  then  $y_0 \notin \phi_\epsilon(\partial\Omega)$  where  $\phi_\epsilon = I - K_\epsilon$ . We consider

$$\phi_{\epsilon|X_\epsilon \cap \bar{\Omega}} : X_\epsilon \cap \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X_\epsilon$$

**Definition 2.**

$$\deg(\phi, \Omega, y_0) = \deg\left(\phi_{\epsilon|X_\epsilon \cap \bar{\Omega}}, \Omega \cap X_\epsilon, y_0\right)$$

This is well defined by the last proposition in the chapter on finite dimensional degree. That means that we may change the finite dimensional space  $X_\epsilon$ , and we may also change the finite range approximation  $K_\epsilon$ . This follows by first placing both approximation ranges in a common (larger) finite dimensional space, and the using homotopy.

We note that if  $y_0 \notin \phi(\bar{\Omega})$  then  $\deg(\phi, \Omega, y_0) = 0$ . All results in the chapter on finite dimensional degree are valid. In particular  $\deg(\phi, \Omega, y_0)$

depends only on the homotopy class of  $\phi : \partial\Omega \rightarrow X \setminus \{y_0\}$ , where the homotopy is of the form  $\phi_t = I - K_t$ , with  $K_t$  continuous in  $t \in [0, 1]$  and compact for each  $t$ . In particular, the image of an open set under a one-to-one map  $\phi = I - K$  is open.

### 3 First elementary applications

First, an application of Schauder's fixed point theorem. Let  $K(s, t)$  be a continuous function and let

$$Ku(s) = \int_0^1 K(s, t)f(t, u(t))dt$$

where  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and bounded. Taking  $X = C([0, 1])$  we have that  $K$  is a compact map on any ball  $\|u\| \leq R$ . By the Schauder fixed point, there exists  $u$  continuous, such that

$$u(s) = Ku(s).$$

Indeed we want to find  $R$  such that  $K$  maps the ball of radius  $R$  into itself. Now, let  $M = \sup |f|$  and  $L = \sup |K|$ . The range of  $K$  obeys  $\|Ku\| \leq ML$ , so that if we take  $R \geq ML$  we are done.

We recall from functional analysis that if  $K$  is a *linear* compact operator then  $I - K$  is Fredholm of index zero. That is, range is closed, of finite codimension, kernel is finite dimensional, and

$$\dim \ker(I - K) = \text{codim Range}(I - K).$$

We recall here also  $P(x, D)$  linear elliptic operators in Sobolev spaces and Hölder spaces, and embedding theorems.

Now an application involving elliptic operators. Let  $P = P(x, \partial)$  be an elliptic operator of order  $m$

$$P(x, D)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u$$

with principal symbol

$$p_m(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$$

that does not vanish for  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . We consider boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$  that are good:  $Bu = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  imply that the  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  is a Fredholm operator (kernel finite dimensional, closed range with finite dimensional codimension). In many cases the index of  $P$  is zero, i.e. the dimension of the kernel equals the dimension of the coimage. Examples are the Laplacian with Neumann or Dirichlet BC.

Now we consider a sublinear function  $g(x, \partial^\alpha u)$  with  $|\alpha| \leq m - 1$ , satisfying

$$|g(x, \partial^\alpha u)| \leq C(1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial^\alpha u|)^r$$

with  $r < 1$ , uniformly for  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and arbitrary entries  $\partial^\alpha u \in \mathbb{R}^M$  where  $M$  is the number of such things. We consider the equation

$$P(x, D)u = g(x, \partial^\alpha u)$$

with boundary conditions  $Bu = 0$ . We assume that the index of  $P$  is zero and  $P$  is injective. Then there exists a  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  solution. ( Assuming the boundary, and all coefficients are smooth all the way to the boundary).

The idea of the proof is to take  $I - P^{-1}g(x, \partial^\alpha u)$  and apply degree theory. We may choose the space  $X = C^{m-1}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \{Bu = 0\}$ .

The steps of the proof are instructive. First we establish a priori estimates. For example, we can look at  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $p > n$ , and assuming a solution, obtain uniform bounds

$$\|u\|_{m,p} \leq C_{m,p}$$

with constant independent of anything. This comes from  $r < 1$  and ellipticity. We could have had a fully nonlinear equation here (right hand side depending on all  $m$  derivatives). Then we show that this means that solutions have to belong to a fixed ball of  $X$ . This uses Sobolev embedding and  $p > n$  and the fact that the right hand side sees  $m - 1$  derivatives only. Then we take a strictly larger ball  $B \subset X$ . There are no solution on the boundary of this ball. Also, by embeddings,  $K(u) = P^{-1}g(x, \partial^\alpha u)$  is compact (because its range is bounded in the Hölder space  $C^{m-1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ , with  $\gamma = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ ). By homotopy to  $I$  vis  $I - tK$ , the degree  $\deg(I - K, B, 0) = 1$ , and therefore there is a solution. Smoothness follows by bootstrapping.

This was sublinear, but set the stage. Here is a semilinear example that is not trivial: the existence of steady solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with arbitrary forcing in both 2 and 3 dimensions.

The equation

$$Au + B(u, u) = f$$

where  $A$  is the Stokes operator and  $B(u, v) = \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla v)$  has solutions  $u \in V$  for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)^d$  with  $\mathbb{P}f = f$ .

Here  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set with smooth boundary,  $d = 2, 3$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the projector on divergence-free functions in  $L^2$ . We recall notations:  $V$  is the closure of the space of divergence-free  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  vectors in the topology of  $H^1(\Omega)^d$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ . The Stokes operator is  $A = -\mathbb{P}\Delta$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(A) = V \cap H^2(\Omega)^d$ . The function

$$K(u) = A^{-1}B(u, u) : V \rightarrow V$$

is compact. This follows because  $A^{-\frac{3}{4}}B(u, u)$  is continuous

$$\|A^{-\frac{3}{4}}B(u, v)\|_V \leq C\|u\|_V\|v\|_V$$

(see [2]). For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the equation

$$u + tK(u) = tA^{-1}f$$

has no solutions on the boundary of the ball  $B_R = \{u \mid \|u\|_V < R\}$  for  $R > \|A^{-1}f\|_V$ . Indeed, any solution in  $V$  obeys

$$\|u\|_V^2 = t\langle A^{-1}f, u \rangle_V.$$

Therefore,  $\phi(u) = u + K(u) - A^{-1}f$  obeys  $\deg(\phi, B_R, 0) = 1$  and the equation has solution in  $B_R$ .

Finally, for a quasilinear example: Damped and driven Euler equations in 2D.

Consider a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider a time independent force  $F \in H^1(\Omega)$  and a positive constant  $\gamma > 0$ . Then there exist  $H^1(\Omega)$  solutions of the damped Euler equations

$$\gamma u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = F, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0$$

in  $\Omega$  with  $u \cdot n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

The proof starts by adding artificial viscosity, thus producing a semilinear equation. We take the vorticity-stream formulation of the equation,  $\omega = \Delta\psi$ ,  $u = \nabla^\perp\psi$ . The vorticity equation is

$$\gamma\omega + u \cdot \nabla\omega = f$$

with  $f = \nabla^\perp \cdot F$ . This we want to solve in  $L^2$ . We take first  $\nu > 0$  and seek solutions of

$$-\nu \Delta \omega + \gamma \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = f$$

with the artificial boundary condition  $\omega = 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$ . We should think of this as being

$$\nu \Delta^2 \psi + \gamma(-\Delta \psi) + J(\psi, \Delta \psi) = f$$

where  $J(f, g) = \partial_1 f \partial_2 g - \partial_2 f \partial_1 g$  is the Poisson bracket. The boundary conditions are  $\psi = \Delta \psi = 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$ . (These are “good”).

We start by showing there exist solutions at fixed  $\nu$ . Then we pass to the limit as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ . At fixed  $\nu$ .

## References

- [1] L. Nirenberg, Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, CIMS, 1973-1974.
- [2] P. Constantin, C. Foias, Navier-Stokes Equations, U. Chicago Press, 1988.