This page tests justification routines

This is normal text, with a small amount of *emphasised* text. This example only has two typefaces.

This is normal text, with no emphasised code, the next example will be more complicated. This example is just simple text. In the next example I will show some text with emphasis.

This is normal text, with no emphasised code, the next example will be more complicated. This example is just simple text. In the next example I will show some text with emphasis.

is AWAY correctly kerned? Erlang terms `{like, this}` are typeset in *courier*. The red terms are typeset in ZapfChancery-MediumItalic. Then I can set blue terms as well.

This is normal text, with a small amount of `courier` text. This example only has two typefaces.

This is a long narrow box set in Times-Roman. Times-Roman was designed for printing long and narrow newspaper columns. It actually looks pretty horrid if set in wide measures. This is set narrow and tight. The really catastrophic thing about Times-Roman is that is is probably the most commonly used typeface, despite the fact it in manifestly unsuitable for the purpose it is being used for. Using narrow columns you can cram in loads of virtually unreadable data - no body will thank you, apart from environmentalists, who, I suppose will be pleased at the number of trees which are being saved.

This is normal text, set 5 picas wide in 12/14 Times Roman. I even allow some *emphasised term,* set in Times-Italic. The TeX hyphenation algorithm is also implemented. I have also some *cursive text* and an example of an Erlang term. The term `{person, "Joe"}` is an Erlang term. The variable X, was immediately followed by a comma. The justification algorithm does proper *kerning*, which is more than *Microsoft Word* can do. AWAY again is correctly kerned! Erlang terms `{like, this}` are typeset in *courier*.