CS2263

Lab 4

Date: March 14th, 2024

Student:

Name: Will Ross Number: #3734692 Email: will.ross@unb.ca

Due Date: March 18th, 2024

Contents

- Lab 3 Name Studnet ID Course Date
 - Contents
 - Pre Lab
 - Answer
 - Exercise 1
 - Source code
 - Output Screenshot
 - Are the pointer variables incremented between successive print operations?
 - Use the memory addresses printed by your program to calculate the increments used for each pointer variable.
 - tptr (int pointer)
 - cptr (char pointer)
 - dptr (double pointer)
 - Are the increments for different pointers the same? Explain why.
 - Exercise 2
 - Source code
 - Output Screenshot
 - Exercise 3
 - Source code
 - Output Screenshot
 - Exercise 4
 - Compiler command
 - Source code
 - Output Screenshot
 - Diagram of memory locations
 - Are the results (i.e. numerical values) printed from your program different from the results shown in the textbook? Explain why
 - Memory addresses
 - Numeric Changes
 - TextBook Results
 - My Results

Lab 3

Exercise 1

1.1 Run the program ex1.c in the gdb debugger (see Laboratory #2 instructions). Set up the breakpoint at the function dummy_frame(). Run the program until the breakpoint.

```
    gdb ex1
    break dummy_frame
    run
    backtrace
    select-frame 0
    info frame
```

A. The screenshot showing the output form the backtrace bt. How many frames are there on the memory stack?

From the photo we see that we have two frames frame 1 and frame o on the memory stack.

B. The screenshot showing the output info frame 0. What are the frame boundaries of the main function? (Hint: compare the values under "Stack frame at" and "Called by frame at").

```
(gdb) break dummy_frame
Breakpoint 1 at 0x1400014a8
(gdb) run
Starting program: c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\ex1.exe
[New Thread 7352.0x2f3c]
main: a = 1 00000000005ffe80
main: a = 2 00000000005ffe88
main: a = 3 00000000005ffe88
main: a = 4 00000000005ffe88
main: a = 5 00000000005ffe86
main: a = 5 00000000005ffe90

Thread 1 hit Breakpoint 1, 0x00007ff7952a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
(gdb) backtrace
#0 0x00007ff7952a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
#1 0x00007ff7952a1528 in main ()
(gdb) select-frame 0
(gdb) info frame
Stack level 0, frame at 0x5ffe60:
rip = 0x7ff7952a14a8 in dummy_frame; saved rip = 0x7ff7952a1528
called by frame at 0x5ffe50, args:
Locals at 0x5ffe50, previous frame's sp is 0x5ffe60
Saved registers:
rbp at 0x5ffe50, rip at 0x5ffe58
(gdb) []
```

Stack Frame at: 0x5ffe60 Called by Frame at: 0x5ffeb0

C. Are the addresses of array elements falling within the range of the main function frame?

```
#1 0x00007ff7952a1528 in main ()
(gdb) info frame
Stack level 1, frame at 0x5ffeb0:
 rip = 0x7ff7952a1528 in main; saved rip = 0x7ff7952a12ee
 caller of frame at 0x5ffe60
 Arglist at 0x5ffea0, args:
 Locals at 0x5ffea0, Previous frame's sp is 0x5ffeb0
 Saved registers:
 rbp at 0x5ffea0, rip at 0x5ffea8
(gdb) info registers
              0x1e
                                  30
              0x8
              0xffffffff
                                  4294967295
rdx
              0x39
rdi
                                  11028232
              0x5ffea0
                                 0x5ffea0
rbp
              0x5ffe60
rsp
                               140710150727584
              0x7ff9a28ce7a0
              0x0
r10
              0x0
              0x246
                                  582
              0xa84740
                                 11028288
r12
r13
              0x0
                                  0
              0x0
r14
r15
              0x0
              0x7ff7952a1528
                                 0x7ff7952a1528 <main+125>
rip
                                 [ IF ]
51
eflags
              0x202
              0x33
              0x2b
                                  43
ds
              0x2h
                                  43
              0x2b
                                  43
              0x53
              0x2b
(gdb)
```

The addresses 0x5ffe80 to 0x5ffe90 are within the main functions stack as we can see from the screenshot above

1.2 Modify the program ex1.c so that the array a[] is allocated on the heap (use malloc()). Set up the breakpoint at the function dummy_frame(). Run the program until the breakpoint.

A. The source code of the modified program

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void dummy_frame()
{
  return;
int main(int argc, char * * argv)
  int i;
  int size = 5;
  int *a = (int*)malloc(size);
  //fill array with 1 to 5
  for(i = 0; i <= size; i++)</pre>
   a[i] = i + 1;
  }
  dummy_frame();
  for (i=0; i < size; i++){
  printf("a[%d] = %d at address: %p \n", i, a[i], &a[i]);
  }
 free(a);
 return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

B. The screenshot showing the output form the backtrace bt. How many frames are there on the memory stack?

```
c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4>gdb ./ex1
GNU gdb (GDB) 14.1
Copyright (C) 2023 Free Software Foundation, Inc.
License GPLv3+: GNU GPL version 3 or later <a href="http://gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html">http://gnu.org/licenses/gpl.html</a>
This is free software: you are free to change and redistribute it. There is NO WARRANTY, to the extent permitted by law.

Type "show copying" and "show warranty" for details.

This GOB was configured as "x86_64-w64-mingw32".

Type "show configuration" for configuration details.

For hym reporting instructions places can.
For bug reporting instructions, please see:
Find the GDB manual and other documentation resources online at:
For help, type "help".
        "apropos word" to search for commands related to "word"...
Reading symbols from ./ex1...
(gdb) break dummy_frame
Breakpoint 1 at 0x1400014a8
(gdb) run
Starting program: c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\ex1.exe
[New Thread 2536.0x48b0]
Thread 1 hit Breakpoint 1, 0x00007ff73b9a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
(gdb) backtrace
#0 0x00007ff73b9a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
#1 0x00007ff73b9a1511 in main ()
(gdb)
```

From the photo we see that we have two frames frame 1 and frame o on the memory stack.

C. The screenshot showing the output info frame 0. What are the frame boundaries of the main function? (Hint: compare the values under "Stack frame at" and "Called by frame at").

```
Find the GDB manual and other documentation resources online at:
    <http://www.gnu.org/software/gdb/documentation/>.
For help, type "help".
Type "apropos word" to search for commands related to "word"...
Reading symbols from ./ex1...
(gdb) break dummy_frame
Breakpoint 1 at 0x1400014a8
(gdb) run
Starting program: c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\ex1.exe
[New Thread 2536.0x48b0]
Thread 1 hit Breakpoint 1, 0x00007ff73b9a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
(gdb) backtrace
#0 0x00007ff73b9a14a8 in dummy_frame ()
#1 0x00007ff73b9a1511 in main ()
(gdb) select-frame 0
(gdb) info frame
Stack level 0, frame at 0x5ffe70:
rip = 0x7ff73b9a14a8 in dummy_frame; saved rip = 0x7ff73b9a1511
 called by frame at 0x5ffeb0
 Arglist at 0x5ffe60, args:
 Locals at 0x5ffe60, Previous frame's sp is 0x5ffe70
 Saved registers:
rbp at 0x5ffe60, rip at 0x5ffe68
(gdb) []
```

Stack Frame at: 0x5ffe70 Called by Frame at: 0x5ffeb0

D. Are the addresses of array elements falling within the range of the main function frame? Explain why.

```
(gdb) select-frame 1
(gdb) info frame
Stack level 1, frame at 0x5ffeb0:
 rip = 0x7ff73b9a1511 in main; saved rip = 0x7ff73b9a12ee
 caller of frame at 0x5ffe70
 Arglist at 0x5ffea0, args:
 Locals at 0x5ffea0, Previous frame's sp is 0x5ffeb0
 Saved registers:
 rbp at 0x5ffea0, rip at 0x5ffea8
(gdb) info registers
                                   6
rbx
                                   8
               0x7ffe0380
                                   2147353472
rcx
               0x6
                                   6
rdx
               0x39
                                   57
rsi
rdi
               0xa546c8
                                   10831560
               0x5ffea0
                                   0x5ffea0
rbp
               0x5ffe70
                                   0x5ffe70
rsp
               0x5
r8
r9
               0xa547b0
                                   10831792
r10
               0x0
                                   0
               0x5ffa38
r11
                                   6289976
               0xa54700
                                   10831616
r12
r13
               0x0
r14
               0x0
                                   0
r15
               0x0
                                   0
                                   0x7ff73b9a1511 <main+102>
rip
               0x7ff73b9a1511
eflags
               0x202
                                   [ IF ]
               0x33
                                   51
               0x2b
                                   43
               0x2b
                                   43
ds
               0x2b
                                   43
es
fs
               0x53
                                   83
               0x2b
                                   43
gs
(gdb)
```

Exercise 2

The source code of the modified program

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void dummy_frame()
{
    return;
}

int main(int argc, char * * argv)
{

    int i;
    int size = 5;
    int addedSize = 9;
    int *a;
```

```
//call malloc
    a = (int *) malloc(size);
    printf("\nMalloc Values:\n\n");
    //fill array with 1 to 5
   for(i = 0; i <= size; i++)
        a[i] = i + 1;
    }
    //call dummy_frame NOTE as in lab i dont know if we need it for this or not
    dummy_frame();
   //print the original array
    for (i=0; i< size; i++)
        printf("a[%d] = %d at address: %p \n", i, a[i], &a[i]);
    }
    printf("\nRealloc Values:\n\n");
   //called realloc getting the orignal values + our new size
    a = (int *) realloc(a, addedSize);
   //add more values to the array
   for(i = size; i < addedSize; i++){</pre>
        a[i] = i + 1;
    }
   //print all values
   for (i=0; i< addedSize; i++)
        printf("a[%d] = %d at address: %p \n", i, a[i], &a[i]);
    free(a);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

The screenshot showing the output. Are you getting the same addresses for the new extended array? Explain why.

```
c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4>cd "c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\" && gcc ex2.c -o ex2 && "c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\" e x2

Malloc Values:

a[0] = 1 at address: 00000173fc496a60
a[1] = 2 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[2] = 3 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[3] = 4 at address: 00000173fc496a60
a[4] = 5 at address: 00000173fc496a60
a[4] = 2 at address: 00000173fc496a60
a[1] = 2 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[2] = 3 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[3] = 4 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[4] = 5 at address: 00000173fc496a64
a[5] = 6 at address: 00000173fc496a670
a[5] = 6 at address: 00000173fc496a74
a[6] = 7 at address: 00000173fc496a78
a[7] = 8 at address: 00000173fc496a78
a[8] = 9 at address: 00000173fc496a80
c:\Users\willr\Documents\GitHub\Cs2263\Labs\Lab4\]
```

Exercise 3

Remove any calls to free() function (if you had any) form the program form Exercise 2 and then run it again under valgrind. to Show the complete output (program output, plus the valgrind messages). For example:

```
valgrind ./a.out
```

Modify the program from Exercise 3.1 to eliminate the memory leak. Run the program again under valgrind. Show the modified program source code and the complete output (program output, plus the valgrind messages, if any).