

IBS SURVEY - Primary weight in grading falls on parts 1-3	Needs Work	Acceptable	Very Good
OVERALL. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The work is done inductively, using only the text • The work is a solid effort to engage the segment as a whole • The work demonstrates a clear grasp of the survey process overall 			
1. Divide the Segment into Major Blocks/Sub-Blocks. 1.1 Major blocks clearly delineated and identified by chapter/verse 1.2 Divisions reflect the actual content of the segment 1.3 Divisions concisely explained in a manner that justifies this segmentation and gives reasoning You could have had a second tier of divisions that notes the parable then response to parable. Your divisions do a good job of breaking the parable up.			√ √ √
2. Identify and Name Primary Structures, Ask a Full Set of Interpretive Questions. 2.1 Primary structures clearly identified by name 2.2 Descriptions of structures are concise, accurate, clear, and supported by verse references 2.3 Descriptions justify the selection of this structure or combination of structures as <i>primary</i> (involve all of the segment and are important for understanding the segment as a whole) 2.4 A complete set of questions is asked about the structure(s). Questions proceed from identification/definition, to reasons/means, to implications (the right kinds of questions asked in the proper order). Questions are not answered. Yes to recurrence of people being sent. No to comparison and contrast. You don't show what these elements are being compared/contrasted to in your work. Yes to bracketing with causation. You need to review the names of structures, bracketing is not the name, inclusio is. In your work it is unclear what you are claiming justifies the inclusio. Bold or highlight the terms that repeat so it is clear what you see as justifying the bracket. To justify causation thoroughly you should pick through the whole parable and explanation to see each point that caused the Priests to want to arrest him.		√ √ √	√

Student Name:

Module #: 13

Grade: 85

No to interrogation. You cite one verse. You need to show how this holds true for all or most of the segment. You could have lumped this in with causation, Causation w/ Interrogation and Inclusio. Great IBS questions.			
3. Strategic Areas. 3.1 Only a few verses are indicated, and reasoning is given 3.2 Selection of strategic area is supported by relationship to one or more primary structures Great job here.			√ √
4. Additional Observations. 4.1 Observations indicate some depth of engagement with the text Good work here.			√
Summary comments Good paper William.			