# Statistical Considerations in Multilevel Mediation Analysis

#### William Ruth

Collaborators: Rado Ramasy, Rowin Alfaro, Ariel Mundo, Bruno Remillard, Bouchra Nasri






### Outline

- 1) The Problem
- 2) Mediation Analysis
- 3) Causal Inference
- 4) Mixed-Effects Models
- 234) Mixed-Effects Models in Causal Mediation Analysis

# Example

- Goal: Understand adherence to restrictive measures
  - E.g. Lockdowns
  - Both past and future
- Influence of news source
  - How trustworthy?
- Disentangle influence on future from influence on past

# Example




# Example

#### **Terminology**

- Top path: Direct effect
- Center path: Indirect effect
- Combined: Total effect

- Exposure: *X*
- Outcome: Y
- Mediator: M



Separate **Total Effect** of X on Y into

- Direct Effect
- Indirect Effect

Traditionally, use regression

Continuous outcome and mediator:

• 
$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 M + \alpha_2 X + \varepsilon_Y$$

• 
$$M = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon_M$$

**Direct Effect**:  $\alpha_2$ 

• "X in Y"

Indirect Effect:  $\alpha_1 \cdot \beta_1$ 

"M in Y" · "X in M"

**Total Effect**:  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \cdot \beta_1$ 

Popular approach

A bit outdated...

More popular: Causal mediation analysis

Assume that X causes Y

#### Counterfactuals:

- What value would Y take if X were set to a particular level?
- Write  $Y_x$  for the value of Y when X = x
- If  $X \neq x$  then  $Y_x$  is literally a "counterfactual"

#### Example:

- Alice only reads scientific publications and will follow all lockdown mandates
- What if she instead only read Facebook?
- $Y_{Science}(Alice) = follow$
- $Y_{Facebook}(Alice) = \text{follow}$

#### Example:

- Bob also only reads scientific publications and will follow all lockdown mandates, but is more susceptible to being influenced
- $Y_{Science}(Bob) = follow$
- $Y_{Facebook}(Bob) = \text{not follow}$

- We only observe one outcome per individual
- Explore population-level effects by averaging
- Define mediation effects in terms of expected counterfactuals

#### **Total Effect**: $\mathbb{E}(Y_{x'} - Y_x)$

• Effect on outcome when we change exposure from X = x to X = x'

#### Other effects involve dependence on a mediator:

- $Y_{xm}$ : Value of outcome when
  - Exposure (X) is set to x
  - Mediator (M) is set to m
- $M_{\rm x}$ : Value of mediator when
  - Exposure (X) is set to x
- "Nested Counterfactuals":  $Y_{xM_x}$  or  $Y_{xM_{x'}}$

◆ロト ◆ 部 ト ◆ 意 ト

#### Controlled Direct Effect: $\mathbb{E}(Y_{x'm} - Y_{xm})$

Effect of changing exposure with mediator held fixed

### Natural Direct Effect: $\mathbb{E}(Y_{x'M_x} - Y_{xM_x})$

 Effect of changing exposure when we don't interfere with the mediator

### Natural Indirect Effect: $\mathbb{E}(Y_{xM_{x'}} - Y_{xM_x})$

 Effect of changing which exposure value is seen by the mediator while holding fixed which exposure value is seen by the outcome

ペロト ペラト ペラト ペラト マラト ラーツへで William Ruth

#### In our example

- Controlled Direct Effect: Effect of increasing news trustworthiness if the whole population followed guidelines in the past
- Natural Direct Effect: Effect of increasing news trustworthiness independent of any induced change in past compliance
- Natural Indirect Effect: Effect of changing past compliance if everyone only got news from Facebook

We can't measure all required counterfactuals

• E.g.,  $Y_x$  or  $Y_{x'}$ , not both

Expected counterfactuals related to conditional expectations

• Under strong assumptions,  $\mathbb{E} Y_x = \mathbb{E}(Y|X=x)$ 

Nested counterfactuals more complicated

More on this later

How does causality change our analysis?

Still fit regression models, but include interaction terms between exposure and mediator

• 
$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 M + \alpha_2 X + \alpha_3 M \cdot X + \varepsilon_Y$$

• 
$$M = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon_M$$

Direct and indirect effects now depend on the levels of the exposure

# Causal Mediation Analysis – Extensions

Discussion so far has involved continuous mediator and outcome

• What about binary or categorical?

Individuals might also be clustered

• E.g. Within countries

### Causal Mediation Analysis – Extensions

Handling binary variables is pretty straightforward

- Instead of linear regression, use logistic regression
- Re-define mediation effects based on expected counterfactuals
  - I.e. Counterfactual probabilities
- New formulas for relating mediation effects to regression coefficients

Extend to more than 2 categories using binary indicators

### Causal Mediation Analysis – Extensions

Clustered data more complicated

Standard approach is multi-level modelling

• I.e. Add random effects which vary across clusters

Combined with categorical variables:

Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs)

The core idea is to augment our set of covariates

• Coefficients of these new covariates are random variables which vary across groups/clusters

In the linear setting:

- Old model:  $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p X_p + \varepsilon$
- New model:

$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p X_p + \mathbf{u_1 Z_1} + \ldots + \mathbf{u_q Z_q} + \varepsilon$$

The Z's are fixed, known covariates The u's are random variables

Le. Random effects

It's possible for the X's and Z's to overlap

- The coefficient on such a covariate has the form  $\alpha_j + u_k$
- I.e. Mixed effect

Extend to generalized linear models in the usual way

Choose response distribution and link function as for ordinary GLMs

Linear predictor now has a random effects component

#### Why bother?

- E.g. Measured some but not all levels of a categorical variable
- Estimate covariance matrix of random effects
- Test for non-zero variance of each random effect

"Predict" level of random effects for each group

Conditional mean or conditional mode of random effects given response

#### In our example:

- Data collected from 11 different countries
- Explicitly model inter-country variability
- Predict country-specific random effects
- Use country-specific coefficients in formulas for mediation effects
- Test for significant mediation effects within each country

Uncertainty quantification for mixed-effects models can be challenging

#### Strategies include:

- Bootstrap
- Quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo
- $\bullet$   $\delta$ -method

Uncertainty quantification for mixed-effects models can be challenging

#### Strategies include:

- Bootstrap (Rado Ramasy, yesterday)
- Quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo
- $\delta$ -method

Uncertainty quantification for mixed-effects models can be challenging

#### Strategies include:

- Bootstrap
- Quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo
- $\delta$ -method

Recall: Mediation effects defined using nested counterfactuals –  $Y_{xM_{y}}$ 

- Value of Y when X is set to x, and
- M is set to whatever value it would have if X were set to x'

Under strong assumptions, use the "Mediation Formula"

#### Mediation Formula:

$$\mathbb{E}Y_{xM_{x'}} = \mathbb{E}_{M|X=x'}\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x,M)$$

#### Mediation Formula:

$$\mathbb{E}Y_{xM_{x'}} = \mathbb{E}_{M|X=x'}\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x,M)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{1} \mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x,M=m)\mathbb{P}(M=m|X=x')$$

Mediation Formula:

$$\mathbb{E}Y_{xM_{x'}} = \mathbb{E}_{M|X=x'}\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x,M)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{1} \mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x,M=m)\mathbb{P}(M=m|X=x')$$

Logistic regression model makes this (relatively) simple:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x,M=m) = \text{logit}^{-1} \text{(linear predictor)}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(M=m|X=x') = \text{logit}^{-1} \text{(different linear predictor)}$$

Estimating  $\mathbb{E} Y_{xM_{x'}}$  messy, but not hard

Uncertainty quantification based on asymptotic covariance of regression parameters

- Use  $\delta$ -method
- Need derivatives of  $\mathbb{E} Y_{xM_{x'}}$  wrt regression parameters
- Very messy, not particularly hard

Uncertainty quantification for mediation effects similar

• More  $\delta$ -method

Very similar for GLMs and GLMMs

- Latter has more regression parameters
- Use merDeriv package to supplement 1me4

# Putting it All Together

- Define direct, indirect and total effects using counterfactuals
- Estimate these effects across countries using generalized linear mixed models
- Compute standard errors for estimated effects using the  $\delta$ -method

# Acknowledgements

#### Collaborators:

- Rado Ramasy
- Rowin Alfaro
- Ariel Mundo
- Bruno Remillard
- Bouchra Nasri

#### Funding:

Canadian Statistical Sciences Institute

# Thank You