This week's tutorial is about convergence of random variables. With numbers, it's pretty easy to describe convergence: the sequence in converges to 0 as n to because 1 - 01 > 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . With random variables, it's harder to say what convergence means since random variables can take many different values. For example, let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, ...$  be random variables. If we want to be able to say  $X_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we probably want  $X_n$  to take values close to 0 with higher probability as n gets larger. Maybe we want to say that the probability  $X_n$  takes values other than 0 gets small as  $n \to \infty$ . It's probably not too hard to imagine how we might define convergence to a constant. What

But what about convergence to another R.V.? If Y is a new R.V., Y might take infinitely many values. How should we define convergence of the sequence {Xn} to the R.V. Y when the value of Y is random? It turns out that the answer to this question is subtle. In fact, there are many mays to define convergence of R.V.s. In this course, we will focus on two of the most common: convergence in probability and convergence in distribution. Let's start by defining these.

Let K1, K2, ... be a sequence of R.V. rand Y be another R.V. We say that EN3 converges to Y in probability its for any E>0,

p(1/2-1/2) > 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Let #Fn be the CDF of Xn and 6# be the CDF of Y. We say that EXn} converges in distribution to Y if, for every x with p(Y=x)=0,

 $F_{\mu}(\chi) \rightarrow f(\chi)$ 

We write Xn by if {xn} converges to Y in probability, and Xn by if {xn} converges to Y in distribution.

Non that we've got these definitions, let's look at some examples.

e.g. I Let  $X_n \sim Ber(\frac{1}{n})$ . Let  $Y \sim Deg(0)$ . That is, p(Y=0)=1; this is called the degenerate distribution. Then  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} Y$  and  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} Y$ .

talker we start with convergence in probability. Let Ero.

 $p(|X_n-Y|>E) = p(|X_n-O|>E) = p(|X_n=I) = |M_n| \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty /$ Therefore,  $|X_n| \to Y$ .

For convergence in distribution, there is more for us to check. Let Fy be the CDF of X, and 6 be the CDF of Y. Then

 $F_{n}(x) = 0$ =  $P(X_{n} \leq x) = 0$  if x < 0  $\begin{cases} 1-\frac{1}{n} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$ 

 $61(x) = p(Y \le x)$  = 50 if x < 0  $\geq 1 \text{ if } x \ge 1$ 

Next, notice that p(Y=x)=SI if x=0. Therefore, we must check that

 $F_n(x) \rightarrow 6(x)$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . If x < 0 then  $F_n(x) = 0 = 6(x)$  for all a, so  $F_n(x) \rightarrow 6(x)$ . If  $a \neq 0 < x < 1$  then  $F_n(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 = 6(x)$ , so  $F_n(x) \rightarrow 6(x)$ . We have now shown that  $F_n(x) \rightarrow 6(x)$  for all x with f(x) = 0, so  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 6(x)$ .

3

Part of the reason we went to all the trouble of defining these modes of convergence was so that we can discuss convergence of R.V.s to other (non-degenerate)

R.V.s.

R.V.s

be the CDFs of  $X_n$  and Y respectively. Notice that  $\frac{1}{6}$  is to p(Y=x)=0 for all x, so we need  $F_n(x) \to G(x)$  for all x. It's best to approach this by looking at different cases.

Case 1:  $\chi \leq 0$ . Here  $\chi \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for all n, so  $F_n(\chi) = 0 = G(\chi)$ , so  $F_n(\chi) \to G(\chi)$ . Case 2:  $0 < \chi \leq 1$ . Since  $\chi > 0$ , there is man N > 0 such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \chi$  for all  $n > N(e.g. N = \Gamma = 1)$ . This means that, for all n > N,  $F_n(\chi) = \chi - \frac{1}{n}$ . Next, notice that  $\frac{1}{n} < \chi < \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{$ 

Case 3: x > 1. Similarly to Case 2, there is an N'>0 such that  $1 + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all  $n > N' + \frac{1}{n} < x$  for all

We have now shown that  $F_n(x) \rightarrow G(x)$  for all x, so  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ .

(4)

so far, in every example ne have seen, convergence in probability and distribution have occurred simultaneously. At this point, you might be wondering whether they must always occur together. The answer to this turns out to be no. specifically, convergence in distribution can happen without convergence in probability, but not the other may around. Said differently, convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution, but the converse is false.

e.g. ? Where Consider flipping a fair coin. Let Y=1 if the coin is heads and -1 if the coin is tails (the distribution of Y is called Radamacher (1/2)). Let  $X_n=Y$  if n is even and  $X_n=Y$  if n is odd. Then  $X_n \xrightarrow{D} Y$  but  $X_n \xrightarrow{X} Y$ .

First, we will show that  $X_n \not\to Y$ . Let  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  (we only have to show that convergence fails  $p(|X_n-Y|>E)=p(|X_n-Y|>0.5)$ 

= (14-41>0.5) if n is even (1-4-41>0.5) if n is even

= o if n is even

Therefore, the sequence p (1xn->1>E) does not converge to O (in fact, it does not converge to anything), so xn fox.

For convergence in distribution, notice that, regardless of whether n is even or odd, kn takes the values I with equal probability. Therefore, the CDF of kn is Fn(x)=50 if x<-1

Jos if 15x<1

This is also the (PF of Y, so  $F_n(x) = G(x)$  for all x, where G(x) is the (DF of Y. This also means that  $F_n(x) \to G(x)$  for all x, so  $f_n \to Y$ .

The proof that convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution is a bit long and technical, but you can find it in section 4.7 of the textbook Cyou are not required to know this proof).

Let's finish off our discussion of convergence by discussing some more general properties.

Let X1, X2, ... and Y be R.V.s

- -If  $X_n = Y$  and p(Y=a)=1 for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $X_n = Y$ . That is, if Y is a constant (degenerate) and  $\mathbb{R}.V$ ., then convergence in distribution to Y implies convergence in probability to Y. This implication does not have to hold if Y is not constant!
- Assume that the M&F of Y, my (mt)=E(e<sup>ty</sup>), is finite in the interval (-to, to) for some to 20. Assume also that the M&F of each Xn, mxn (t), is also finite in (-to, to).

  If mx(t) -> mx(t) for all te(-to, to) then Xn => 1. This gives us an alternative may to check for convergence in distribution. This property is also used to prove a version of the water tentral Limit Theorem.
- The Central Limit Theorem: Assume that WEX X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,... are iid and that  $V(X_n) = \sigma^2 c \omega$ . Let  $\overline{X}_n = 12^n X_i$ . Then  $\overline{X}_n - V \longrightarrow N(0,1)$  (We haven't defined this exact notation  $\overline{\sigma}/V_n$

yet, but it just means that the left hand side converges to a R.V. with a N(0,1) distribution).

- The Weak Law of Large Numbers: Assume that x1, x2, ... are iid and that E(xn)=M<0.

Let \( \overline{X}\_n = \int \frac{2}{17} \chi\_1 \cdot \chi\_1 \cdot \chi\_1 \cdot \chi\_1.

There is a lot more to say about convergence of random variables, but let's stop here. The application of these ideas to statistics is called asymptotics or large sample theory.

6

The Central Limit Theorem is one of the most important results in all of statistics. One consequence of this is that the mormal distribution shows up a lot. Let's discuss some properties of the normal distribution that will be useful in this course and in the rest of your statistics studies.

Closure Under la Affine Transformations: Let X; ~N(M;, o; ) for i=1,--, n be independent. Let a,,..., an, b ∈ [R. Theren Let Y = b+ = a; X; . Then Y~N(b+= a; M;) = a; o; 2).

Chi-Squared Distribution: Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0,1)$ . Let  $Y = \frac{2}{15}X_1^2$ . The state of the X has a chi-squared distribution with n degrees of freedom. We write  $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$  or  $Y \sim \chi^2_n$ . It can be shown that E(Y) = n and V(Y) = 2n. The density of Y is  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n/2) 2^{n/2}} y^{(n/2)-1} e^{-y/2}$  for y > 0. Notice that this

is equivalent to the Gamma (=,2) distribution (i.e. the chi-squared distribution is just a special case of the Gamma distribution).

Sample Variance: Let Ki,..., Kin i'd N(M, o2). Let TI = 1 2 K; and S=1 2 (K; -X)2.

Then (n-1) s' ~ x'(n-1) and x, s' are independent. Note that if x,..., X, are

not iid normal then neither of these properties are necessarily true.

T Distribution: Let X ~ N(0,1) and Y ~ X'(n) addresses such that X, Y are independent. Let & W = X/Y/n . Then W has a T distribution with n degrees of freedom. We

write W-T(n) or W-Tn. The density of Wis

 $f_{W}(x) = \frac{\int \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{n} \int \left(\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{n}\right)^{-(n+1)/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Further, if WarT(n), then Was N(0,1) as now.

0

FGF Distribution: Let  $X \sim \chi^2(m)$ ,  $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$  such that X, Y are independent.

Let Z= X/m. Then Z has an Fdistribution with m numerator degrees of freedom and

n Jenominator degrees of freedom. We write Z~F(m,n) or Z~Fm,n. The density of Zis

 $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(g) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{m}{n}g\right)^{(m/2)-1} \left(1 + \frac{n}{n}g\right)^{-(m+n)/2} \cdot \frac{m}{n} \quad \text{for } g > 0$ 

We end with a few properties of the Fdistribution.

- 12 Let 2~ F(m,n). Then 1 ~ F(n,m)

- Let Zn ~ F(m,n). Then m Zn -> x2 (m) as n->00

- Let W~T(n). Then W2~F(1,n)