Oct. 21-25

Today, we are talking about expected values. Specifically, how to calculate expected values. There is a simple trick that comes up embarrassingly often in these types of questions. This trick is actually just one of kolmogorov's axioms: P(S)=1, where S is the sample space. This identity looks a bit different in the discrete and continuous cases:

$$\begin{cases}
\chi(x) \, dx = 1 \\
\int \chi(x) \, dx = 1
\end{cases} (I)$$

where fx is the density of #the random variable X. Let's to some examples to see these identities in action.

e.g.1: Bernoulli

Let $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Finding the mean of X is pretty straightforward. $E(X) = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot p(X=X) = 0 \cdot (1-p) + 1 \cdot p = p$

We didn't even need to use (I) because it was so easy to do the sum directly. Now let's try a more involved example.

e.g.2: Binomial $E(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} x \binom{n}{x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x}$

Let X~Bin(n,p). To find the mean of X this time, we will use identity (I).

 $= \underbrace{\chi}_{x!(n-x)!} p^{\chi} (1-p)^{n-\chi}$

 $= \frac{2}{x} \frac{n!}{(x-1)!(n-x)!} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x} \quad \text{Let } y = x-1$

 $=\frac{2^{-1}}{y=0}\frac{n!}{y!(n-y-1)!}p^{y+1}(1-p)^{n-y-1}$

This is the PMF of a Bin(n-1,p) R.V.

= np (1) = np by (I)

=np

e.g. 3: The St. Petersberg Paradox

We like to think of the mean of a R.V. in terms of betting. Specifically, it is that usually considered a 'fair bet' the if you pay E(X) tollars to receive X dollars back, where X is the outcome of the R.V. This earning example shows that this reasoning is not always valid. The intuition for this example is a game where the payout starts at \$1 and at every step you flip a coin. If the result is heads, you win the current payout and stop. If the result is tails, you double the payout and flip again. How much money should you be willing to pay to play this game? Consider a R.V., X, which takes the value 2" with probability 2-x.

The mean of X is $E(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} 2^x (x=2^x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} 2^x \cdot 2^{-x} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} 1 = \infty$.

Therefore, you should be willing to pay any amount of money to play a game that pays the \$2 when X=2 This feels unreasonable however, because it is extremely unlikely that X will take days a large value. For example, p(X>1000) \$ 0.002, or \$ of 1%. So should you were really be willing to pay any amount of money to pay this game? I wouldn't.

Millians Many people have offered solutions to this paradox. I like the one in the book. They point out that you probably couldn't

Win an arbitrarily large amount of money, so in reality your winnings

would have some upper bound, say \$247 (which is more than 1.5 times

the 2018 GDP of the entire world). If the payout would double

more than # times, it stays at \$247. The expected payout now is

$$\sum_{\chi=0}^{47} z^{\chi} \cdot p(\chi=\chi) + \sum_{\chi=48}^{80} 2^{47} p(\chi=\chi)$$

= 49 That is, the fair price to play this game is \$49. Pretty far from them. \$00. Ochtinuous R.V.s

e.g. 4: Uniform

Let X~Unif(a,b) We can find the mean of X without doing anything

tancy.

$$E(x) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\chi(b-u)}{b-u} dx$$

$$= \frac{\chi^{2}}{2(b-u)} |_{\chi=u}$$

$$= \frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{2(b-a)}$$

$$= \frac{b+a}{2}$$

Another tool that is often important for calculating the mean of a continuous R. 4. The next example will use this, as well as praidentity (II).

e.g. 5: Exponential

Let X~ Exp(A). There are different parameterizations of the exponential distribution. We will use the one with Jensity f(x)=he-1x I(xz0).

$$F(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \quad \text{Let } u = x, dv = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} u dv$$

$$= uv \Big|_{0x=0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} v du$$

$$= -x e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_{x=0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= (-0+0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (1) \quad \text{by (II)}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (1) \quad \text{by (II)}$$

R.g. 6: Normal

Let X~N(µ,1). It turns out that showing E(x)=µ takes some work. Let's go through this so you can say you've seen it.

$$E(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-M)^2}{2}\right] dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x-M)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-M)^2}{2}\right] dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-M)}{2}\right] dx$$

$$A$$

$$B$$

We will use different tactics to simplify A&B, so let's do them separately.

$$B = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-M)^{2}}{2}\right] dx$$

$$= M \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-M)^{2}}{2}\right] dx$$

$$= M \cdot I \qquad by (II)$$

A is conger and involves doing integration by substitution. I hate tracking the production when I use this tool, so we are going to do the relevant indefinite integral and evaluate at ±20 as the last step.

$$\int \frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right] dx \quad \text{Let } u = (x-\mu)^2, \, du = 2(x-\mu)dx$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\Pi'}} \exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \frac{1}{2} e^{-u/2} du$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}}\left(-e^{-u/2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right]$$

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x-\mu)}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right] dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right] \left|\frac{\infty}{x=-\infty}\right|$$

Putting this all together,

$$E(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}\right] dx$$

$$= A + B$$

Next week, we will go over these iteas again with variances.