This week's tutorial is optional, so I am going to cover some bonus material that isn't part of the course: multidimensional change of variables (chapter 2.9). Note that, even though this temperate topic isn't part of the course, it is still important. Many interesting statistical problems rely on transforming a collection of random variables. The tactic I will describe for solving this problem is a bit longer than the univariate version, but most of the ideas are similar. Let's start with the temperate idea.

Overall strategy

Let's start with two variables,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . Make Imagine that we want to find the distribution of some function,  $g(t_1, t_2)$ .

Let  $Y_1 = g(X_1, X_2)$ . Outside of some simple scenarios, we have the math turns out to work letter if our transformation we have to define another new variables it started with. This means we have to define another new variable,  $Y_2 := h(X_1, X_2)$ . Our next step is to compute the joint distribution of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . Finally, we only really care about  $Y_1$ , so we sum or integrate on the joint distribution over all values of  $Y_2$ , leaving the marginal distribution of  $Y_1$ .

As with unidimensional change of variables, we handle the discrete and continuous cases separately.

Discrete Random Variables

We will stick to the bivariate case. Handling more than 2 variables is essentially the same. Let X1, K2 be discrete r.V.s with PMF Px,x2. Let 1,=g(x1, x2). We want to find the distribution of Y1. First, we must define a new random variable, Y2=h(K, K2). we have to be careful here though, because the bivariate transformation (Ki, Ke) - (Yi, Yz) must be invertible. In an abuse of notation that (I think) makes this whole business cleaner, let's define functions Y, (x, x,) ma:= Y, and 12 Ch, x2) == 12 (Million i.e. as functions, 1,=9 and 12=h). William Since the transformation (K, K2) - (T, Y2) is invertible, it makes sense to talk about the inverse transformation, (Y1, Y2) -(K1, K2). In the keeping with our abuse of notation, let! call the components of this Einverse transformation K, (T, 1/2) and  $X_{2}(T_{1}, Y_{2})$ .

We went to a lot of trouble to define the inverse transformation (Y1, Y2) to (X1(Y1, Y2), X2(Y1, Y2)), but in practice this step is usually prefty easy. In particular, as long as the formard transformations, Y1(X1, X2) and Y2(X1, X2), are defined with tormulus, we can just solve the matter equation following system of equations for X1 and X2:

 $\begin{aligned}
\gamma_t &= \gamma_t (X_1, \chi_2) \\
\gamma_t &= \gamma_t (X_1, \chi_2)
\end{aligned}$ 

Thursdocker This will probably make more sense when we do un example.

show that Y, ~ Poisson (3), X2 ~ Poisson (5), \*\*AK K, HX, and Y,=X,tx2.

We don't get have everything we need to do this question, but me can work out the transformations.

First, we need to define a new variable. It is often best to use something very simple. Let's go with  $Y_2 = X_2$ . We have Note: we did need to choose  $Y_2$  so that the transformation is make invertible. I used to struggle with this part, but as long as you are able to solve for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in the next step, then your transformation was invertible. Next let's solve the following system of equations for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ :

 $\frac{Y_1 = X_1 + X_2}{Y_2 = X_2} \iff \frac{X_1 = Y_1 - Y_2}{X_2 = Y_2}$ 

You can use more complicated transformations if you mant (e.g. 1=X,-X, has a certain symmetry appeal), but you just end up making more mork for yourself if you to. I always go with the simplest transformation I can think of that is still invertible. Unfortunately, if you set one of your variables to a constant, the water transformation won't be invertible (this is too simple).

Once we have expolved for the functions  $X_1(Y_1,Y_2)$  and  $X_2(Y_1,Y_2)$ , we need to get the joint distribution of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . This morks in basically the same may as the univariate case: plug in  $X_1(Y_1,Y_2)$  and  $X_2(Y_1,Y_2)$  to the joint distribution of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

e.g. I cont.: Now we want the joint distribution of Frank Tz. Remember that X, - Poisson (3), X, - Poisson (5) and X1, X2 are independent. Therefore, the joint distribution of X1, X2 is

$$P_{\kappa_{i}\kappa_{2}}(\chi_{i},\chi_{2}) = \left(\frac{e^{-3}3^{\kappa_{i}}}{\chi_{i}!}\right) \left(\frac{e^{-5}5^{\kappa_{2}}}{\chi_{2}!}\right) = e^{-8}\frac{3^{\kappa_{i}}5^{\kappa_{2}}}{\chi_{i}!\chi_{2}!}$$

of 1, and 12 is

$$P_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}) = e^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{3^{\kappa_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2})}}{[K_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2})]!} \frac{3^{\kappa_{1}-\gamma_{2}}}{[Y_{1}-\gamma_{2})!} \frac{3^{\kappa_{1}-\gamma_{2}}}{[Y_{1}-\gamma_{2})!} \frac{3^{\kappa_{1}-\gamma_{2}}}{[Y_{2}-\gamma_{2})!} \frac{3^{\kappa_{1}-\gamma_{2}}}{[Y_{2}-\gamma$$

This looks like the product of a Poisson and a Binomial PMF. However, we're missing a part: the domain of y, and y. Remember that x, x, zo. Therefore, Y, - 1220 and 1220 or, 1,25,20.

All that's left is to find the marginal distribution of I. We do this by summing the joint distribution of I. Iz over all possible values of Iz. In symbols,

$$P_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_1) = \mathcal{E}_{\gamma_2} P_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$

Then we're done.

e.g. 1 cont. We have the joint distribution of  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$  and we know that  $0 \le Y_2 \le Y_1$ . Let's to the summation.

$$P_{Y_{1}}(y_{1}) = \frac{3!}{3!} P_{Y_{1}Y_{2}}(y_{1}, y_{2})$$

$$= \frac{3!}{3!} \left[ \frac{e^{-8} g^{31}}{y_{1}!} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}} \right) \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{3/2} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{8} \right)^{3/2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^{-8} g^{31}}{y_{1}!} \frac{3!}{3!} \left( \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}} \right) \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{3/2} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{8} \right)^{3/2}$$
This is just the sum exert of the PMF of a Bin  $(y_{1}, 5/8)$  random variable over all of its possible values, so the sum is 1.

This is the PMF of a Poisson (8) r.v., so Y,=x,+x2~ Poisson (8)

In fact, the relationship in example 1 holds in general for messums of independent Poisson r.v.s. That is, if Ki-Poisson (Li), Ki-Poisson (Li) and Killing then Kitkz-Poisson (Litkz). We Veritying this is a good exercise.

I wanted to do the continuous case as well, but this tutorial is already long. I might cover continuous r.v.s if we have another bonus tutorial Cmaybe the week of Rememberance day).