

MATH 5591H HOMEWORK 1

BRENDAN WHITAKER

SECTION 10.1 EXERCISES

8. An element m of the R -module M is called a torsion element if $rm = 0$ for some nonzero element $r \in R$. The set of torsion elements is denoted:

$$\text{Tor}(M) = \{m \in M : rm = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } r \in R\}.$$

- (a) Prove that if R is an integral domain, then $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a submodule of M (called the torsion submodule of M).

Proof. We know $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a subset of M by its definition. We first prove it is an additive subgroup. Let $m \in \text{Tor}(M)$. Then $\exists r \in R, r \neq 0$ s.t. $rm = 0$. Then consider $-m \in M$. From exercise 1 we know $-m = (-1)m$, so we have:

$$r(-m) = r(-1)m = (-1)rm = (-1)0 = 0,$$

since R is commutative. So we have that $-m \in \text{Tor}(M)$ as well, hence we have additive inverses. We check that it has additive closure. Let $m, n \in \text{Tor}(M)$. Then we have $r, s \in R$, neither being zero, s.t. $rm = 0, sn = 0$. Now consider $m + n$. We have:

$$rs(m + n) = rsm + rsn = srm + rsn = s0 + r0 = 0.$$

Since we have no zero divisors, since R is an integral domain, we know $rs \neq 0$, so $m + n \in \text{Tor}(M)$, we have additive closure, and $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a subgroup of M . Now we need only check that it is closed under the left action of R . So let $r \in R$ and $m \in \text{Tor}(M)$. Then consider rm . We assume $r \neq 0$, since otherwise $rm = 0$ which is in our subgroup. And we know $\exists s \in R, s \neq 0$ s.t. $sm = 0$. Now we have $srm = rsm = r0 = 0$, so rm is in $\text{Tor}(M)$. So it's a submodule. \square

- (b) Give an example of a ring R and an R -module M such that $\text{Tor}(M)$ is not a submodule (consider the torsion elements in the R -module R).

So from the previous exercise, we know we must choose some R which is not an integral domain. We consider the torsion elements in the R -module R , which are:

$$\text{Tor}(R) = \{r \in R : sr = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } s \in R\},$$

but these are exactly the right zero divisors of R . We consider the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$, and the module of R over itself. Note that in R , $2 \cdot 3 = 6 = 0$, $4 \cdot 3 = 12 = 0$, and $1, 5$ are not zero divisors, so we have:

$$\text{Tor}(R) = \{0, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

So note that $2, 3 \in \text{Tor}(R)$ and $1 \in R$, but $2 + 1 \cdot 3 = 5 \notin \text{Tor}(R)$, so by the submodule criterion, it is not a submodule.

- (c) If R has zero divisors, show that every nonzero R -module has nonzero torsion elements.

Proof. Suppose R has zero divisors. So $\exists r, s \in R$ nonzero such that $rs = 0$. Now let M be an R -module. We wish to show that $\exists m \in M$ s.t. $m \neq 0, tm = 0$ for some nonzero $t \in R$. Let $n \in M$ s.t. $n \neq 0$. Now consider $sn \in M$ and $r \in R$. Now note that $rsn = 0$ and that r and sn are both nonzero, so sn is a nonzero torsion element. \square

9. If N is a submodule of M , the annihilator of N in R is defined to be:

$$\text{Ann}_R(N) = \{r \in R : rn = 0 \text{ for all } n \in N\}.$$

Prove that the annihilator of N in R is a two-sided ideal of R .

Proof. Let $A = \text{Ann}_R(N)$. We first show that A is an additive subgroup of R . We know it is nonempty since $0 \in A$, and it is a subset of R by construction. Now let $x, y \in A$. Consider $x(-y) = -xy$. Note $-xyn = -x(yn) = -x0 = 0 \forall n \in N$, so by the subgroup criterion, A is a subgroup. Let $r \in R$, $n \in N$, and $a \in A$. Observe:

$$ran = r(an) = r0 = 0,$$

$$arn = a(rn) = 0,$$

since a annihilates n , and N is closed under the action of R , so $rn \in N$, and hence a also annihilates (rn) . Since our n was arbitrary, this holds for all $n \in N$. Thus $ra \in A$ and $ar \in A$, and thus $RA \subseteq A$ and $AR \subseteq A$, so since it's also an additive subgroup, A is a two-sided ideal. \square

10. If I is a right ideal of R , the annihilator of I in M is defined to be:

$$\text{Ann}_M(I) = \{m \in M : am = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I\}.$$

Prove that the annihilator of I in M is a submodule of M .

Proof. Since I is a right ideal, we know $Ir \subseteq I \forall r \in R$. Let $A = \text{Ann}_M(I)$ which we know is nonempty since $0 \in M$ since it is an abelian group, and $a0 = 0 \forall a \in I$. Let $m, n \in A$, let $a \in I$, and let $r \in R$. Observe:

$$a(m + rn) = am + arn = 0 + arn = (ar)n = 0,$$

since $a \in I \Rightarrow ar \in I$ (I is right ideal), hence n annihilates (ar) . Thus $(m + rn) \in A$. Then by the submodule criterion, since this holds for arbitrary $m, n \in A$, $r \in R$, and A is nonempty, we know A is a submodule of M . \square

SECTION 10.2 EXERCISES

9. Let R be a commutative ring. Prove that $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ and M are isomorphic as left R -modules. [Show that each element of $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ is determined by its value on the identity of R .]

Proof. Recall:

$$H = \text{Hom}_R(R, M) = \{\phi : R \rightarrow M\},$$

where R and M are R -modules. Let $\phi \in H$. Recall that from the definition of H , we know:

$$\phi(rs + t) = r\phi(s) + \phi(t),$$

for all $r, s, t \in R$. So note that $\forall r \in R$, we have:

$$\phi(r) = r\phi(1_R),$$

hence ϕ is completely determined by its value on 1_R . Also observe that $\phi(1_R) \in M$, so define a map $\Phi : M \rightarrow H$ by $\Phi(m) = \phi_m$, where we define $\phi_m(1_R) = m$. We prove this map is an R -module isomorphism. We first prove it is an R -module homomorphism. So let $m, n \in M$, then we have:

$$\Phi(m) + \Phi(n) = \phi_m + \phi_n$$

Now we prove surjectivity. So let $\psi \in H$, then $\psi(1_R) = m$ for some $m \in M$, so we know $\psi = \phi_m$. Then note that $\Phi(m) = \phi_m$, so Φ is surjective. \square

SECTION 10.3 EXERCISES

7. Let N be a submodule of M . Prove that if both M/N and N are finitely generated, then so is M .

Proof. Suppose M is not finitely generated. Then we have:

$$M/N = RA,$$

where $A = \{x_1 + N, \dots, x_n + N\}$. And since N is also finitely generated, we know $N = RA_N$, and $M - N$ is not finitely generated. Now we know $x_i \in M - N$ since otherwise we would have $x_i + N = N$. So then since M is not finitely generated, we know $\exists y \in M - N$ s.t. $y \notin R\{x_i\}$, hence $y + N \notin RA = \{(rx_1) + N, \dots, (rx_n) + N\}$, but since $y \in M - N$ we know $y + N \neq N$, hence $y + N \in M/N$. But we said $M/N = RA$, so this is a contradiction, so we must have that M is finitely generated. \square

12. Let R be a commutative ring and let A, B , and M be R -modules. Prove the following isomorphisms of R -modules:

- (a) $\text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$.

Proof. Let $H = \text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M)$, $H_A = \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$, and $H_B = \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$. Let $\Phi : H_A \times H_B \rightarrow H$ be given by $\Phi((\phi, \psi)) = \phi + \psi$, where $\phi \in H_A, \psi \in H_B$. We prove this is an isomorphism of R -modules.

Homomorphism: Observe:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1) + (\phi_2, \psi_2)) &= \Phi((\phi_1 + \phi_2, \psi_1 + \psi_2)) = \phi_1 + \psi_1 + \phi_2 + \psi_2 \\ &= \Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1)) + \Phi((\phi_2, \psi_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In the above expression, the first equality comes from the definition of addition in $H_A \times H_B$. The second and third equalities comes from the definition of Φ . And we also know:

$$\Phi(r(\phi, \psi)) = \Phi((r\phi, r\psi)) = r\phi + r\psi = r(\phi + \psi) = r\Phi((\phi, \psi)),$$

hence Φ preserves mult. by R , by the definition of scalar multiplication on the R -module $H_A \times H_B$, and the definition of Φ .

Surjectivity: Let $\varphi \in H$. Then $\varphi : A \times B \rightarrow M$. So let $\phi \in H_A$ be given by $\phi(a) = \varphi(a, 0)$, and let $\psi \in H_B$ be given by $\psi(b) = \varphi(0, b)$. Then we have: $\Phi((\phi, \psi)) = \varphi$. Then Φ is surjective.

Injectivity: Let $\Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1)) = \phi_1 + \psi_1 = \phi_2 + \psi_2 = \Phi((\phi_2, \psi_2)) \in H_A \times H_B$. Then note that

$$(\phi_1 + \psi_1)(a, 0) = \phi_1(a) = \phi_2(a) = (\phi_2 + \psi_2)(a, 0),$$

and the same holds when we let $a = 0$, and use an arbitrary b value, so we get that $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ as well. Hence Φ is injective. And thus it is an isomorphism. \square

- (b) $\text{Hom}_R(M, A \times B) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \times \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$.

Proof. Let $H = \text{Hom}_R(M, A \times B)$, $H_A = \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$, and $H_B = \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$. Let $\Phi : H_A \times H_B \rightarrow H$ be given by $\Phi((\phi, \psi)) = (\phi, \psi) \in H$, where $\phi \in H_A$, and $\psi \in H_B$. We prove this map is an isomorphism.

Homomorphism: Observe:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1) + (\phi_2, \psi_2)) &= \Phi((\phi_1 + \phi_2, \psi_1 + \psi_2)) = (\phi_1 + \phi_2, \psi_1 + \psi_2) \\ &= (\phi_1, \psi_1) + (\phi_2, \psi_2) = \Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1)) + \Phi((\phi_2, \psi_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The first equality follows from addition in the R -module $H_A \times H_B$, the second comes from the definition of Φ , the third comes from addition in H , and the last again comes from the definition of Φ . And we also know:

$$\Phi(r(\phi, \psi)) = \Phi((r\phi, r\psi)) = (r\phi, r\psi) = r(\phi, \psi) = r\Phi((\phi, \psi)),$$

by the definition of scalar mult. in H , hence since Φ preserves addition and scalar multiplication, we know it is a homomorphism.

Surjectivity: Let $\varphi \in H$, then we know $\varphi : M \rightarrow A \times B$. Then the image of any element of M under φ is a two dimensional vector whose first component lives in A , and whose second component lives in B . So let $\phi : M \rightarrow A$ be given by $\phi(m) = \varphi(m)_1$, the first component of $\varphi(m)$. and let $\psi(m) = \varphi(m)_2$. Then $\Phi((\phi, \psi)) = (\phi, \psi) = \varphi$. Hence Φ is surjective.

Injectivity: Let $\Phi((\phi_1, \psi_1)) = (\phi_1, \psi_1) = (\phi_2, \psi_2) = \Phi((\phi_2, \psi_2))$. Then we must have $\phi_1 = \phi_2$, and $\psi_1 = \psi_2$, since otherwise we do not have equality of these ordered pairs of homs in H . But then we have shown that the arguments of Φ are equal in this case, so Φ must be injective. \square