

Refactoring access control policies for performance improvement

Donia El Kateb
University of Luxembourg
6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi
L-1359 Luxembourg
donia.elkateb@uni.lu

Tejeddine Mouelhi
University of Luxembourg
6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi
L-1359 Luxembourg
tejeddine.mouelhi@uni.lu

Yves Le Traon
University of Luxembourg
6 rue Coudenhove-Kalergi
L-1359 Luxembourg
yves.letraon@uni.lu

JeeHyun Hwang
Dept. of Computer Science,
North Carolina State
University
Raleigh, NC 27695, U.S.A
jhwang4@ncsu.edu

Tao Xie
Dept. of Computer Science,
North Carolina State
University
Raleigh, NC 27695, U.S.A
xie@csc.ncsu.edu

ABSTRACT

Modern access control architectures tend to separate the business logic from access control policy specification for the sake of easing authorization manageability. Thus, request evaluation is processed by a Policy Decision Point (PDP) that encapsulates the access control policy and interacts with the business logic through Policy Enforcement Points (PEPs). Such architectures may engender a performance bottleneck due to the number of rules that have to be evaluated by a single PDP at decision making time. In this paper, we propose to optimize the decision-making process by splitting the PDP into smaller decision points. We conducted studies on XACML (eXtensible Access Control Markup Language) to identify the best PDP splitting configuration. Our evaluation results show that the best splitting criterion is the one that preserves the initial architectural model in terms of interaction between the business logic and the decision engine and enables to reduce the time of request evaluation time by up to 9 times.

Keywords

Performance, Optimization, Access Control Policies, PEP, PDP, XACML

1. INTRODUCTION

Access control policies are derived from an access control model like RBAC, MAC, DAC and OrBAC [8, 9, 10, 12] to define authorization strategies which regulate the actions that users could perform on system resources. In the context of a Policy based software systems, access control architectures are often built with respect to a popular architectural

concept, which separates a Policy Enforcement Point (PEP) from a Policy Decision Point (PDP) [7]. More specifically, the PEP is located inside the application (i.e., business logic of the system) and formulates requests. The PDP encapsulates the policy. The PDP then receives requests from the PEP and returns evaluation responses (e.g., permit or deny) by evaluating these requests against rules in the policy. This architecture enables to facilitate managing access rights in a fine-grained way since it decouples the business logic from the access control decision logic, which can be standardized.

In this architecture, the policy is centralized in one point, the PDP. Centralization of the PDP offers advantages such as facilitating managing and maintaining the policy, which is encapsulated in a single PDP. However, such centralization can be a pitfall for degrading performance since the PDP manages all of access rights to be evaluated by requests issued by PEPs. Moreover, the dynamic behaviour of organization's business, the complexity of its workflow and the continuous growth of its assets may increase the number of rules in the policy [3]. This fact may dramatically degrade the decision-making time, lead to performance bottlenecks and impact service availability.

In this paper, we tackle performance requirements in policy based software systems and propose a mechanism for refactoring access control policies to reduce the request evaluation time. Reasoning about performance requirements in access control systems is intended to enhance the reliability and the efficiency of the overall system and to prevent business losses that can be engendered from slow decision making. In our approach, we consider policy-based software systems, which enforce access control policies specified in the eXtensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML) [2]. XACML is a popularly used XML-based language to specify rules in a policy. A rule specifies which subjects can take which actions on resources in which condition.

We propose an automated approach for optimizing the process of decision making in XACML request evaluation. Our approach includes two facets: (1) performance optimization criteria to split the PDP into smaller decision points, (2)

architectural property preservation to keep an architectural model in which only a single PDP is triggered by a given PEP. The performance optimization facet of the approach relies in transforming the single PDP into smaller PDPs, through an automated refactoring of a global access control policy (i.e., a policy governing all of access rights in the system). This refactoring involves grouping rules in the global policy into several subsets based on splitting criteria. More specifically, we propose a set of splitting criteria to refactor the global policy into smaller policies. A splitting criterion selects and groups the access rules of the overall PDP into specific PDPs. Each criterion-specific PDP encapsulates a sub-policy that represents a set of rules that share a combination of attribute elements (Subject, Action, and/or Resource). Our approach takes as input a splitting criterion and an original global policy, and returns a set of corresponding sub-policies. Given a set of requests, we then compare evaluation time of the requests against the original policy and a set of sub-policies based on the proposed splitting criteria.

The second facet aims at keeping the cardinality based feature between the PEP and the PDP and by selecting the splitting criteria that do not alter the initial access control architecture. The best splitting criteria must thus both improve performance and comply to our architecture: the relationship must be maintained, linking each PEP to a given PDP. When refactoring the system, each PEP in our system can be mapped to a set of rules that will be relevant when evaluating requests. We automate this refactoring process and conduct an evaluation to show that our approach enables the decision-making process to be 9 times faster than using only a single global access control policy.

In our previous work [5], we addressed performance issues in policy evaluation by using a decision engine called XEngine that outperforms Sun PDP implementation [1]. XEngine transforms an original XACML policy into its corresponding policy in a tree format by mapping attribute values with numerical values. Our contribution in this paper goes in the same research direction as it aims to reduce the request evaluation time by refactoring the policy.

Our evaluation results show that evaluation time is reduced up to nine times with split policies if compared to its original global policy and that we have a considerable performance improvement, if the policies resulting from our refactoring process are evaluated with XEngine rather than Sun PDP.

This paper makes the following three main contributions:

- We develop an approach that refactors a single global policy into smaller policies to improve performance in terms of policy evaluation.
- We propose a set of splitting criteria to help refactor a policy in a systematic way. The best splitting criterion is the one that does not alter the initial access control architecture.
- We conduct evaluations on real-life policies to measure performance improvement using our approach. We compare our approach with an approach based

on the global policy in terms of efficiency. Our approach achieves more than 9 times faster than that of the approach based on the global policy in terms of evaluation time.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section ?? introduces flow models for access control policy in XACML, and access policy architecture model. Section ?? presents our adopted strategy and Section ?? presents evaluation results and discusses the effectiveness of our approach. Section ?? discusses related work. Section ?? concludes this paper and discusses future research directions..

2. REFERENCES

- [1] OASIS eXtensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML). <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml/>, 2005.
- [2] Sun's XACML implementation. <http://sunxacml.sourceforge.net/>, 2005.
- [3] J. Chaudhry, T. Palpanas, P. Andritsos, and A. Mana. Entity lifecycle management for okkam. In *Proc. 1st IRSW2008 International Workshop on Tenerife*, 2008.
- [4] A. D. Keromytis and J. M. Smith. Requirements for scalable access control and security management architectures. *ACM Trans. Internet Technol.*, 7, May 2007.
- [5] A. X. Liu, F. Chen, J. Hwang, and T. Xie. Xengine: A fast and scalable XACML policy evaluation engine. In *Proc. International Conference on Measurement and Modeling of Computer Systems*, pages 265–276, 2008.
- [6] P. Samarati and S. D. C. d. Vimercati. Access control: Policies, models, and mechanisms. In *Revised versions of lectures given during the IFIP WG 1.7 International School on Foundations of Security Analysis and Design on Foundations of Security Analysis and Design: Tutorial Lectures*, pages 137–196, 2001.
- [7] R. Yavatkar, D. Pendarakis, and R. Guerin. A framework for policy-based admission control. RFC Editor, 2000.
- [8] B. Lampson, Protection, Proceedings of the 5th Princeton Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, 1971.
- [9] Bell, E. D. and La Padula, J. L., Secure computer system: Unified exposition and Multics interpretation, Mitre Corporation, 1976.
- [10] David F. Ferraiolo and Ravi Sandhu and Serban Gavrila and D. Richard Kuhn and Ramaswamy Chandramouli, Proposed NIST Standard for Role-Based Access Control, 2001.
- [11] Ravi Sandhu and Edward Coyne and Hal Feinstein and Charles Youman, Role-Based Access Control Models, IEEE Computer, 29, 2, 1996, 38–4.
- [12] Anas Abou El Kalam and Salem Benferhat and Alexandre Miège and Rania El Baida and Frédéric Cuppens and Claire Saurel and Philippe Balbiani and Yves Deswarte and Gilles Trouessin, Organization based access contro, POLICY, 2003.